

Report to: EXECUTIVE

Meeting Date:	25 October 2021
Portfolio:	Finance, Governance and Resources
Key Decision:	No
Within Policy and	Yes
Budget Framework	
Public / Private	Public
Title:	Regulation of Investigatory Powers: Update
Report of:	Corporate Director of Governance and Regulatory Services
Report Number:	GD.68/21

Purpose / Summary:

The Report updates the Executive on the Council's use of the surveillance powers open to it under the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 (RIPA).

Recommendations:

That the Executive note and approve the content of the Report, including the Council's RIPA policy.

Tracking

Executive:	25 October 2021

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 Members are aware that, when carrying out covert surveillance activity, the Council must comply with the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 (RIPA) and its associated Regulations and Guidance.
- 1.2 RIPA provides for public authorities to give authorisation to carry out covert surveillance activities. The term 'public authorities' includes local authorities, therefore, the Council may authorise its officers to carry out covert surveillance. Any authorisation signed by the Council is subject to further approval by a Justice of the Peace.
- 1.3 The basic premise of RIPA is to ensure that covert surveillance is carried out in the appropriate manner. It requires that the public body wishing to carry out such surveillance does so after carrying out a balancing exercise in which the need for covert surveillance is balanced against the rights of the individual. Article 8 of the Human Rights Act 1998 provides that there shall be no interference with an individual's right to respect for his private and family life other than is necessary in the interests of, *inter alia*, public safety, the prevention of crime and disorder, the protection of health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedoms of others. For covert surveillance to be justified it must be both necessary and proportionate. If it is possible to obtain evidence overtly then this is the method by which it should be gathered.
- 1.4 Surveillance is covert if, and only if, it is carried out in a manner that is calculated to ensure that persons who are subject to surveillance are unaware that it is taking place. Directed Surveillance includes surveillance which is covert (but not intrusive) which is conducted for a specific investigation/operation and is likely to result in the obtaining of private information about a person.
- 1.5 Although the term surveillance covers a wide range of activities, it is important to note that RIPA applies <u>only</u> to <u>covert</u> surveillance. If the person who is subject to the surveillance is aware that it is taking place it will not be necessary to obtain authorisation under RIPA.

- 1.6 The purpose of RIPA is to place covert surveillance activities on a lawful footing. The impetus for this has arisen from the coming into force of the Human Rights Act 1998 ("HRA"). If a public authority fails to comply with the HRA it is in breach of statutory duty and two possible consequences may follow:
 - any person who has suffered loss due to such breach may claim compensation from the public authority; and/or
 - any enforcement proceedings brought by a public authority against a person who has suffered such breach may be subject to "collateral challenge" by way of defence of non-compliance by the public authority with the HRA.
- 1.7 The HRA brings into English Law Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights ("Article 8"). This provides that any person is entitled to respect for his private and family life, his home and his correspondence. A public authority should not act in a way which is incompatible with this right; if it does the consequences set out above may flow.
- 1.8 However, Article 8 goes on to provide that there shall be no interference by a public authority with the exercise of the Article 8 right <u>except</u> such as is in accordance with the law and is necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security, public safety or the economic wellbeing of the country, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals or for the protection of the rights and freedom of others. It is therefore recognised by the Convention that interference with Article 8 rights may sometimes be necessary in order to prevent crime/disorder, protect health etc, such interference must however be on a lawful basis. For the purposes of RIPA, the Council is only able to exercise the power for the purpose of preventing/detecting crime or of preventing disorder.
- 1.9 If a Local Authority fails to obtain an authorisation for surveillance in accordance with the scheme set out in the RIPA it has not thereby committed a criminal offence nor is it automatically subject to any sanction or penalty imposed under civil law.

However, in the absence of authorisation there is a risk that the Authority will not be able to demonstrate that any covert surveillance has been carried out on a lawful basis. There then arises the further risk that any proceedings which the Authority is then undertaking against the person concerned (e.g. statutory enforcement proceedings or a prosecution) may be subject to a successful challenge and/or the Authority may be subject to a legal claim for compensation by the person concerned.

