

Audit Progress Report and Sector Update

Carlisle City Council
Year ending 31 March 2020/2021

25 February 2021



Contents

Section	Page
Introduction	3
Progress at February 2021	4
Audit Deliverables	6
Covid-19	7
Sector Update	8

Introduction



Gareth Kelly

Engagement Lead

T 0141 223 0891
E Gareth.kelly@uk.gt.com



Sophia Iqbal

Engagement Manager

T 0141 223 0891
E Sophia.s.iqbal@uk.gt.com

This paper provides the Audit Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors.

The paper also includes:

- a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you as a local authority; and
- includes a number of challenge questions in respect of these emerging issues which the Committee may wish to consider (these are a tool to use, if helpful, rather than formal questions requiring responses for audit purposes)

Members of the Audit Committee can find further useful material on our website, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector. Here you can download copies of our publications www.grantthornton.co.uk ..

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

Progress at February 2021

Financial Statements Audit

2019/20

We undertook our initial planning for the 2019/20 audit in December 2019, and interim audit in January to March 2020. The work on the draft financial statement began in September 2020.

A draft Audit Findings Report was presented to Audit Committee on the 18 December 2020. As discussed at this meeting the Council had engaged a valuer to complete valuations on a sample of assets to include all assets valued at Depreciated Replacement Cost (DRC) and a sample of other assets from the councils portfolio.

The Council has received the updated valuations for these assets and have analysed the impact of the balance sheet and consistency with the rest of the asset base. This has led to the Council confirming they do not have assurances that the carrying value is not materially different to the current/ fair value of its portfolio of assets.

The Council has arranged for the whole portfolio of assets to be revalued and the valuer has been engaged to complete this work. Once this work has been completed, we will finalise our audit and review the adjustments made to the accounts.

2020/21

We will now begin to look to the 2020/21 financial year and begin our planning processes for the audit.

Our formal work will begin in the next few weeks and in the meantime we will:

- continue to have regular discussions with management to inform our risk assessment for the 2020/21 financial and value for money audits
- review committee papers and latest financial and operational performance reports.

We expect to issue our audit plan summarising our approach to key risks on the audit in July 2021.

Value for Money

On 1 April 2020, the National Audit Office introduced a new Code of Audit Practice which comes into effect from audit year 2020/21. The Code introduced a revised approach to the audit of Value for Money. (VFM)

There are three main changes arising from the NAO's new approach:

- A new set of key criteria, covering financial sustainability, governance and improvements in economy, efficiency and effectiveness.
- More extensive reporting, with a requirement on the auditor to produce a commentary on arrangements across all of the key criteria, rather than the current 'reporting by exception' approach
- The replacement of the binary (qualified / unqualified) approach to VFM conclusions, with far more sophisticated judgements on performance, as well as key recommendations on any significant weaknesses in arrangements identified during the audit.

Further detail on the NAO's revised approach to VFM work can be found here: <https://www.nao.org.uk/code-audit-practice/wp-content/uploads/sites/29/2019/12/AGN-03-Auditors-Work-on-Value-for-Money-Arrangements.pdf>

The new Code of Audit Practice issued by the NAO can be found here: https://www.nao.org.uk/code-audit-practice/wp-content/uploads/sites/29/2020/01/Code_of_audit_practice_2020.pdf

Progress at February 2021 (Cont.)

Other areas

We met regularly with Finance Officers through out the period as part of our weekly liaison meetings and continue to be in discussions with finance staff regarding emerging developments and to ensure the audit process is smooth and effective. We also met with your Chief Executive in March to discuss the Council's strategic priorities and plans.

Events

We provide a range of workshops, along with network events for members and publications to support the Council. Your officers attended our Financial Reporting Workshop in February, which helped to ensure that members of your Finance Team were up to date with the latest financial reporting requirements for local authority accounts.

Further details of the publications that may be of interest to the Council are set out in our Sector Update section of this report.

Audit Fees

During 2017, PSAA awarded contracts for audit for a five year period beginning on 1 April 2018. 2019/20 is the second year of that contract. Since that time, there have been a number of developments within the accounting and audit profession. Across all sectors and firms, the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) has set out its expectation of improved financial reporting from organisations and the need for auditors to demonstrate increased scepticism and challenge and to undertake additional and more robust testing.

