

# RESOURCES OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PANEL

# Panel Report

**Public** 

Date of Meeting: 30 August 2012

Title: DRAFT MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL PLAN (INCORPORATING THE

**CORPORATE CHARGING POLICY) 2013/14 TO 2017/18** 

Report of: Director of Resources

Report reference: RD29/12

#### **Summary:**

The Council's draft Medium Term Financial Plan for the period 2013/14 to 2017/18 was considered by the Executive on 6 August 2012 and sets out the framework for planning and managing the Council's financial resources.

#### **Questions for / input required from Scrutiny:**

To scrutinise, and provide feedback, on the Financial Policies to be adopted by the Council which will inform the budget process over the next five years.

#### **Recommendations:**

Members are asked to consider the report and make any recommendations back to the Executive for consideration at their meeting on 3 September 2012.

Contact Officer: Peter Mason Ext: 7270



www.carlisle.gov.uk

# INCIL REPORT TO THE EXECUTIVE

# PORTFOLIO AREA: FINANCE, GOVERNANCE AND RESOURCES

Date of Meeting: 6 August 2012

**Public** 

Key Decision: Yes Recorded in Forward Plan: Yes

**Inside Policy Framework** 

Title: DRAFT MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL PLAN (INCORPORATING THE

CORPORATE CHARGING POLICY) 2013/14 TO 2017/18

Report of: Director of Resources

Report reference: RD29/12

#### **Summary:**

The Medium Term Financial Plan sets out the current framework for planning and managing the Council's financial resources, to develop its annual budget strategy and update its current five year financial plan. The Plan links the key aims and objectives of the Council, as contained in the Corporate Plan, to the availability of resources, enabling the Council to prioritise the allocation of resources to best meet its overall aims and objectives. The MTFP will inform the budget process and will be updated for changes when known.

Following consideration by the Executive and the Resources Overview and Scrutiny Panel, final recommendations will be made to Council on 11 September 2012.

#### **Recommendations:**

The Executive is asked to:

(i) Comment on the draft MTFP for consideration by the Resources Overview and Scrutiny Panel on the 30 August.

Contact Officer: Steven Tickner Ext: 7280

Note: In compliance with Section 100d of the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 the report has been prepared in part from the following papers: Council Budget Resolution 23rd February 2012.

#### **CITY OF CARLISLE**

To: The Executive 6 August 2012

RD29/12

#### DRAFT MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL PLAN 2013/14 to 2017/18

#### 1. BACKGROUND INFORMATION AND OPTIONS

- 1.1 The Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) is the key policy document to guide the Council's forward financial planning process. The attached report details the current Plan for the period 2013/14 to 2017/18. The MTFP is reviewed annually starting with the assumptions made in the Budget Resolution approved by Council on 23 February 2012. The starting point for the MTFP is to show the impact of the Council Resolution and raise awareness of the issues that are on the horizon that will need to be considered as part of the detailed budget process.
- 1.2 The Corporate Charging Policy has also been reviewed and included within the MTFP. It provides a framework providing potential policy options for each Charging area. This recognises that different approaches may be required for different services and that there are a variety of influences that need to be acknowledged in charge setting.

#### 2. KEY DATES

- 2.1 Following consideration of the current MTFP and other key Policy documents by the Executive and Resources Overview and Scrutiny Panel, these will be formally approved by Council on 11 September 2012.
- 2.2 A timetable for the budget process will be prepared and the overall budget position for revenue and capital, and individual reports on spending pressures, saving proposals, and charging reports will be considered by the Executive at its meeting on 19 November. These reports will be scrutinised by the three Overview and Scrutiny Panels at the end of November and beginning of December.

#### 3. CONSULTATION

- 3.1 The draft MTFP has been considered by the Senior Management Team and the Joint Management Team.
- 3.2 The Resources Overview and Scrutiny Panel will consider the MTFP on 30 August, and recommendations made to full Council on 11 September.

The Budget Process and MTFP are also informed by consultation with wider stakeholders, including residents. Each year the Council consults with the Business Community and also carries out public consultations as appropriate

#### 4. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

(i) Comment on the draft MTFP for consideration by the Resources Overview and Scrutiny Panel on the 30 August.

# 5. IMPLICATIONS - Staffing/Resources - Financial - Legal - Corporate - Risk Management - Equality & Diversity - Environmental - Crime and Disorder - Impact on Customers

#### 6. IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

Does the change have an impact on the following?

Equality Impact Screening	Impact Yes/No?	Is the impact positive or negative?
Does the policy/service impact on the following?		
Age	Yes	Positive
Disability	Yes	Positive
Race	No	N/A
Gender/ Transgender	No	N/A
Sexual Orientation	No	N/A
Religion or belief	No	N/A
Human Rights	No	N/A
Health inequalities	Yes	Positive
Rurality	Yes	Positive

#### If you consider there is either no impact or no negative impact, please give reasons:

The identification of new challenges, such as increased homelessness, and acknowledgement of the financial pressures that they could bring to bear on the organisation is itself a positive step. The plan includes the precept for Parish Councils.

The Objectives in Charging Policy are clearly linked to the equality considerations:

- Promote access to services for low-income households;
- Promote equity or fairness;

P MASON Director of Resources



# **CARLISLE CITY COUNCIL**

# DRAFT MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL PLAN 2013/14 to 2017/18

**AUGUST 2012** 

#### **CONTENTS**

1.	Policy and Context	PAGE 1
	<ul> <li>Purpose</li> <li>Government Policies</li> <li>Strategic Planning Framework</li> <li>Budget Priorities and Budget Allocations</li> </ul>	
	Performance Review	
2.	<ul> <li>Forecasting Resources and Commitments</li> <li>Current Budget Forecast</li> <li>Budget Deficit Forecast</li> <li>Emerging Budget Issues</li> <li>Savings Strategy</li> <li>Capital Strategy</li> </ul>	5
3.	External Factors affecting the MTFP	9
	<ul> <li>Economic Factors</li> <li>Government Policies and Initiatives</li> <li>Pensions</li> <li>MRP</li> </ul>	
4.	Internally Determined Factors affecting the MTFP	14
	<ul><li>Inflation Projections</li><li>Spending Pressures and Savings</li></ul>	
	Asset Management	
	<ul><li>Income</li><li>Capital Programme</li></ul>	
	Reserves	
5.	Budget Process	20
	Budget Process	
	<ul><li>Consultation</li><li>Value for Money</li></ul>	
6.	Risk Assessment	22
		23
۲.	Summary	23
•	pendices	
	- Financial Projections	
	- Financing the Revenue Budget	
	<ul> <li>Subjective Analysis of Forecast Gross Budget</li> <li>Corporate Charging Policy</li> </ul>	
	- Corporate Charging Policy - Council Policy on the Level and Use of Reserves	
	- City Council Reserves Projections	
	- Risk Assessment	

#### **POLICY AND CONTEXT**

#### 1.1 Purpose

The Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) provides the corporate financial planning framework to provide strategic direction to the Council for the next five years and to ensure that the financial resources of the Council are directed to achieving the Council's key corporate priorities (currently under review). The objectives of the MTFP are to:

- Guide the integration of financial planning with the priorities set out in the Corporate Plan to ensure that spending decisions contribute to the achievement of the Council's priorities,
- Guide and be informed by Directorate and other relevant strategies and plans of the authority, which set out how resources will deliver the outcomes and priorities specified in the Corporate Plan,
- Forecast a minimum five -year corporate and financial planning horizon, with longer periods developed where necessary,
- Manage performance management and decision making procedures to help achieve the best use of available resources,
- Consider the implications of the use of financial resources on the levels of Council Tax and other Council charges.
- Review the policy over the level of reserves held by the Council,
- Set out processes to monitor and evaluate proposed and actual spending to ensure that value for money is obtained.

The overarching policy guidelines of the MTFP are that resources will be redirected to Council priorities via the budget process within the overall caveats that: -

- Redirection of resources towards areas of high priority will be contained within existing budgets, unless increases can be justified and funded through the budget process.
- Council Tax increases will be limited to fair and reasonable levels, taking account of national guidance from Government
- External grants and income will be maximised wherever possible to mitigate the effects of budget increases.
- Partnership working and funding opportunities will be explored wherever feasible.
- The projected budget deficits in later years will be addressed by the approved Savings Strategy

#### 1.2 Government Policies


Nationally, the Government sets out policy which can result locally in the Council having to provide additional resources to meet nationally set targets, often without corresponding increases in grant assistance. Some initiatives do receive grant assistance, but for a limited period only, resulting in spending pressures for the Council when grant stops and the service needs to be maintained. This situation can only be resolved by the Council absorbing these new policy initiatives and meeting the cost from redirection of existing resources.