- 1.10 Local authorities in England and Wales can only authorise use of directed surveillance under RIPA to prevent or detect criminal offences that are either punishable, whether on summary conviction or indictment, by a maximum term of at least 6 months' imprisonment **or** are related to the underage sale of alcohol and tobacco or nicotine inhaling products. The offences relating to the latter are in article 7A of the 2010 RIPA Order.
- 1.11 Local authorities **cannot** authorise directed surveillance for the purpose of preventing disorder unless this involves a criminal offence(s) punishable (whether on summary conviction or indictment) by a maximum term of at least 6 months' imprisonment.
- 1.12 Local authorities may therefore continue to authorise use of directed surveillance in more serious cases as long as the other tests are met i.e. that it is necessary and proportionate and where prior approval from a JP has been granted. Examples of cases where the offence being investigated attracts a maximum custodial sentence of six months or more could include more serious criminal damage, dangerous waste dumping and serious or serial benefit fraud (DWP).
- 1.13 Local authorities may also continue to authorise the use of directed surveillance for the purpose of preventing or detecting specified criminal offences relating to the underage sale of alcohol and tobacco where the necessity and proportionality test is met and prior approval from a JP has been granted (Cumbria County Council function).

1.14 The Code of Guidance says: "A local authority **may not authorise** the use of directed surveillance under RIPA to investigate disorder that does not involve criminal offences or to investigate low-level offences which may include, for example, littering, dog control and fly-posting."

2. CARLISLE CITY COUNCIL RIPA USAGE

- 2.1 The Guidance says that elected members of a local authority should review the usage of the surveillance powers and set the policy once each year. Members will note how restrictive the rules regulating surveillance are and the reality is that, since the transfer of the Council's benefit fraud to the Department for Work and Pensions, it is very unlikely that we will need to undertake any covert surveillance activity. The last authorisation of surveillance by the City Council predated the transfer of the Benefit Fraud Team.
- 2.2 In effect, the Council does not make use of any covert surveillance in its activity. The last authorisation was in March 2014 for a benefit fraud matter. A report was not brought before Members last year due to the fact that no activity had occurred and due to the pandemic lockdown RIPA was simply not relevant.

3. TRAINING

3.1 RIPA training is part of the Ethical Governance Training Programme and, by way of awareness raising, the concept of covert surveillance and its implications is mentioned whenever possible, for example at witness training. In addition, bespoke RIPA training is delivered and the Council is currently investigating a Skillsgate module of inclusion in its e-learning platform. The training, particularly on-line, is targeted at those service areas most likely to gather evidence on behalf of the Council.

4. POLICY

4.1 The Home Office released revised guidance both for Directed Surveillance and also the use of Covert Human Intelligence Sources. The links to these documents are

as follows or Members should contact the Corporate Director of Governance and Regulatory Services if they would like a hard copy:

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/covert-surveillance-and-covert-humanintelligence-sources-codes-of-practice

4.2 The Council's policy document reflects up to date guidance and is attached as the Appendix to this Report. The Executive is asked to approve the Policy which remains as per the one recommended by the Audit Committee.

5. CONSULTATION

5.1 Not applicable.

6. CONCLUSION AND REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 The content of the Report should reassure Members that the City Council takes its responsibilities under the RIPA legislation seriously and also that it continues to strive to be one of the better performing local authorities.

7. CONTRIBUTION TO THE CARLISLE PLAN PRIORITIES

7.1 Compliance with RIPA assists the Council in acting lawfully and promoting its enforcement activities in the District.

Contact Officer: Mark Lambert Ext: 7019

In compliance with Section 100d of the Local Government (Access to Information) 1985 the report has been prepared in part from the following papers: **The Home Office Guidance documents referred to in the Report.**

Appendices attached to report: Revised Council RIPA Policy

CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS:

LEGAL – The report deals with relevant legal implications.

PROPERTY – None.

FINANCE – None

EQUALITY – All persons are treated equally under this legislation.

INFORMATION GOVERNANCE – The codes of practice are clear on how information is to be stored, accessed, disseminated, retained and destroyed.

