

## **AUDIT COMMITTEE**

# **Committee Report**

**Public** 

Date of Meeting: 14<sup>th</sup> January 2011

Title: Corporate Risk Management

Report of: The Strategic Director and Deputy Chief Executive

Report reference: CE 42/10

**Summary:** The purpose of this report is to update Members on the Council's Corporate Risk Register.

**Recommendations:** The Committee is asked to note the contents of the report as an indication of the continuing commitment to sound governance arrangements for corporate risk management.

Contact Officer: Sarah Mason Ext: 7053

### CITY OF CARLISLE

To: Audit Committee Date: 14 January 2011

## 1. Background

In accordance with the Council's Risk Management Policy, the Corporate Risk Register is submitted to the Resources Overview and Scrutiny Panel (ROSP) for consideration on a quarterly basis.

This report contains the Risk Register (see Appendix 1) to be presented to ROSP on 6<sup>th</sup> January 2011.

## 2. Comments on the Corporate Risk Register

The current risks associated with delivering the Corporate Plan have recently been reviewed by the Senior Management Team and the Corporate Risk Management Group.

The risk register shows both the current (December 2010) and previous (September 2010) risk matrices. Initiatives to reduce the risks continue to be developed and opportunities for new ways of working with Partners and communicating with residents are being developed.

The 'Equality and Diversity' risk – the risk that the Council fails to meet Equality framework targets - has been deleted from the Register. The Council reached the required standard through self assessment and peer assessment for the 'Achieving' level of the Equality Framework for Local Government. The target of reaching this standard by March 2011 has been exceeded; this standard was attained on the 19th October 2010.

#### 3. Recommendations

The Committee is asked to note the Corporate Risk Register as an indication of the continuing commitment to sound governance arrangements for corporate risk management and note the comments as set out in section 2 above.

# Corporate Risk Register December 2010

## **Appendix 1**

CARLISLE CITY-COUNCIL

www.carlisle.gov.uk

Note: Amendments in the last quarter are marked in *red italics* (or italics only on the printed version)
The inclusion of the previous and current risk matrices shows the effect that the control strategies have had on risk ratings since the last quarterly update.

A target risk matrix shows the risk level that the Council is aiming to achieve from the successful implementation of the control strategies and the date for when this will be achieved.

Risk	Description	Present and Previous Risk Matrices	Current Risk Rating	Rating Description	Current Action Status/Control Strategy	Target matrix and date	Managed By/ Portfolio Holder(PH)
Limited Resources	There is a risk that scarce resources are not directed to priority areas within the Council's key objectives of local environment and local economy.	Doodling in pact 2010  Impact 2010  Impact 2010  Impact 2010	12=	Impact Critical Likelihood Reasonably probable	To make sure that the Transformation Programme, and the Medium Term Financial Plan and the Annual Budget are coherently focussed toward the appropriate allocation of resources to deliver the organisation's key objectives. It is anticipated that it will take 2 budget cycles to be confident in delivering the required efficiencies.  Two year (2011/12 and 2012/13 budgets) RSG settlement is in line with Council projections for transformational savings requirements.	mpact  March 2012	Deputy Chief Executive PH: Governance & Resources

Risk	Description	Present and Previous Risk Matrices	Current Risk Rating	Rating Description	Current Action Status/Control Strategy	Target matrix and date	Managed By/ Portfolio Holder(PH)
Use of Resources and Assets	There is a risk that the Council fails to adequately use resources and assets to stimulate, support and sustain economic growth in the area.	Impact O9 Dec 2010  Impact 21 Sep 2010	12=	Impact Critical Likelihood Reasonably probable	To put in place fit for purpose arrangements for the management of our strategic operation and investment. These will form the subject of the asset management strategy. The Business Plan is to be considered at full Council on 11th Jan 2011 and it is anticipated that the likelihood score will be reduced following this meeting.	mpact April 2011	Deputy Chief Executive PH: Governance & Resources
Strategic Housing Authority	There is a risk that the Council fails to deliver in its role as a strategic housing authority in achieving a balanced housing market.	Impact 15 Dec 2010	12=	Impact Critical Likelihood Reasonably probable	The Carlisle Strategic Housing Partnership has now been re- launched and is now focusing on: - Supporting vulnerable and homeless people - Providing decent homes - Developing the housing market These key foci are all working with a full understanding of the current changes in housing capital grants and government welfare reform. The impact of significantly reduced capital resources announced in the 2011/12 RSG settlement on the partnerships' desired outcomes will need to be assessed.	mpact  March 2012	Strategic Director PH: Housing