#### 1.3 The Council's approach to corporate planning

The council has a corporate plan that is reviewed annually to take account of emerging issues. The plan is developed through consultation with stakeholders; it presents the key actions for the Council and likely outcomes for communities.

The Corporate Plan forms part of the Policy and Budgetary Framework for the Council. These frameworks work together to create the strategic framework.

The following diagram illustrates the relationships between the Policy and Budgetary Frameworks.



#### **Community Plan**

The community plan is 'owned' by the Carlisle Partnership (our Local Strategic Partnership). It reflects and develops the aspirations of the communities of Carlisle and provides a strategic context for the partnership activities of all members of the LSP. For the City Council it is particularly important that the Corporate Plan enables delivery of the Community Plan; there must be congruence between the strategic objectives of both plans.

The communities of Carlisle are consulted and involved in the development of the Community Plan. This Community Plan helps to inform the strategic thinking of partner organisations.

The LSP's Community and Council's Corporate Planning process informs and supports the strategy of the Cumbria Strategic Partnership.

#### **Directorate Service Plans**

The primary purpose of a Service Plan is to provide a link between the resources used to deliver services and the delivery of agreed outputs. Service Plans are inextricably linked to each Directorate's budget.

Service Plans develop the key actions of the Corporate Plan into detailed activities for Directorates and provide a framework for their financial, performance and risk management.

#### Other Council Strategies, Plans and Policies

The MTFP takes account of other Council Plans and Strategies of the Council, which have a potential impact on the use of resources by the Council. Particularly consideration is given to the following key strategies: -

- The Capital Strategy, which provides information on the proposed level of investment in capital projects and the consequent impact on the revenue budget.
- The Treasury Management Strategy Statement, Investment Strategy and Minimum Revenue Provision Strategy, which sets out the assumptions for financing requirements and interest rates and their effect on the revenue budget.
- The Asset Management Plan, which provides forecasts of necessary investment in the Council's land and property portfolio.
- The Procurement and Commissioning Strategy
- Local Plan/Local Development Framework.
- The Organisational Development Plan, which highlights the need for a thorough review of the Council's staffing needs and skill levels to ensure that the Council improves its performance to deliver excellent services to the local community in the future.
- There are also a number of strategies, which set out policy direction for key Council
  priority areas, and these include the Economic Strategy, and Housing Investment
  Programme.

#### 1.4 Budget Priorities and Budget Allocations

Financial resources and performance are linked to the existing Council priorities which are currently under review, and once agreed, work will commence on providing links to the revised priorities as set in paragraph 1.3 above, in terms of determining service objectives for each priority. The aim of this process is to see if the Council's budget is being used to best effect, and in accordance with priorities, and identify where additional resources may be required to improve performance and to achieve the Council's future aspirations in accordance with the transformation process. Conversely it may also be possible to reallocate resources from services which are not performing satisfactorily, and which may also be of a lower priority, to high priority areas which need greater support.

#### 1.5 Performance Review

This process allows outturn expenditure and outcomes to be measured against budgeted expenditure and targets.

Currently, out-turn expenditure is measured against budgeted expenditure as part of the final accounts process and outcomes are measured against targets via the performance management framework. Financial data continues to be recorded on the Council's performance monitoring

system, Covalent. The quarterly budget and performance monitoring reports are considered by Officers and Members to monitor progress throughout the year.

The integration of performance and financial information has been vital to the development of Value for Money profiles. These profiles are being used to challenge the current service delivery models as we interrogate the costs and outcomes associated with our services.

#### FORECASTING RESOURCES AND COMMITMENTS

Forecasting is the mechanism by which the Council obtains a firm indication of the level of funding available in future years and matches this to known and anticipated commitments.

#### 2.1 Current Budget Forecast

The Council has well established mechanisms in place for forecasting resources and expenditure commitments over a five-year planning period. Projections will inevitably change over the period of the plan and will be kept under review. Factors affecting the assumptions made are set out in further detail in the MTFP.

The Council approved the current forecast for the period 2012/13 to 2016/17 on 23 February 2012 and this is shown in Appendices A to C as follows:

- Appendix A Summarises the net budget for the five years (£13.535million for 2012/13) approved for Council Tax Purposes by Council on 23 February and provides a forecast to financial year 2016/17. Indicative figures have also been included for 2017/18.
- **Appendix B** Provides an indicative forecast of how the projected gross budget (£68.023million in 2012/13) will be financed over the same period.
- **Appendix C** Analyses the Gross budget over main spending headings (only for 2012/13 as future years are not available).

#### 2.2 Budget Surplus/Deficit Forecast

The current medium term financial projections point to a potential significant call on Council reserves in 2012/13 the outcomes of the transformation process will enable reserves to be replenished from 2014/15 to 2016/17.

The amount approved by Council in February 2012 as part of the budget process, to be taken from Council Reserves to support Council spending, updated by the outturn report approved in June and other adjustments approved to date, is set out in the Table below:

Year	Recurring Commitments	Non-Recurring Commitments	Carry Forwards	Total (Contribution From / (to) Reserves)
	(Surplus) £000	£000	£000	£000
2012/13	(436)	1,334	358	1,256
2013/14	(626)	786	0	160
2014/15	(348)	0	0	(348)
2015/16	(907)	0	0	(907)
2016/17	(284)	0	0	(284)
2017/18	266	0	0	266

In order to address the forecast budget deficit position the Council has embarked upon a significant programme of service reviews, incorporated in the transformation agenda, to mitigate the impact on Council reserves.

There are remaining risks to the budget as set out in the budget resolution particularly in achieving the savings proposals approved. As in previous years, additional savings will need to be identified both to meet the projected shortfall and also to continue support for initiatives and redirect resources to priority areas as well as increasing reserves to their minimum levels (£2.6million). The savings strategy is set out in more detail below and will ensure that in the medium term the Council complies with its policy of not meeting recurring expenditure from Council Reserves.

#### 2.3 Efficiency and Savings Strategy

A strategy to identify recurring budget savings and service efficiencies was approved by Council on 23 February 2012 as part of the budget resolution. The strategy will deliver savings and efficiencies for the 2012/13 budget and will concentrate on the following areas:

Description	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Savings identified/found  Additional Savings to be found	3,922 <b>600</b>	,	,	4,197 <b>2,537</b>	,

The 2012/13 budget indicated that the additional savings to be found will concentrate on the following areas to deliver the savings required to produce a balanced longer term budget, however, the exact work programme will be dependent on progress with the Transformation Programme.

 Asset Review – this will focus on introducing a Development and Investment Plan for the City Council's property portfolio with the aim of delivering additional income or reduced costs on a recurring basis.

- Service Delivery Models As part of the transformation programme, alternative
  options for service delivery will be considered in order to make significant financial
  savings whilst still delivering good standards of service. The options under
  consideration will include shared services and commissioning of services.
- As part of the transformation programme a review of those services which do not fall
  within the Council's core priorities or which are not statutory will be undertaken to
  ensure that services are properly aligned to what the Council wants to achieve.

#### 2.4 Significant Budget Issues

A number of high impact pressures on the Council's budgets have been identified and these are shown below. The impact of these potential revisions (some positive) will be further analysed and reported throughout the budget process and revisions made to current budget deficit projections as required:

- Further impact of Economic recession
- Government Grant Reductions
- Revised Transformation targets
- Capital Programme longer term priorities and revenue implications especially Vehicle Replacements
- Shortfalls in income from Lanes and other Sources, e.g. Car Parking and Planning Fees
- New Homes Bonus grant
- Effect of Localisation of Council Tax Benefit Grant and Business Rates
- Public Sector Pay Freeze

#### 2.5 The Capital Strategy

At the same time as the revenue budget is approved in February each year, the Council also approves its capital programme. The proposals for capital investment, together with the level of resources available to support the programme, are contained within the **Capital Strategy**, which provides guidance on the Council's Capital Programme and use of capital resources.

There are links between the capital programme and the revenue budget, as capital projects very often result in revenue costs e.g. to provide running costs for new facilities. These costs are reflected in the existing budget of the Council.

#### 2.6 Sensitivity Analysis

#### 2.6.1 Financial Impact

The MTFP makes a series of forecasts about the future financial requirements of the Council. This is inherently a risky business and there will inevitably be some variations from these forecasts as time passes by. The following table assesses the potential cost or benefit of a variation of 1% in the major costs and income contained within the Plan.

Description	Base for Calculation £000	1% Variance £000
Pay Awards Supplies & Services Council Tax General Inflation (currently 2.8%) Income from Fees and Charges & Receipts Investment Interest - Movement in Rates	16,700 4,866 (6,736) 10,509 (12,322) (20,000)	167 49 (67) 105 (123) (200)

#### 2.6.2 Population Impact

The resources of the Council are also affected by the demands of its customers. Over the next few years the population of the Council area is expected to grow by 0.7% per annum. The Council can therefore be expected to provide additional services to meet the needs of its growing/ageing population.