CARLISLE CITY COUNCIL

REGULATION OF INVESTIGATORY

POWERS ACT 2000

PROTOCOL AND GUIDANCE NOTES

FOR STAFF

RELATING TO SURVEILLANCE

AND USE OF

COVERT HUMAN

INTELLIGENCE SOURCES

IMPORTANT NOTICE

The RIPA Regime is subject to oversight by the Investigatory Powers Commission Office. Advice, guidance and Codes of Practice may be found at:

https://www.ipco.org.uk

RIPA Codes of Practice and Guidance may be found at:

https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/ripa-codes

The Council's RIPA Policy is subordinate to the Codes of Practice. Internal points of Contact are:

Mark Lambert Clare Liddle RIPA Monitoring Officer Deputy RIPA Monitoring Officer

CONTENTS

Page

SECTION 1	Introduction	3
SECTION 2	What is Authorised under RIPA	9
SECTION 3	Directed Surveillance & Covert Use of Human Intelligence Source	11
SECTION 4	Authorisations, Renewals & Duration etc	22
SECTION 5	Central Register of Authorisations & Retention Requirements	47
SECTION 6	Codes of Practice	51
SECTION 7	Benefits of obtaining Authorisation under the 2000 Act	52
SECTION 8	Scrutiny and Tribunal	53
APPENDIX 1	Definitions from the 2000 Act	55
APPENDIX 2	Covert Surveillance – Code of Practice	58

APPENDIX 3	Covert Human Intelligence Sources - Code	59
	of Practice	
APPENDIX 4	List of Authorising Officers	60
APPENDIX 5	Authorisation Forms	61

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act (RIPA) 2000 provides for public authorities to give authorisation to carry out **covert surveillance** activities. Public Authorities include local authorities therefore the Council may itself give authorisation (subject to judicial approval) to its officers to carry out covert surveillance.
- 1.2 The basic premise of RIPA is to ensure that covert surveillance is carried out in the appropriate manner. It requires that the public body wishing to carry out such surveillance does so after carrying out a balancing exercise in which the need for covert surveillance is balanced against the rights of the individual. Article 8 of the Human Rights Act 1998 provides that there shall be no interference with an individual's right to respect for his private and family life other than is necessary in the interests of, inter alia, public safety, the prevention of crime and disorder, the protection of health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedoms of others. For covert surveillance to be justified it must be both **necessary** (para 4.2.3) and **proportionate** (para 4.2.5). If it is possible to obtain evidence overtly then this is the method in which it should be gathered.
- 1.3 Surveillance is covert if, and only if, it is carried out in a manner that is calculated to ensure that persons who are subject to surveillance are unaware that it is taking place. The definition of surveillance is very wide and includes such activities as:
 - Monitoring, observing or listening to persons, their movements their conversations or their other activities or communication;
 - Recording anything monitored, observed or listened to in the course of surveillance; and
 - Surveillance by or with the assistance of a surveillance device.

Although the term surveillance covers a wide range of activities, it is important to note that RIPA applies <u>only</u> to <u>covert</u> surveillance. If the person who is subject to the covert surveillance is aware that it is taking place it will not be necessary to obtain authorisations under RIPA.

- 1.4 The purpose of RIPA is to place covert surveillance activities on a lawful footing. The impetus for this has arisen from the coming into force of the Human Rights Act 1998 ("HRA").
- 1.5 If a public authority fails to comply with the HRA it is in breach of statutory duty and two possible consequences may follow:
 - any person who has suffered loss due to such breach may claim compensation from the public authority; and/or
 - any enforcement proceedings brought by a public authority against a person who has suffered such breach may be subject to "collateral challenge" by way of defence of non-compliance by the public authority with the HRA.
- 1.6 The HRA brings into English Law Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights ("Article 8"). This provides that any person is entitled to respect for his private and family life, his home and his correspondence. A public authority should not act in a way which is incompatible with this right; if it does the consequences set out above may flow.
- 1.7 However, Article 8 goes on to provide that there shall be no interference by a public authority with the exercise of the Article 8 right <u>except</u> such as is in accordance with the law and is necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security, public safety or the economic wellbeing of the country, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals or for the protection of the rights and freedom of others.

It is therefore recognised by the Convention that interference with Article 8 rights may sometimes be necessary in order to prevent crime/disorder, protect health etc., such interference must however be on a lawful basis.