Our work in the Local Government sector in 2018/19 and 2019/20 has highlighted areas where financial reporting, in particular, property, plant and equipment and pensions, needs to improve. There is also an increase in the complexity of Local Government financial transactions and financial reporting. This combined with the FRC requirement that all Local Government audits are at or above the “few improvements needed” (2A) rating means that additional audit work is required.

We have reviewed the impact of these changes and those as a result of the new VFM code on both the cost and timing of audits. We will continue to discuss this with your s151 Officer including proposed variations to the Scale Fee set by PSAA Limited in due course.

As a firm, we are absolutely committed to meeting the expectations of the FRC and NAO with regard to audit quality and local government financial reporting and our work on VFM.

Audit Deliverables

2019/20 Deliverables	Planned Date	Status
Fee Letter Confirming audit fee for 2019/20.	April 2019	Complete
Audit Plan We are required to issue a detailed audit plan to the Audit Committee setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council's 2019-20 financial statements and a Conclusion on the Council's Value for Money arrangements.	February 2020	Complete
Audit Findings Report The Audit Findings Report will be reported to the December Audit Committee.	November 2020	The draft report was presented to the December audit committee.
Auditors Report This is the opinion on your financial statement, annual governance statement and value for money conclusion.	November 2020	TBC
Annual Audit Letter This letter communicates the key issues arising from our work.	December 2020	TBC

COVID-19 Update

Impact on working arrangements:

- following the government's announcement on Monday 16 March 2020, we closed our Grant Thornton offices. Many have now re-opened, but with a significantly reduced capacity. All of our people are still working from home for the majority of the time.
- we will be working remotely during your accounts audit. Although there are some audit tasks which are best undertaken in person, we will be able to complete the majority of the audit remotely. This is however likely to make the audit process longer. We continue to work closely with your finance team to make this different way of working as efficient as possible.
- there may need to be further changes to planned audit timings due to potential illness within the audit team or the finance team and due to the further developments of COVID-19.

Impact on accounts and audit opinions:

There are a number of key issues which your finance team will have had to consider as part of the year end closedown and accounts production:

- impact on reserves and financial health and whether the Council needs to provide additional disclosures that draw attention to a Material Uncertainty around Going Concern (this could also impact on the VfM conclusion) or asset valuations.
- valuation of Property, Plant & Equipment and assumptions made by valuers, particularly in respect of carrying value to current value assessment.
- impact on collectability of debt and assumptions made in bad debt provisions.
- impact on post-balance sheet events. The consequences of the virus post 31 March 2020 will generally be non-adjusting post balance sheet events but some form of disclosure will be needed.
- disclosure of impact in narrative report.
- disclosure of critical judgements and material estimation uncertainties.
- impact on the content of the Annual Governance Statement, particularly with regards to risks, controls and mitigation.
- considerations in respect of service continuity and disaster planning arrangements (this could impact on the VfM conclusion).
- impact on reporting to those charged with governance and signing arrangements.

Changes to reporting requirements:

- the Secretary of State announced that for the 2019/20 accounting period he would be extending the period for publication of principal authority accounts to 31 August 2020.
- for principal authorities, this means that the whole chain of publication requirements will be amended. The audited financial statements are now to be published by 30 November 2020.
- IFRS 16 implementation has been delayed by 1 year to 1 April 2021. IAS 8 disclosures in respect of new accounting standards which have been issued but are not yet effective are still required for IFRS 16 (Leases) even though implementation is deferred to 2021/22.

Sector Update

Councils continue to try to achieve greater efficiency in the delivery of public services, whilst facing the challenges to address rising demand, ongoing budget pressures and social inequality.

Our sector update provides you with an up to date summary of emerging national issues and developments to support you. We cover areas which may have an impact on your organisation, the wider local government sector and the public sector as a whole. Links are provided to the detailed report/briefing to allow you to delve further and find out more.