In 2012/13 the cost of providing Council services equates to a cost per head of population of £133.83, based on a population forecast of 107,310. A 1% increase in the Council's population would broadly equate to a further £143,612 needed to be raised to provide Council services at existing levels, which would equate to an increase in Council Tax of £8.95.

#### EXTERNAL FACTORS INFLUENCING THE MTFP

#### 3.1 Economic Factors

#### 3.1.1 State of the Economy

The economy appears is struggling to move out of recession. The measures announced by the Government to reduce the amount of Public Spending and to reduce the Country's structural deficit will play a pivotal role in determining whether the economy grows over the coming years. As the Country has fallen back into a recession, the Council may find that its income levels suffer once more e.g. Car Park income would probably fall while there would be increased take up of Housing Benefit as unemployment rose. Treasury Management income will also be limited whilst interest rates remain low, and the available institutions with which the Council can invest with diminishes due to reductions in banking credit ratings. Ultimately, homelessness could be a greater problem. The impact of the loss of income/increased costs is detailed in the sensitivity analysis section of the MTFP. The figures are changing rapidly with regard to the effects on the MTFP and these will need to be closely monitored in the short term in order to react effectively to changing situations. The MTFP currently assumes that the economy will show signs of recovery from 2014/15, with income projections increasing. However, if this does not occur as expected, further pressures may be placed on the revenue budget to meet any ongoing shortfalls.

#### 3.1.2 Inflation

The general economic climate in the UK is currently showing signs of changeable inflationary pressures. Inflation at the end of 2009 dipped into the negative but since then there have been increases in both the Consumer Price (2.4%) and Retail Price (2.8%) Indices (June 2012). Although inflation has been rising throughout the course of 2010 and 2011, analysts predict that the rise has reached its peak.

As far as the City Council is concerned, inflation adds to the pressure on its finances if pay settlements and other costs exceed the estimates incorporated in the Council's budget. The Government has announced in the Budget that there will be a Public Sector pay freeze for two further years. It is anticipated that local government pay will mirror national public sector positions. The current MTFP includes pay award increases of 1% in 2012/13 and 2.5% in 2013/14 onwards. If a pay award freeze is to continue then the amount of these can be factored into the MTFP as potential savings, offsetting any additional cost pressures.

In terms of treasury management, the Council's borrowing costs are fixed until 2020 due to the long term fixed rate nature of its current external loans. Investment income is more related to movements in the base rate and other short term interest rates. Raising such rates is seen as one of the primary means of controlling inflation and the Monetary Policy Committee's primary remit is to control inflation. The base rate is at 0.5% and investment rates are now not far above this level meaning that investment income is significantly below that of previous years and is likely to remain so for some time to come. The Councils record over the past couple of years whilst interest rates have been so low has been good with average yields being around 1.51%. The Council takes a managed view of the exposure to risk associated with obtaining this level of return and seeks to ensure that its investments are in line with the policies set out in the Treasury Management Strategy Statement.

#### 3.2 Government Policies and Initiatives

#### 3.2.1 Government Spending Review and Local Government Finance Settlement

#### Spending Review

The overall amount available for distribution to Local Government is determined by the Government's Spending Review, in which the Government decides how much it can afford to spend, reviews its expenditure priorities and sets targets for improvement.

For 2012/13 onwards reductions in Formula Grant have been included that see the grant received by the Council reduce from £6.354million in 2012/13 to £5.219million in 2016/17. The Formula Grant Settlement for 2013/14 onwards is, however, unknown at present and will not be known until December 2012. Any further reductions to the levels currently included in the MTFP will be additional pressures that will need to be funded by the Council. Further reductions in formula grant funding are anticipated in future years.

Block	2012/13
Revenue Support Grant Redistributed NNDR	124 6,230
Total	6,354

The current assumptions for population and Council Taxbase are set out below. (The Taxbase relates to the equivalent number of Band D properties in the area)

	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
Taxbase - For Grant Settlement (From RSG Settlement) Taxbase - Council Calculation for Tax Setting (0.279% growth)	35,167.00 34,922.05	35,167.00 35,019.48	35,167.00 35,117.19	,	,
Population - RSG Settlement*	107,310	107,310	107,310	107,310	107,310

<sup>\*</sup> Population figures are shown based on those used for the RSG settlement. Population growth figures highlighted at paragraph 2.6.2 may adjust these estimates once new RSG settlements are announced.

The Council taxbase (equivalent number of Band D properties) for tax setting purposes is different from the taxbase used in the Grant settlement as it takes into account growth in taxbase during the year, reduced second homes discount and losses on collection (1.5%). If the actual growth in the taxbase, or council tax collected during the year, is higher or lower than predicted, the resulting effect on the Council Tax income is adjusted as part of the Council Tax surplus/deficit

calculation undertaken annually on January 15<sup>th</sup>, and adjusted in the following year's Council Tax. The impact of the recession needs to be closely monitored to review both the surplus and collection rates.

#### 3.2.2 Council Tax

Council Tax for 2012/13 continued to be maintained at 2010/11 levels through assistance received from Government and use of Council resources.

The Council's policy on taxation levels is that these should be set wherever possible at fair and reasonable levels and that the Council Taxpayer should not automatically bear the largest burden for any additional spending that may be required by the authority. This policy was set following the extensive budget consultation exercise undertaken as part of the 2004/05 budget process.

The City Council has been successful in avoiding a Council tax increase for 2012/13 and this is also assumed for 2013/14. For planning purposes the figures included in the Medium term Financial Plan assumes an annual increase in Council Tax of 3.5% from 2014/15. This will however be subject to review during the annual budget process, and will be particularly dependent on annual Government funding levels and future spending pressures. A 1% change in the level of council tax will impact on the budget by £67,000. (i.e. £234,000 per annum based on 3.5% MTFP profile).

#### 3.2.3 Local Government Finance

The assumptions made in this MTFP are that there will be no substantial changes to the existing system of local government finance in the next five years, however there are significant changes that will start to take effect from 2013 that will need to be incorporated into the MTFP and future budget processes.

#### Council Tax Benefits Localisation

The localisation of Council Tax Benefit will see reductions in the amounts awarded to individual authorities for the provision of Council Tax benefit to residents. Unless radical changes are made to the benefits provided, there will be additional costs for the Council in providing the same level as service as under the previous arrangement.

#### · Localisation of Business Rates

The Government has also indicated that there will be a move to keep the receipt of Business Rates at a local level rather than be redistributed nationally. However, it is too early to include the impact of this initiative in the MTFP at this point, but detailed figures will be included in the budget process.

Overall, the proportion of funding provided directly by the Government through RSG is dropping and is expected to reduce significantly over the next five years. Councils are encouraged to find alternative methods of funding such as Charging and Trading where Local Authorities should make greater use of their current powers to charge.

#### 3.2.4 Specific Grants

Income from Specific Grants meets just over 50% of the Council's spending. The bulk of this is in respect of grants to meet rent allowances, which meets nearly 100% of the total cost of providing these benefits by the Council.

Maximising income from grants and external funding sources continues to be a key priority for the Council. The difficulty with some specific grants however is that they can be time-limited with the amount granted often difficult to predict and plan for. Often when grants are reduced, this results in either a bid for additional funding through the budget process to preserve services or the need for further savings being identified to cover the loss of grant.

For the purposes of the MTFP, where changes to grant regimes and external funding are known, these have been incorporated into the financial projections. The potential for further changes is recognised in the risk assessment attached to the Plan. Details on some of the major grant streams is shown below:

#### Welfare Bill including Housing Benefits

The Government has announced that there will be significant changes to the Welfare State as part of the current Parliament and this will involve radical changes to the way benefits are managed and distributed.

It is envisaged that there will be significant changes to the way Carlisle City Council manages these benefits, with Council Tax benefit likely to be set under local parameters (as highlighted above) and housing benefit absorbed into DWP Universal Credit arrangements (staggered between 2013 and 2017).

Housing Benefit Admin Grant is likely to reduce over the life of the MTFP and reductions were built into the budget process for 2012/13 to try and mitigate the effect of this, however, further reductions may be likely.

#### 3.2.5 Other Government Initiatives

#### Housing

Grants for specific Housing related services have reduced significantly. Support for Disabled Facilities Grants is included at £663,000 from Central Government for 2012/13 onwards. However, the spend on Disabled Facilities Grants exceeds this, and the Council approved an additional £200,000 per annum included funded by Council resources to support DFG's.

#### **New Homes Bonus**

The Government announced as part of its Comprehensive Spending Review in 2010 that there would be additional funding provided based on the level of anticipated New Homes to be built or existing homes brought back into use within a Local Authority Area. The amount would be based on the amount of additional Council Tax that would be generated from the New Homes and would be available for a period of 6 years. The Council received £243,000 in 2011/12 and a further £408,000 in 2012/13. The 2013/14 allocations will not be known until December 2012.