Risk	Description	Present and Previous Risk Matrices	Current Risk Rating	Rating Description	Current Action Status/Control Strategy	Target matrix and date	Managed By/ Portfolio Holder(PH)
Effective and Efficient Neighbourhood services	There is a risk that the Council fails to deliver effective and efficient neighbourhood services, and improve residents' perception of Carlisle through local environment issues.	Impact 09 Dec 2010  poorling impact 21 Sep 2010	9=	Impact High Likelihood Reasonably probable	To develop and implement a cost efficient approach to neighbourhood management with key Council Partners. This work is to be coordinated by the Assistant Director (Local Environment).	poor impact  March 2012	Assistant Director (Local Environment) PH: Community Engagement
Supporting Employment	There is a risk that the Council fails to adequately support employers during the economic downturn.	Impact 2010  Impact 2010  Impact 2010  Impact 2010	9=	Impact High Likelihood Reasonably probable	The review of Carlisle's economic development strategy will focus the City Council and other Partners on their role in supporting city employers and encouraging new development in the area.  This review falls under the remit of the Economic Development  Directorate.	Impact  March 2011	Strategic Director PH: Economic Development

Risk	Description	Present and Previous Risk Matrices	Current Risk Rating	Rating Description	Current Action Status/Control Strategy	Target matrix and date	Managed By/ Portfolio Holder(PH)
Vision for the City	There is a risk that there is no clear consensus/vision for the City's Economy and no agreed strategy with Partners.	Impact 2010  Impact 21 Sep 2010	9=	Impact High Likelihood Reasonably probable	The review of the work of Economic Development and Carlisle Renaissance has been integrated. A new strategy and action plan is under development. This will support the work of the Economic Development and Enterprise Group of the Carlisle Partnership.	Impact  March 2011	Chief Executive PH: Promoting Carlisle
Workforce Planning	There is a risk that the Council is unable to continue to deliver and develop services due to lack of skills/capacity within the workforce.	Impact 2010  Impact 2010  Impact 21 Sep 2010	9=	Impact High Likelihood Reasonably probable	Workforce Planning Group established; Organisational Development strategy being developed to address authority-wide issues; Workforce planning tools being developed to identify and address current workforce planning issues. Skills and capacity issues being addressed through the transformation programme. Learning and development initiatives to support this are ongoing.	Impact Sept 2011	Chief Executive PH: Performance & Development

Risk	Description	Present and Previous Risk Matrices	Current Risk Rating	Rating Description	Current Action Status/Control Strategy	Target matrix and date	Managed By/ Portfolio Holder(PH)
Community involvement in decision making	There is a risk that communities are not appropriately engaged in decision making.	poorling impact  Dec 2010  Impact  Impact  21 Sep 2010	4=	Impact Marginal Likelihood Remote	An Engagement Strategy is currently under development. This will be used to inform with the savings strategy in 2011/12 budget and other broader engagement work.	pooling in the second s	Assistant Director (Community Engagement) PH: Community Engagement

Risk	Description	Present and Previous Risk Matrices	Current Risk Rating	Rating Description	Current Action Status/Control Strategy	Target matrix and date	Managed By/ Portfolio Holder(PH)
The following ris	sk can be removed fron	n the Corporat	:e Risk Ro	egister			
Equality & Diversity	There is a risk that the Council fails to meet Equality framework targets.	Impact 21 Sep 2010	6	Impact High Likelihood Remote	The Council reached the required standard through self assessment and peer assessment for the 'Achieving' level of the Equality Framework for Local Government. The target of reaching this standard by March 2011 has been exceeded; this standard was attained on the 19th October 2010.		Deputy Chief Executive PH: Community Engagement