Our public sector team at Grant Thornton also undertake research on service and technical issues. We will bring you the latest research publications in this update. We also include areas of potential interest to start conversations within the organisation and with audit committee members, as well as any accounting and regulatory updates.

- **Grant Thornton Publications**
- **Insights from local government sector specialists**
- **Reports of interest**
- **Accounting and regulatory updates**







More information can be found on our dedicated public sector and local government sections on the Grant Thornton website by clicking on the logos below:

Public Sector

Local
government

Scenarios and hypotheses

Local authority areas in 12-24 months?

Theme	Reasonable worst case	Reasonable best case
People & community 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Multiple lockdowns and ongoing disruption Community dependency and expectation of sustained response Turbulence and activism within the VCS Socio-economic inequality is compounded Failure of leisure and cultural services 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Smooth exit from lockdown to a “new normal” Community mobilisation is channelled into ongoing resilience Strengthened VCS relationships and focus Systemic response to inequality is accelerated Leisure and cultural services adapted to social distancing
Business & economy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 16% reduction in GVA for 2020 based on OBR reference scenario Slow / uneven economic recovery and “long tail” on unemployment Central gov / BEIS focus investment on areas furthest behind Loss of tourist & student spend causes unmitigated damage ‘V’ shaped recovery results in 2-3 year recovery period 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5-10% reduction in GVA Rapid economic recovery with employment levels close behind Central government “back winners” with investment Adaptation allows resumption of tourist and student economy Business base is weighted towards growth sectors
Health & wellbeing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased demand and escalating need due to fallout from lockdown Newly-vulnerable cohorts place strain on the system Unit costs increase further as markets deteriorate and providers fail SEND transport unable to adapt to social distancing Imposed disruption of care system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Positive lifestyle changes and attitudes to care reduce demand Needs of newly vulnerable cohorts met through new service models New investment in prevention and market-shaping manage costs New ways of working leading to stronger staff retention Locally-led reform of health and care system
Political & regulatory 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local government side-lined by a centralised national recovery effort Unfunded burdens (e.g. enforcement and contact-tracing) Councils in the firing line for mismanaging recovery 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local government empowered as leaders of place-based recovery Devolution and empowerment of localities Councils at the forefront of civic and democratic renewal
Environment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunity missed to capture and sustain environmental benefits The end of the high street / town centres Emissions and air quality worsened by avoidance of public transport Capital programmes stuck 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ability to invest in transport modal shift and green infrastructure Changed working patterns rejuvenate town centres Sustained impact on emissions due to new behaviours New, shovel-ready infrastructure programmes
Organisational 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate funding forces fiscal constraint Working practices return to status quo – increased operating costs Imposed structural change within the place Austerity 2 Commercial portfolio becomes a liability 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequate funding enables a programme of targeted investment Learning and adaptation to new operating environment Energised system-wide collaboration and reform Fiscal reform and civic renewal Commercial portfolio reshaped for economic and social gain

What strategy is needed in response?

From response to recovery

Learn, adapt and prioritise

- Develop and test hypotheses around impact on place, services, operations, finances
- Design rapid interventions - implement, test and evaluate
- Learning from the response to lock in the good stuff – reflection on operations, services and the system
- Set priorities and principles – what is the Council's purpose in an uncertain context and where will it focus?

Mitigating the worst case

Consolidate and build resilience

- Ensure that emergency management and response structures are resilient for the long haul
- What is the minimum operating model to deliver this?
- Predict and model demand for social care and assess care market vulnerability
- Contingency plans for structural disruption
- Re-evaluate infrastructure pipeline

Steering towards the best case

Invest in renewal

- Programme of priority-based investment framed by recovery and renewal
- Focus on inequality, community resilience, targeted economic stimulus, skills and employment support and adapting public spaces
- Continued system leadership, pushing for positive reform and resilience

The Redmond Review

The Independent Review into the Oversight of Local Audit and the Transparency of Local Authority Financial Reporting – “The Redmond Review” was published on 8 September.

The review has examined the effectiveness of local audit and its ability to demonstrate accountability for audit performance to the public. It also considered whether the current means of reporting the Authority’s annual accounts enables the public to understand this financial information and receive the appropriate assurance that the finances of the authority are sound.