#### 3.4 Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP)

The MRP represents a provision that the Council must make to fund the repayment of external debt. As capital cash is utilised in providing the Councils capital programme the MRP is rising each year. This factor is also incorporated within the MTFP forecasts within overall Treasury Management costs. The Council will continually review its MRP Strategy and choose the option for charging MRP that is most beneficial at that particular time.

Any future capital projects will need to be evaluated for their effects on potential MRP charges either through the continued use of capital receipts or through prudential borrowing.

# INTERNALLY DETERMINED FACTORS INFLUENCING THE MTFP (Subject to review July – Nov 2012)

#### 4.1 Inflation

Taking into account current economic forecasts the Council has to make assumptions on the level of inflation to be included in the forward projections within the MTFP. The measure to be used for inflation in the MTFP is CPI (i.e. excluding housing costs) and this is currently running at 2.4%.

There is an inevitable degree of uncertainty surrounding inflation projections. However, for the five -year period under review, a CPI inflation rate of 2.8% has currently been assumed. It is anticipated that as part of the budget process that the level of inflation built into the Medium Term Financial Plan can be reviewed to see if inflation is required to be applied to all items of expenditure and whether different rates can be used for different items.

Individual spending heads have also had different inflation projections attached and these are detailed further below.

#### 4.1.1 Pay Costs

In 2012/13, the Council is expecting to spend £16.7million on employee related costs and this represents approximately 60% of the cost of running the Council, excluding the cost of housing benefits.

Changes to pay costs will have the single biggest impact on the Council's budget. To offset the natural increases in pay costs, the Council has approved a policy to include a saving in its budget to reflect staff turnover.

The forecasts in the MTFP for pay costs have been calculated using the following assumptions: -

- A provision for basic pay increase of 1% p.a. for 2012/13 then 2.5% p.a. thereafter.
- Increments will cost on average £150,000 p.a. However, this is reducing due to lower staffing levels and lower levels of recruitment.
- Staff turnover will remain at around 3% of gross salaries. The budget provision for 2012/13 has been set at £242,500. This was reduced as part of the 2012/13 budget process due to an expectation of lower staff turnover.

#### 4.1.2 General Inflation

The Council applies a policy of applying a general inflation increase to all running costs in its budget. The inflationary increase for the 5 years of the MTFP is based on the annual Consumer Price Index, which is currently running at 2.4% per annum (June 2012), although the current MTFP still assumes an inflation rate of 2.8%. An exercise is carried out as part of the budget cycle to examine the level of inflation that needs to be applied to different types of expenditure. Inflation forecasts are expected to be above 2% over the period of the MTFP. A 1% movement in the inflation rate would currently equate to £106,000 if applied to all general supplies and services expenditure

#### 4.1.4 Investment Income

Treasury management is a field that has its own dynamics many of which, most obviously the level of short-term interest rates, are outside the control of local authorities. Projections of interest rates and investment income yields accruing to the City Council must always be viewed in this context.

When the budget for investment income in 2012/13 was set last autumn, it was based upon achieving an estimated average yield of 1.8% which reflected the benefit of investments placed in Government backed banks. Bank base rates continue to be held at 0.5% while actual money market yields from new investments are currently running at 0.8-3.3%. The latest forecasts of interest rate movements provided by our treasury management consultants, Sector, indicate base rates will remain at this level throughout most of 2012/13 and then climb to 2% by the end of 2015 although such a forecast must be viewed with caution. These movements will affect the interest returns earned by the Council quite significantly. As in previous years, these projections are subject to regular review and amendment in the light of money market conditions.

The achievement of levels of investment income is dependent not only on interest rates but also on the authority's anticipated pattern of cash flow. Taking account of both these factors, the estimated investment income built into the current MTFP, are as follows:

	Average Rate Expected	Current MTFP
2012/13	1.51%	431,430
2013/14	1.89%	597,193
2014/15	2.89%	938,455
2015/16	3.89%	1,247,718
2016/17	3.89%	1,182,035
2017/18	3.89%	1,182,035

The interest assumed to be achieved above includes interest from the investment of £15million of capital receipts from the sale of assets not reinvested in new assets but invested in the money market instead.

These projections are reviewed on a quarterly basis in the context of both money market conditions and anticipated cash flow.

As well as interest rates, the biggest contributing factor to Treasury Management costs is MRP, and as capital balances are diminished, MRP will continue to rise, unless new capital receipts can be generated.

#### 4.2 Spending Pressures and Savings

As part of its budget process, service departments are required to identify high priority spending pressures and identify savings to meet those pressures. These proposals are then subjected to a detailed appraisal process and those approved are included in the forthcoming year's budget.

Details of all spending pressures and savings affecting services in 2012/13 are shown in detail in the budget resolution and are summarised below:

	2012/13 Original	2012/13 Revised	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Recurring Spending Pressures	1,402	1,402	1,493	1,639	1,287	1,047	1,047
Recurring Spending Reductions	(66)	(66)	(1,345)	(1,713)	(2,712)	(2,346)	(2,346)
Non-Recurring Spending/(Saving)	1,336	1,336	148	(74)	(1,425)	(1,299)	(1,299)
Non-Recurring Pressures - Existing - New Carry Forward Requests Use of Reserves	1,512 410 0 0	1,512 410 358 0	785 196 0		0 0	0 0	0 0 0
Non Recurring Reductions	(588)	(588)	(195)	0	0	0	0
Net Non-Recurring Spending/(Saving)	1,334	1,692	786	0	0	0	0

These proposals form the basis of the 5-year projections contained within the MTFP. As can be seen from the table above, the Council has been successful in identifying recurring savings to redirect to priority spending areas.

#### 4.3 Asset Management

The Council is required to draw up an Asset Management Plan (AMP) to ensure that the Council's property is maintained in a good condition, is suitable for purpose and that sufficient resources are available to meet maintenance costs. The AMP recognised a maintenance backlog of some £5.2 million. The level of repairs and maintenance in the Council's budget is subject to an annual repair and maintenance programme with bids for structural maintenance and disabled adaptations included within the capital programme.

#### 4.4 Income

The City Council's revenue budget is heavily dependent on the income it generates, with 20% of the gross budget coming from this source. The main areas are:

#### 4.4.1 Fees and Charges for Services

Fees and Charges for individual services generate in the region of £5m p.a. for the City Council. **Appendix D** sets out the Council's approach to the reviewing and setting of fees and charges on an annual basis. The overall aim is to increase the proportion of income raised from users of discretionary services to ensure that they meet the full cost of these services, rather than the costs falling on Council Taxpayers in general. In the past the income target has been set at 1% above the inflation rate, however the revised guidance strengthens the recognition that there will

be different priority objectives for each income area and that for some areas maximising income may not be the key priority. Each charges review undertaken as part of the budget process must clearly set out the overall policy objective. In the past two years, increases in charges have not always resulted in expected income yields and projections are monitored carefully. Nevertheless the current MTFP assumes an overall increase in income from fees and charges of 3.8% p.a.

4.4.2 Significant Income Streams – further actions will need to be taken to account for the areas where income continues to be generated at less than the budget. The 2013/14 Charges Review will further consider this issue.

	2009/10 Actual	2010/11 Actual	2011/12 Actual	2012/13 Budget
	£	£	£	£
Cemeteries & Crematorium Development Control Building Control Land Charges Parking	998,951 566,350 395,338 120,527 1,395,120	1,043,516 491,230 369,631 159,531 1,265,708	1,067,541 414,707 259,469 153,730 1,236,682	1,157,100 525,800 366,400 150,000 1,262,800
Total	3,476,286	3,329,616	3,132,129	3,462,100

There is a downward trend for the income from **Development Control.** The actual income generated for 2011/12 was below the 2011/12 budget.

The income from **Parking** has been declining steadily over the past few years, and steps were taken to reduce the income targets. The decline now seems to have levelled out with income in 2011/12 broadly meeting the budgeted levels. Further reductions to the budget have been built into the MTFP as part of the 2012/13 budget process.

#### 4.4.3 Property Rentals

Income received from property rentals is in the region of £5 million per annum. The Council has established an Asset Disposal Reserve for its property portfolio to ensure that the quality of its industrial estates and other commercial properties is maintained, thereby preserving the level of income generated by these assets.

The forecast yield from property rentals over the period 2012/13 to 2017/18 and included in the MTFP is shown in the following table: -

Description	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
The Lanes (See Paragraph below)	1,383	,	1,522	,	,
The Market	132		132		132
Industrial Estates (+2% p.a)	2,670 361	2,723 368	2,778 376	-	-
Miscellaneous Properties (+2% p.a.)	301	300	3/0	303	391
Total	4,546	4,607	4,807	5,009	5,073

The Council has an agreement with the managing agents of the Lanes development whereby the Council receives a proportion of the total rental income. The budgeted income is included in the MTFP at £1.383m. Receiving Equity rental now means that the rental income received is subject to fluctuations in the performance of the Lanes and in periods where there are a lot of voids, income may be lower than that forecast. The situation is monitored via Management Reports received from the Managing Agent. The budget was reduced for 2012/13 as the income had fallen from previous levels due to discounts being granted to fill vacant units. The MTFP assumes that this will only be short term and that income will return to normal levels after 2014/15. If this is not the case then there will be additional pressures required on the MTFP.

The Asset Management Plan provides advice on how the Council can use its land and property portfolio to ensure that it achieves best value and delivers cost-effective services.

#### 4.4.4 Council Tax Income

Based on the Council Tax base projected for 2012/13 and an assumed annual growth of approximately 0.279% in the base over the next five years, a 0% increase in 2012/13 and 2013/14 and 3.5% increase thereafter in Council Tax per annum will provide additional resources over the period 2012/13 – 2017/18 as follows: -

Year	Council Tax Band D	Tax Base Projections	Yield	Annual Increase
	£	·	£	£
2012/13	193.43	34,824.89	6,736,178	0
2013/14	193.43	34,922.05	6,754,972	18,794
2014/15	200.20	35,019.48	7,010,901	255,928
2015/16	207.20	35,117.19	7,276,281	265,381
2016/17	214.45	35,215.17	7,551,892	275,611
2017/18	221.96	35,313.42	7,838,166	286,274
		,	. ,	•

#### 4.5 The Capital Programme

The revenue implications of capital spending are identified in the project appraisal process to ensure that the full cost of any proposals for capital investment can be included in both the revenue and capital budgets of the Council. The budget projections therefore include an assessment of the revenue costs of existing capital projects.

The level of resources used to finance the capital programme, either from capital receipts, reserves or grants, has a direct bearing on the revenue budget as it reduces the amount of money the Council has to invest, thereby reducing its investment interest. The assumption made here is that the effect of the capital programme on investment levels will be broadly neutral, effectively meaning that the Council will only spend the capital resources it receives in any one year.

#### 4.5.1 Prudential Borrowing

Nearly all formal controls on borrowing by local authorities have been relaxed since the introduction of the Prudential Code in April 2004. Furthermore, in 2012/13 the City Council received a capital grant of approximately £0.66m towards its capital programme. This system of providing support via a capital grant is expected to continue in the period covered by the MTFP.

Given the level of other resources available to the Council, particularly the balance of unapplied capital receipts, to fund the present programme, it has been assumed that there could be a potential shortfall in resources that would lead to a borrowing requirement in funding the Council's capital expenditure in the next five years. Any prudential (or unsupported) borrowing should be supported by a robust business case but for the time being other sources of capital finance are considered to be more economic and therefore more 'prudent'.

#### 4.6 Reserves

It is the responsibility of the Director of Resources to advise the Council on policies and protocols in respect of the use and level of reserves that it should hold. This information is required to ensure that members are kept fully informed of the effects of their decisions on the reserves held by the Council. A revised set of policy guidelines and associated protocols is attached at **Appendix E.** One new reserve was approved to be established as at 31 March 2012 for Cremators.

Minimum levels of reserves were reviewed as part of the 2012/13 budget process and the risk assessment identified that minimum levels could be reduced form £3.8million to £2.6million

Viewed against current projections, the Council's reserves are under significant pressures from 2012/13 onwards. The Council balances are set out in **Appendix F**. In order to maintain the financial stability of the Council, the fundamental principle on the use of Reserves and Balances is that they should not normally be used to fund recurring expenditure. Where they are, however, the usage should be explicitly stated, and steps taken to address the situation in following years.

As part of the MTFP and Budget 2013/14 process, two new earmarked reserves need to be considered. The first is in relation to a sinking fund for Low Harker Dene, into which a proportion of the income collected will be paid by the management company in order to fund any future repairs and maintenance at the site. The use of the reserve should be delegated to the Director of Community Engagement and any release of funds would require Executive approval.

The second is a Talkin Tarn reserve, to hold any in year surpluses that are produced. At the moment any surpluses are carried forward each year; however it would follow proper accounting practices if these were transferred to a specific earmarked reserve that can then be used for any future enhancements of the site, for example, the surpluses generated to date have been utilised to resurface the car park. The use of the reserve should be delegated to the Director of Local Environment and any release of funds would require Executive approval.

#### **BUDGET PROCESS**

#### 5.1 Budget Process

The Revenue Budget Process is the main mechanism in place for forecasting spending requirements and resources over a 5-year planning period.

The budget is drawn together from:

- a base budget requirement, which determines the cost of providing existing services,
- bids for additional resources, which are required to meet identified spending pressures that meet Council priorities,
- forecasts of income from fees and charges, linked to the Corporate Charging Policy,
- forecasts of grant income,
- to arrive at a level of Council Tax to be charged to residents.

This well-established mechanism results in the approval of the annual budget in February.

Within the process, there are a series of key tasks that must be carried out, as follows: -

- (a) The base budget must be established, taking account of factors such as
  - inflationary increases in pay and operating costs,
  - shortfalls or reductions in budgeted income levels,
  - the level of external funding through grants or contributions from the government or other bodies.
- (b) Bids for additional resources must be submitted by November each year and be accompanied by a full financial appraisal setting out the business case for the additional resources requested. The financial appraisal is a key document as it will provide information on: -
  - the Council priorities requiring the resources,
  - the consequences of not providing the resources,
  - options considered to meet the pressure from within existing resources before arriving at the decision to bid for additional resources,
  - the full cost of the bid over at least a five-year period to reflect any potential growth or reduction in costs over the period,
  - any income that could be potentially generated to offset the cost of the bid,

Members will decide which bids will be supported following the outcome of the financial appraisal.

- (c) The revenue effects of any capital investment proposals must be identified and incorporated into the revenue budget.
- (d) Savings and efficiency proposals, which again must be accompanied by a full financial appraisal, must be submitted by November each year. Members will decide on the proposals to be taken forward following the outcome of the financial appraisal.
- (e) Changes to Council priorities which require the redistribution of resources must be identified.

(f) The level of support provided by the Government through the Revenue Support Grant needs to be incorporated into the MTFP.

The potential financial impact of the new budget discipline is not currently wholly reflected in the MTFP, as in some cases it represents the first stage in assessing the options available to the Council to bridge the likely budget deficit over the next five years.

#### 5.2 Consultation

The Executive approves its draft budget proposals in December each year. There then follows a formal consultation period (usually 4 weeks) when any interested person can submit their views on the proposals to the Council. This can be done by post, telephone or on-line through the Council's web-site.

In addition, formal consultation meetings are held with:

- The Large Employers Affinity Group (to include any Non Domestic Ratepayers)
- Trades Unions

The Council's Resources Overview and Scrutiny Panel also have a role in scrutinising the budget proposals.

The Executive considers feed back from the consultation process, before making its final recommendations to Council in February each year.

The Council has a Consultation Policy and is a member of Community Voice, a Cumbria wide collaboration of District Councils, Cumbria County Council, the police and PCT that consults local people regularly on their views with the intention of improving services. The results of the consultations inform policy development and feed into the Corporate Plan, MTFP and other individual service plans.

#### 5.3 Value for Money

The Council is committed to a continuous programme of service improvement. The new budget discipline being developed will challenge how services are delivered across the Council to improve efficiency and deliver improved value for money. The Council has adopted a series of mechanisms to assess how it is performing in this area and these are being developed to include:

- Improved financial and service planning over both the short and long term,
- Involving and consulting customers about the way services are provided to see if they meet customer aspirations,
- · Supply and demand analysis,
- Customer satisfaction surveys, focus groups and user groups,
- Performance management, benchmarking and the adoption of best practice in service delivery,
- Service reviews, including developing efficiency targets,
- Financial appraisal of projects taking account of quality, price and lifetime costs,
- Improved budgetary control mechanisms,
- Full risk assessments of proposals to change service provision,
- Attracting as much external investment as possible so the Council's resources go further,
- Member involvement through the Audit Committee and Overview and Scrutiny Panels,
- The adoption of shared services, where this is proven to benefit the Council both financially and in the quality of service delivery.

#### **RISK ASSESSMENT**

There are a number of inherent risks in the strategy as proposed and these are identified in **Appendix G**. It is the responsibility of the Director of Resources in conjunction with other Directors to ensure that these risks are properly managed and risk mitigation measures taken where necessary.

Individual responsibilities are set out in the Financial Procedure Rules.

#### **SUMMARY**

The purpose of the MTFP is to provide members with forecasts of the likely financial position of the Council over the next five years to enable informed decisions on actions needed to achieve financial stability within agreed Council policies.