The Review received 156 responses to the Calls for Views and carried out more than 100 interviews. The Review notes “A regular occurrence in the responses to the calls for views suggests that the current fee structure does not enable auditors to fulfil the role in an entirely satisfactory way. To address this concern an increase in fees must be a consideration. With 40% of audits failing to meet the required deadline for report in 2018/19, this signals a serious weakness in the ability of auditors to comply with their contractual obligations. The current deadline should be reviewed. A revised date of 30 September gathered considerable support amongst respondents who expressed concern about this current problem. This only in part addresses the quality problem. The underlying feature of the existing framework is the absence of a body to coordinate all stages of the audit process.”

Key recommendations in the report include:

- A new regulator - the Office of Local Audit and Regulation (OLAR) to replace the Financial Reporting Council’s (FRC) role and that of Public Sector Auditor Appointments (PSAA)
- Scope to revise fees - the current fee structure for local audit be revised to ensure that adequate resources are deployed to meet the full extent of local audit requirements
- Move back to a September deadline for Local Authorities - the deadline for publishing audited local authority accounts be revisited with a view to extending it to 30 September from 31 July each year
- Accounts simplification - CIPFA/LASAAC be required to review the statutory accounts to determine whether there is scope to simplify the presentation of local authority accounts.

The OLAR would manage, oversee and regulate local audit with the following key responsibilities:

- procurement of local audit contracts;
- producing annual reports summarising the state of local audit;
- management of local audit contracts;
- monitoring and review of local audit performance;
- determining the code of local audit practice; and
- regulating the local audit sector.

The current roles and responsibilities relating to local audit discharged by the Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA); Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales (ICAEW); FRC; and The Comptroller and Auditor General (C&AG) to be transferred to the OLAR.

How you can respond to the Review

One of the recommendations was for local authorities to implement:

The governance arrangements within local authorities be reviewed by local councils with the purpose of:

- an annual report being submitted to Full Council by the external auditor;
- consideration being given to the appointment of at least one independent member, suitably qualified, to the Audit Committee; and
- formalising the facility for the CEO, Monitoring Officer and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) to meet with the Key Audit Partner at least annually.

Whilst Redmond requires legislation, in practice the second and third bullets are things which authorities could start doing now.

The full report can be obtained from the gov.uk website:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/local-authority-financial-reporting-and-external-audit-independent-review>

Code of Audit Practice and revised approach to Value for Money audit work - National Audit Office

On 1 April 2020, the National Audit Office introduced a new Code of Audit Practice which comes into effect from audit year 2020/21. The most significant change in the Code is the introduction of a new 'Auditor's Annual Report', which brings together the results of all the auditor's work across the year. The Code also introduced a revised approach to the audit of Value for Money.

Value for Money - Key changes

There are three main changes arising from the NAO's new approach:

- A new set of key criteria, covering governance, financial sustainability and improvements in economy, efficiency and effectiveness
- More extensive reporting, with a requirement on the auditor to produce a commentary on arrangements across all of the key criteria, rather than the current 'reporting by exception' approach
- The replacement of the binary (qualified / unqualified) approach to VfM conclusions, with far more sophisticated judgements on performance, as well as key recommendations on any significant weaknesses in arrangements identified during the audit.

The new approach to VfM re-focuses the work of local auditors to:

- Promote more timely reporting of significant issues to local bodies
- Provide more meaningful and more accessible annual reporting on VfM arrangements issues in key areas
- Provide a sharper focus on reporting in the key areas of financial sustainability, governance, and improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness
- Provide clearer recommendations to help local bodies improve their arrangements.

Implications of the changes

Grant Thornton very much welcomes the changes, which will support auditors in undertaking and reporting on work which is more meaningful, and makes impact with audited bodies and the public. We agree with the move away from a binary conclusion, and with the replacement of the Annual Audit Letter with the new Annual Auditor's Report. The changes will help pave the way for a new relationship between auditors and audited bodies which is based around constructive challenge and a drive for improvement.