The MTFP presents a snapshot of the current financial position and will inevitably change over time. This will be monitored and reported throughout the year. The ultimate aim of the MTFP is to help members to make more informed financial decisions and therefore contribute to an improvement in its use of resources.

APPENDIX A

Carlisle City Council – Current Financial Projections for the period to 2017/18

2012/13 Summary Net Budget Requirement Budget £000	2013/14 Proj'd £000	2014/15 Proj'd £000	2015/16 Proj'd £000	2016/17 Proj'd £000	2017/18 Proj'd £000
Projected Resources					
(6,736) Council Tax Income	(6,755)	(7,011)	(7,276)	(7,552)	(7,838)
(6,354) Revenue Support Grant / NNDR	(5,978)	(5,279)	(5,219)	(5,219)	(5,219)
0 Estimated Council Tax Surplus	(0,070)	(0,270)	0,210)	(0,210)	(0,210)
(445) Parish precepts	(456)	(468)	(479)	(491)	(503)
(13,535)	(13,189)	(12,758)	(12,974)	(13,262)	(13,560)
Recurring Revenue Expenditure	44.050	40.040	40.040	40.700	4.4.000
11,318 Existing Expenditure	11,959	12,016	13,013	13,786	14,622
1,402 New Spending Pressures	1,493	1,639	1,287	1,047	1,047
(66) Budget Reductions 12,654 Total Recurring Expenditure	(1,345) <b>12,107</b>	(1,713) <b>11,942</b>	(2,712) <b>11,588</b>	(2,346) <b>12,487</b>	(2,346) <b>13,323</b>
12,034 Total Reculting Expenditure	12,107	11,942	11,300	12,407	13,323
Non Recurring Revenue Expenditure					
1,512 Existing Commitments	785	0	0	0	0
410 Spending Pressures	196	0	0	0	0
(588) Budget Reductions	(195)	0	0	0	0
0 Use of Earmarked Reserves	0	0	0	0	0
358 Carry Forward	0	0	0	0	0
14,346 Current Revenue Expenditure	12,893	11,942	11,588	12,487	13,323
445 Parish Precepts	456	468	479	491	503
14,791 Total Revenue Expenditure	13,349	12,410	12,067	12,978	13,826
(1,256) Contribution to/(from) Reserves	(160)	348	907	284	(266)
13,535 Net Budget for Council Tax Purposes	13,189	12,758	12,974	13,262	13,560

**APPENDIX B** 

## **Current Financing the Revenue Budget**

Indicative forecasts of how the Council's projected gross budget will be financed for the period 2012/13 to 2017/18 are as follows: -

Source	2012/	13	2013	3/14	2014	4/15	201	5/16	2010	6/17	2017	7/18
	£000	%	£000	%	£000	%	£000	%	£000	%	£000	%
Council Tax (incl. Parishes)	7,181	10.56	7,211	10.52	7,479	10.79	7,755	10.97	8,043	10.96	8,341	11.37
Formula Grant	6,354		5,978	8.72	5,279	7.62	5,219	7.38	,	7.11	,	
Collection Fund Surplus	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	,	0.00		0.00
Net Budget for Council Tax Purposes	13,535	19.90	13,189	19.24	12,758	18.41	12,974	18.36	13,262	18.08	13,560	18.48
Grants and Contributions (assumed a 2.8% increase per annum)	41,447	60.93	42,608	62.15	43,801	63.21	45,027	63.71	46,288	63.09	47,584	64.86
Other Income (assumed a 3.8% increase per annum)	12,143	17.85	12,604	18.38	13,083	18.88	13,581	19.22	14,097	19.22	14,632	19.95
Reserves and Balances	898	1.32	160	0.23	(348)	(0.50)	(907)	(1.28)	(284)	(0.39)	266	0.36
Total Gross Budget	68,023	100.00	68,561	100.00	69,294	100.00	70,675	100.00	73,362	100.00	76,042	103.65

The use of Reserves and Balances varies from the Budget Resolution approved by Council in February 2012 as a result of the 2011/12 outturn position and the carry forward of £357,500 into 2012/13

# **Subjective Analysis of the Forecast Gross Budget**

The detailed subjective figures from 2012/13 will become available as part of the budget process.

Source	201	1/12	201	2/13	201	3/14	201	4/15	201	5/16	201	6/17
	£000	%	£000	%	£000	%	£000	%	£000	%	£000	%
Employage	10.500	20.04										
Employees	19,598	28.81										
Premises	3,471	5.10										
Transport	1,665	2.45										
Supplies & Services	4,672	6.87										
Third Party Payments	4,757	6.99										
Transfer Payments	35,097	51.60										
Support Services (Net)	(3,359)	(4.94)										
Capital Financing	1,319	1.94										
Precepts	445	0.65										
Supplementary Estimates	0	0.00										
Carry Forward Requests	358	0.53										
Total Gross Budget	68,023	100	68,561	0	69,294	0	70,675	0	73,362	0	76,042	(

#### APPENDIX D

#### **CORPORATE CHARGING POLICY 2012**

This appendix sets out the corporate approach to the setting of fees and charges.

Each service is required to consider how and to what extent each of the following applies to the fees and charges it proposes to set:

#### 1. Objectives of Charge - Set out the principal objective(s) of setting the charge:

- Recover cost of service provision
- Generate Surplus Income (where permitted)
- Maintain existing service provision
- Fund service improvements or introduction of new service(s);
- Manage demand for service(s)
- Promote access to services for low-income households;
- · Promote equity or fairness;
- Achieve wider strategic policy objectives (eg encouraging green policies);

#### 2. Other factors influencing decisions on whether and how much to charge:

- The Council's historic approach to charging
- The views of local politicians, service users and taxpayers
- Other councils' and service providers approach to charging
- Levels of central government funding and policy objectives
- The Council's overall financial position
- Changes in demand for services
- Policy on Concessions
- Availability of powers to charge for discretionary services (eg pre application planning advice)
- Central government policy objectives

#### 3. Targeting Concessions - The following target groups should be considered:

- Persons over the age of 65
- Unemployed
- Young persons under the age of 18
- Students in full time higher education
- Community Groups
- Those in receipt of supplementary benefits, tax credits, attendance allowance, disability living allowance and other appropriate groups

#### 4. Trading

The Council is empowered to sell goods or services to other public bodies or trade commercially through a company with non-public bodies. The objectives should be considered for relevant services (including Building Cleaning and Maintenance, Vehicle Maintenance, Grounds maintenance, Legal Services, Human Resources, IT, Payroll, Planning and Development Services) as follows to:

- Deliver services more strategically on an area-wide basis
- Achieving greater efficiency
- Capitalise on expertise within the council
- Utilise spare capacity
- Generate income
- Support service improvement

#### 5 Value For Money

- Has charging been used as a tool for achieving strategic policy objectives?
- Has the optimum use of the power to charge been used?
- Has the impact of charging on user groups been monitored?
- Has charging secured improvements in value for money?
- Has charging been used as a tool to reduce increases in Council Tax?

#### **Council Policy on the Level and Use of Reserves**

#### 1. General

- 1.1. Reserves generally will not be used to fund recurring items of expenditure, but where it does steps will be taken to address the situation.
- 1.2 Reserves will not become overcommitted.
- 1.3 The Council benefits from its level of reserves as it is able to: -
  - Meet its capital programme obligations, without recourse to borrowing,
  - Fund exceptional increases in its net budget requirement without affecting the Council Tax charged to its taxpayers,
  - Ensure that surplus resources are retained for the general benefit of the Council to protect against large increases in Council Tax.
  - Benefit from significant income received from the investment of its reserves to contribute to the budget requirement of the Council, which is a key part of the Council's Treasury Management Strategy.

Taken together, the value of holding the existing level of reserves can be demonstrated by the fact that the Council has been able to contribute £1.329million to its revenue budget through investment interest (£0.431m) and the use of balances (£0.898m).

#### 2. The General Fund Reserve

- 2.1 The balance on the General Fund shall broadly equal £2.6m. This figure is assessed taking into account the risks and working balances required, including investment income generated, it is considered prudent to leave the reserve at this level. A risk based assessment of the appropriate level of this reserve was carried out for the 2012/13 budget process and this is attached overleaf.
- 2.2 If the balance in the short-term falls below £2.6million, the Council will top-up the balance to this level from the General Fund Income and Expenditure Account.
- 2.3 If the balance in the short-term exceeds £2.6million then the surplus will be transferred to the Council's Project Reserve.

#### 3. Earmarked Reserves

- 3.1 Earmarked reserves will not be used for recurring items of expenditure, nor become overcommitted.
- 3.2 For each earmarked reserve there will be a clear protocol in place setting out: -
  - The purpose of the reserve.
  - How and when the reserve can be used.
  - Procedures for the management and control of the reserve.
  - Processes for the review of the use of the reserve to ensure that it continues to have an adequate level of funds and remains relevant to the business of the Council.

#### 4 Provisions

The Council holds a number of provision balances for items where future commitments are likely and use of these are delegated to the relevant Director in consultation with the Director of Resources.

#### 5 Charitable and Other Bequests

The Council holds a number of bequests for use by the Council. These funds can only be released with the full approval of the Council under the terms set out when the bequest was given. In the first instance it will be the responsibility of the Executive to consider a report outlining proposals for the use of the bequest prior to submission of the request to Council.

#### 6 The Responsibilities of the Director of Resources

- 5.1 The Director of Resources will review each reserve and its protocol annually and produce a report for the Executive as part of the annual accounts process detailing: -
  - Compliance with the use of reserves and associated protocols,
  - Movements in the level of reserves, including the purposes for which reserves were used during the previous financial year,
  - The adequacy of the level of reserves and the effects on the Council's budget requirement,
  - Any reserves which are no longer required,
  - Proposals to set up new reserves, including purposes, protocols, funding sources and potential impact on the Council's Medium-Term Financial Plan.
- 5.2 The Director of Resources will review this policy at least annually and will obtain the approval of the Council for any change required to either the policy or protocols associated with specific reserves.

#### RISK ASSESSMENT OF LEVEL OF RESERVES - 2013/14

Potential Risk	Risk Score	Weighting	Financial Exposure (£000)		
Base Budget Contingency for inflation or other unanticipated rise.	4	50%	136	68	Assumed at 1% of Net Revenue Budget
Underachievement of Charges Income targets and spending exceeds budgets	4	50%	219	109	Estimate of 5% Charges Income forecasts for 2012/13
Underachievement of Investment Income	2	25%	200	50	1% of exposure of average balance of £20m
Civil Emergencies	6	75%	150	112	Bellwin scheme cuts in at 0.2% of Net Budget (£32.926m) and provides for up to 85% of eligible costs (assume £1m cost - not covered by insurance)
Insurance Excesses	2	25%	25	6	Based on 5% of insurance premia payments
Fall in Rental Income from Property	6	75%	240	180	5% of Rental Income (assumed at £4.8m for 2012/13)
Transformation Savings not met	1	25%	600	150	Transformation target 2012/13
Changes to existing government funding regimes	9	100%	318		5% of Total of RSG funding
Dependence on reserves and general balances	3	50%	675		General Fund Reserve Balance - Audit Commission Guidance states prudent level is 5% of Net Revenue Expenditure
Emergency Contingency		100%	1,000	1,000	Emergency contingency fund - Council practice to allocate £1m for any unforeseen emergencies
TOTALS			3,563	2,331	Prudent reserve to remain at £2.6m
Maximum Risk Based Reserve Balances				3,563	
Minimum Risk Based Reserve Balances				891	
Current Level of Reserves (Projected a (General Fund )	s at 31/0	3/12)		2,839	
Projected Shortfall/(Excess) of Current Reserve Balance over Risk Based Reserves				(508)	

# PROTOCOLS FOR THE USE OF COUNCIL RESERVES

Estimated Balance 31/3/12	Purpose	Conditions of Use
£000		
4,816	To provide funds to support the capital programme	Capital receipts can only be used to support capital spending or the repayment of debt. Management of the use of the receipts rests with the Director of Resources but approval of their use must be given by Council.
1,431	To provide resources to purchase properties and fund associated revenue costs (e.g. marketing) required as part of the Carlisle Renaissance project. To provide resources for investment in the Council's industrial estates to ensure rent yields are maintained / increased	Management of the reserve rests with the Director of Resources who will be responsible for developing proposals requiring funding from the reserve. Approval to release funds from the reserve can only be given by the Council.
522	To provide funds to purchase equipment from CLL Ltd, should the leisure management contract either not be renewed or be terminated due to breach of contract on the part of CLL Ltd.	Management of the reserve rests with the Director of Community Engagement but can only be used with the agreement of the Council. Use of the reserve should be accompanied by a report to Council providing details of the circumstances giving rise to the need for support to be provided by the Reserve.
340	To provide funds to meet potential exceptional capital works under the terms of the lease agreement.	Management of the reserve rests with the Director of Resources who will be responsible for developing proposals requiring funding from the reserve. Approval to release funds from the reserve can only be given by the Council.
	Balance 31/3/12 £000 4,816  1,431	£000  4,816 To provide funds to support the capital programme  1,431 To provide resources to purchase properties and fund associated revenue costs (e.g. marketing) required as part of the Carlisle Renaissance project. To provide resources for investment in the Council's industrial estates to ensure rent yields are maintained / increased  522 To provide funds to purchase equipment from CLL Ltd, should the leisure management contract either not be renewed or be terminated due to breach of contract on the part of CLL Ltd.  340 To provide funds to meet potential exceptional capital works under the terms of

Reserve	Estimated Balance 31/3/12	Purpose	Conditions of Use
Revenue Reserves	£000		
General Fund Reserve	(2,839)	To be a general working capital / contingency to cushion the Council against unexpected events and emergencies	Management of the reserve rests with the Director of Resources. The use of the reserve is dependent on judgements taken when setting the Council's revenue budget on: -  - Cash flow requirements, - Inflation and interest rates, - Demand led budget pressures, - Efficiency and productivity savings, - The availability of funds to deal with major unexpected events or emergencies, - Risks arising from significant new funding partnerships, major outsourcing arrangements or major capital developments.  Approval to release funds from the reserve can only be given by the Council as part of the budget process, or through consideration of supplementary estimates on an ad-hoc basis.
Projects Reserve	0	The balance at 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2012 shall be earmarked to support potential revenue budget shortfalls identified by the 2012/13 Medium Term Financial Plan. Additions to the balances thereafter can be used either to support revenue budget shortfalls or projects within the Council's capital programme	Management of the reserve rests with the Director of Resources. Funding for the Reserve will be provided by windfall gains over and above those required to maintain the General Fund at its approved level, balances on reserves that are no longer needed and proceeds from the Local Authority Business Growth Incentive Scheme. Approval to release funds from the reserve can only be given by the Council either as part of the budget process, or through consideration of supplementary estimates on an ad-hoc basis.
Collection Fund (Carlisle Share)	(58)	To be the collection account for sums due from local taxpayers.	Management of the fund rests with the Director of Resources. The use of the Fund is determined by statute. The main use is to adjust the level of Council Tax required in any one year to reflect surpluses or deficits on collection targets in prior periods.

Reserve	Estimated Balance 31/3/12	Purpose	Conditions of Use
Revenue Reserves (contd.)	£'000		
Residents Parking Reserve	192	To provide funds for small projects consistent with the Local Transport Plan.	Management of the reserve rests with the Director of Local Environment but can only be used with the agreement of Cumbria County Council. Use of the reserve should be accompanied by a report to Council providing details of the projects supported by the Reserve. Funding is provided from the balance generated by the Residents Parking Initiative and must be reported to Cumbria County Council annually.
Building Control Reserve	27	To provide funds for improvements to the delivery of the Building Control function.	Management of the reserve rests with the Director of Economic Development The balance is ring-fenced by statute to support improvements to the Building Control Service and is not available for general use by the Council. Funding is provided from surpluses generated by the service annually. Approval to release funds from the reserve can only be given by the Council either as part of the budget process, or through consideration of supplementary estimates on an ad-hoc basis.
Conservation Reserve	(126)	To purchase historic buildings at risk or fund repairs and / or improvements to historic buildings	Management of the reserve rests with the Director of Economic Development. Funding is provided from the sale of property. Approval to release funds from the reserve can only be given by the Executive of the Council.
LSVT Warranties	(488)	To provide funds to meet claims arising in years 13 –25 following transfer of the housing stock in 2002 under environmental warranties given at the time of the transfer, when insurance has expired	Management of the reserve rests with the Director of Resources. The reserve is only able to be used to meet defined costs and is not available for general use by the Council. Approval to release funds from the reserve can only be given by the Council.
Job Evaluation Reserve	(37)	To provide funds to cover the estimated cost of Job Evaluation.	The release of funds from this Reserve requires Council approval.
Licensing Reserve	(14)	This is a ringfenced surplus carried forward to fund future year's expenditure.	Management of the reserve rests with the Director of Resources. Approval to release funds from the reserve can only be given by the Executive of the Council.
Transformation Reserve	(727)	To fund any one off costs associated with transformation project	Management of the reserve rests with the Chief Executive. Approval to release funds from the reserve can

Reserve	Estimated Balance 31/3/12	Purpose	Conditions of Use
			only be given by the Executive of the Council.
EEAC Reserve	(94)	To hold the residual funds of the service pending future decisions with regard to the service	Management of the reserve rests with the Director of Community Engagement. Approval to release funds from the reserve can only be given by the Executive of the Council.
Sheepmount Reserve	(2)	This is a fund held which will be needed for future drainage works at the Sheepmount.	Management of the reserve rests with the Director of Resources. Approval for release of the reserve can only be given by Council
Cremator Reserve	(69)	To build up resources to replace cremators when required	Management of the reserve rests with the Director of Local Environment. Approval to release funds from the reserve can only be given by the Executive of the Council.