The following are the main implications in terms of audit delivery:

- The Auditor's Annual Report will need to be published at the same time as the Auditor's Report on the Financial Statements.
- Where auditors identify weaknesses in Value for Money arrangements, there will be increased reporting requirements on the audit team. We envisage that across the country, auditors will be identifying more significant weaknesses and consequently making an increased number of recommendations (in place of what was a qualified Value for Money conclusion). We will be working closely with the NAO and the other audit firms to ensure consistency of application of the new guidance.
- The new approach will also potentially be more challenging, as well as rewarding, for audited bodies involving discussions at a wider and more strategic level. Both the reporting, and the planning and risk assessment which underpins it, will require more audit time, delivered through a richer skill mix than in previous years.

The Code can be accessed here:

https://www.nao.org.uk/code-audit-practice/wp-content/uploads/sites/29/2020/01/Code_of_audit_practice_2020.pdf

Local government reorganisation in two-tier shire counties – County Councils' Network

The County Councils' Network (CCN) has published new independent evidence on the implications of local government reorganisation in two-tier shire counties ahead of the publication of the government's 'devolution and local recovery' white paper.

The report identifies considerations relating to:

- the costs associated with disaggregation;
- what this might mean in terms of risk and resilience of service provision;
- how service performance might be impacted;
- what it could mean for the place agenda; and
- issues arising from the response to Covid-19.

The report also sets out the financial implications of four unitary scenarios:

- Establishing one unitary authority in every two-tier area in England.
- Establishing two new unitary authorities in every two-tier area in England.
- Establishing three new unitary authorities in every two-tier area in England.
- Establishing two new unitary authorities and a children's trust in every two-tier area in England.

CNN note "With councils in shire counties facing billions in rising costs for care services, alongside financial deficits caused by the Coronavirus pandemic, the study from PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) shows merging district and county councils in each area into a single unitary council could save £2.94bn over five years nationally."

CNN go on to comment "The report concludes a single unitary in each area would reduce complexity and give communities a single unified voice to government. It would provide a clear point of contact for residents, businesses and a platform to 'maximise' the benefits of strategic economic growth and housing policy; integral to the 'levelling-up' agenda and securing devolution.

However, the report shows replacing county and districts with two unitary authorities in each area would reduce the financial benefit by two-thirds to £1bn over five years, with three unitary authorities delivering a net loss of £340m over the same period. A fourth scenario of a two-unitary and children's trust model in each county would deliver a net five year saving of £269m.

Alongside a minimum £1.9bn in additional costs from splitting county council services, the report outlines the establishment of multiple unitary authorities in each area creates the risk of disruption to the safeguarding of vulnerable children, while 'instability' in care markets could impact on the quality and availability of support packages and care home placements."



The full report can be obtained from the County Councils' Network website:

<https://www.countycouncilsnetwork.org.uk/new-analysis-reveals-that-single-unitary-councils-could-deliver-3bn-saving-over-five-years-and-maximise-the-benefits-of-economic-growth-and-housing-policy/>

Local government reorganisation in two-tier shire counties – District Councils' Network

The District Councils' Network (DCN) a report ahead of the publication of the government's 'devolution and local recovery' white paper.

The report comments "Devolution should back the success of districts in delivery. It should not distract from the local recovery effort or reduce delivery capacity through forcing reorganisation into a less local, less agile, less responsive local government pushed by interests wanting county unitary councils everywhere. Local governance is a local matter, places must be free to decide how to organise services and to progress any kind of reform only where there is significant local agreement."

The report calls for the Devolution and Local Recovery White Paper to:

- 1) Deliver genuine devolution that moves quickly to drive local growth
- 2) Retain and build on the local capacity to deliver
- 3) Empower real-world economies
- 4) Continue to anchor local government in local communities
- 5) Reject false arguments that bigger local government is better or cheaper local government
- 6) Support strategic leadership across wider functional economic areas
- 7) Introduce an upper limit for the size of new unitary councils, in line with the principle of electoral equality

The report includes a number of case studies in each of these areas.

The full report can be obtained from the District Councils' Network website:

<https://districtcouncils.info/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/DCN-Report-Sept-1.pdf>