**APPENDIX F** 

# **City Council Reserves Projections**

Members should note that these financial projections now reflect the 2011/12 outturn position.

Projects Reserve	Analysis of Council Reserves	Outturn 31 March 2012 £000	Projected 31 March 2013 £000	Projected 31 March 2014 £000	Projected 31 March 2015 £000	Projected 31 March 2016 £000	Projected 31 March 2017 £000	Projected 31 March 2018 £000
General Fund Reserve	Revenue Reserves							
Projects Reserve		(2 839)	(1 648)	(1 488)	(1.836)	(2 600)	(2 600)	(2,600)
LSVT Warranties Reserve (488) (428) (426) (426) (426) (426) (426) (426) (426) (426) (426) (427) (426) (427)		,	, ,	,	,	, ,	,	(161)
Conservation Reserve (126) (12		-	-	-	-		, ,	(488)
Sheepmount Reserve		, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	(126)
Collection Fund (Carlisle Share c Residents Parking Reserve Transformation Reserve Transformation Reserve EEAC Reserve (94) (54) 0 Building Control Reserve (37) (13) 0 Cremator Reserve (69) Licensing Reserve (14)  Total Revenue Reserves Usable Capital Receipts Usable Capital Receipts 0 (15,000) (15,		` ,	(120)	(120)	(120)	(120)	(120)	(120)
Residents Parking Reserve								
Transformation Reserve (727) EEAC Reserve (94) (54) 0 Building Control Reserve 27  JE Reserve (37) (13) 0 Cremator Reserve (69) Licensing Reserve (14)  Total Revenue Reserves (4,235) (2,329) (2,102) (2,450) (3,357) (3,641) (3  Capital Reserves Usable Capital Receipts (4,816) (1,528) (453) (2,422) 0 0 Set Aside Capital receipts 0 (15,000) (15,0	`	, ,						
EEAC Reserve       (94)       (54)       0         Building Control Reserve       27         JE Reserve       (37)       (13)       0         Cremator Reserve       (69)         Licensing Reserve       (14)         Total Revenue Reserves         Usable Capital Receipts       (4,816)       (1,528)       (453)       (2,422)       0       0         Set Aside Capital Receipts       0       (15,000)       (15,000	·							
Building Control Reserve 27  JE Reserve (37) (13) 0  Cremator Reserve (69) Licensing Reserve (14)  Total Revenue Reserves (4,235) (2,329) (2,102) (2,450) (3,357) (3,641) (3  Capital Reserves Usable Capital Receipts (4,816) (1,528) (453) (2,422) 0 0  Set Aside Capital receipts 0 (15,000) (15,000) (15,000) (15,000) (15,000) (15  Unapplied capital grant 0 0 0 0 0 0  Asset Disposal Reserve (1,431) (365) (365) (365) (365) (365) (365) (201)  CLL Reserve (522) (522) (522) (522) (522) (522) (522) Lanes Capital Reserve (341) (356) (321) (386) (401) (416)  Total Capital Reserves (11,345) (20,100) (18,763) (21,145) (19,645) (19,944) (19		. ,	(54)	0				
JE Reserve       (37)       (13)       0         Cremator Reserve       (69)         Licensing Reserve       (14)         Total Revenue Reserves       (4,235)       (2,329)       (2,102)       (2,450)       (3,357)       (3,641)       (3         Capital Reserves       Usable Capital Receipts       (4,816)       (1,528)       (453)       (2,422)       0       0         Set Aside Capital Receipts       0       (15,000) <td></td> <td></td> <td>(34)</td> <td>U</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			(34)	U				
Cremator Reserve       (69)         Licensing Reserve       (14)         Total Revenue Reserves       (4,235)       (2,329)       (2,102)       (2,450)       (3,357)       (3,641)       (3         Capital Reserves       Usable Capital Receipts       (4,816)       (1,528)       (453)       (2,422)       0<	_		(13)	0				
Licensing Reserve       (14)         Total Revenue Reserves       (4,235)       (2,329)       (2,102)       (2,450)       (3,357)       (3,641)       (3         Capital Reserves       Usable Capital Receipts       (4,816)       (1,528)       (453)       (2,422)       0       0         Set Aside Capital receipts       0       (15,000)		, ,	(13)	U				
Total Revenue Reserves         (4,235)         (2,329)         (2,102)         (2,450)         (3,357)         (3,641)         (3           Capital Reserves         Usable Capital Receipts         (4,816)         (1,528)         (453)         (2,422)         0         0           Set Aside Capital receipts         0         (15,000)								
Capital Reserves         Usable Capital Receipts       (4,816)       (1,528)       (453)       (2,422)       0       0         Set Aside Capital receipts       0       (15,000)       (365)       (365)	Licensing Reserve	(14)						
Usable Capital Receipts       (4,816)       (1,528)       (453)       (2,422)       0       0         Set Aside Capital receipts       0       (15,000)       (0       0	Total Revenue Reserves	(4,235)	(2,329)	(2,102)	(2,450)	(3,357)	(3,641)	(3,375)
Usable Capital Receipts       (4,816)       (1,528)       (453)       (2,422)       0       0         Set Aside Capital receipts       0       (15,000)       (0       0	Canital Reserves							
Set Aside Capital receipts       0       (15,000)       (16,000)       (365)<		(4 816)	(1.528)	(453)	(2 422)	0	0	(90)
Unapplied capital grant       0 <td></td> <td>, ,</td> <td>, ,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>(15,000)</td>		, ,	, ,				_	(15,000)
Asset Disposal Reserve (1,431) (365) (365) (365) (365) (365) (201)		_		, ,	, ,	,	, ,	(13,000)
CLL Reserve       (522)       (401)       (416)       (401)       (416)       (401)       (416)       (401)       (416)       (401)       (416)       (401)       (416)       (401)       (416)       (401)       (416)       (401)       (401)       (401)       (401)       (401)		•	ū	•	•	•	•	(275)
Lanes Capital Reserve       (341)       (356)       (321)       (386)       (401)       (416)         Total Capital Reserves       (7,110)       (17,771)       (16,661)       (18,695)       (16,288)       (16,303)       (16         Total Usable Reserves       (11,345)       (20,100)       (18,763)       (21,145)       (19,645)       (19,944)       (19	•	, ,	, ,	` ,	, ,	` ,	, ,	(522)
Total Capital Reserves (7,110) (17,771) (16,661) (18,695) (16,288) (16,303) (16  Total Usable Reserves (11,345) (20,100) (18,763) (21,145) (19,645) (19,944) (19		. ,	, ,	, ,	, ,		, ,	(430)
Total Usable Reserves (11,345) (20,100) (18,763) (21,145) (19,645) (19,944) (19	Laries Capital Neserve	(341)	(330)	(321)	(300)	(401)	(410)	(430)
	Total Capital Reserves	(7,110)	(17,771)	(16,661)	(18,695)	(16,288)	(16,303)	(16,317)
Other Technical Reserves* (117,826)	Total Usable Reserves	(11,345)	(20,100)	(18,763)	(21,145)	(19,645)	(19,944)	(19,692)
	Other Technical Reserves*	(117,826)						
Total All Reserves (129,171)	Total All Reserves	(129.171)						

<sup>\*</sup> Other Reserves are of a technical nature and are not cash backed. They are not therefore available either to fund expenditure or to meet future commitments.

#### APPENDIX G

## **RISK ASSESSMENT**

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigation
The assumptions contributing to the Financial Plan prove to be incorrect.	Remote	Marginal	Review budget forecasts regularly and continually adjust for known and likely variations that impact on the forecast.
Spending exceeds budget or assumed income levels not achieved	Reasonably probable	High	Regular budgetary monitoring reports. Updates to medium term financial strategy.
Unforeseen spending	Remote	Marginal	Budget Monitoring. Adequate contingency reserve. Updates to medium term financial strategy.
New Schemes / Initiatives (including VAT)	Reasonably Probable	Marginal	Review priorities. Assess effects on budget. Updates to medium term financial strategy.
Dependence on reserves and general balances	Reasonably Probable	High	Compliance with CIPFA / Audit Commission recommendations on level of balances and reserves.
Transformation Savings not achieved	Remote	High	Review budget forecasts regularly and continually adjust for known and likely variations that impact on the forecast.
Changes to existing Government funding regimes e.g. RSG, Housing Benefits	Probable	High	Review service priorities, assess other funding opportunities, update medium term financial strategy.