- 1.8 In anticipation of the coming into force of the HRA it was recognised that covert surveillance activities were in danger of falling foul of Article 8, even if necessary for the reasons set out in Article 8, if it was not demonstrably carried out on a lawful basis.
- 1.9 RIPA was therefore enacted in order to provide a clear, lawful basis for covert surveillance to be carried out by public authorities including:
 - Security Services
 - Police
 - Armed Forces
 - Customs & Excise
 - Local Authorities
- 1.10 RIPA makes it clear that the Council can only authorise use of directed surveillance to prevent or detect criminal offences that are either punishable, whether on summary conviction or indictment, by a maximum term of at least six months' imprisonment **or** are related to the underage sale of alcohol and tobacco or nicotine inhaling products (note that these alcohol/tobacco/nicotine issues are not ones which the City Council deals with).
- 1.11 RIPA assists by:
 - Clarifying what types of covert surveillance may be undertaken by local authorities;
 - Providing a scheme for the giving/obtaining of authorisation.
- 1.12 If a Local Authority fails to obtain an authorisation for surveillance in accordance with the scheme set out in the RIPA it has not thereby committed a criminal

offence nor is it automatically subject to any sanction or penalty imposed under civil law. However, in the absence of authorisation there is a risk that the Authority will not be able to demonstrate that any covert surveillance has been carried out on a lawful basis. There then arises the further risk that any proceedings which the Authority is then undertaking against the person concerned (e.g. statutory enforcement proceedings or a prosecution) may be subject to a successful challenge and/or the Authority may be subject to a legal claim for compensation by the person concerned.

- 1.13 The City Council has decided that it <u>does not</u> carry out any non-RIPA compliant surveillance, however, the Surveillance Commissioner has requested that this reference to such surveillance be included in this Policy. If such surveillance was conducted it would not have the protection of RIPA as explained in 1.12. To minimise this risk an internal authorisation procedure should be used utilising the forms, rules and guidance applicable to a normal RIPA compliant authorisation process. The fact that the Commissioner has requested that this information be included in the Policy is not to be taken as any indication that the decision stated in the first sentence of this paragraph has been weakened or diluted. <u>We do not carry out such surveillance.</u>
- 1.14 In order to provide public authorities with guidance the Home Office has issued various Codes of Guidance. Those which apply to local authorities and therefore to Carlisle City Council are as follows (with cross reference to the relevant appendix to this protocol in brackets):
 - Covert Surveillance Code of Practice (Appendix 2) this contains guidance on Directed Surveillance at Chapter 3;
 - Covert Human Intelligence Sources Code of Practice (Appendix 3).
- 1.15 The Government has published a range of information (including the aforementioned codes) on the internet and the Investigatory Powers Commissioner's Office publishes helpful information also at: https://www.ipco.org.uk/.

- 1.16 The purposes of this protocol document is to explain what the Council's procedures are for the authorisation and carrying out of Directed Surveillance and the use of Covert Human Intelligence Sources and also to provide guidance for staff who are designated as Authorising Officers or who are authorised to carry out Directed Surveillance or to use or act as Covert Human Intelligence Sources.
- 1.17 This protocol document sets out the key concepts which are used in the Act. An understanding of such key concepts is essential for all officers who are designated as Authorising Officers or who are authorised to carry out covert surveillance or who are authorised to use or act as Covert Human Intelligence Sources. It also sets out the procedures for obtaining authorisations and the Council's requirements for record keeping.
- 1.18 This protocol does not purport to be an authoritative interpretation of the law and is in no way intended to be read in substitution for the RIPA, the Regulations and the Codes of Practice. In the event of any doubt, legal advice should be obtained from the RIPA Monitoring Officer (Corporate Director of Governance and Regulatory Services) or Deputy RIPA Monitoring Officer (Legal Services Manager).
- 1.19 Authorising Officers are responsible for ensuring that staff who report to them follow this Policy and do not undertake or carry out any form of surveillance without first obtaining the relevant authorisations in compliance with this document. Authorising Officers must also acquaint themselves with the relevant Codes of Practice issued by the Home Office regarding RIPA and ensure compliance with the same.
- 1.20 The RIPA Monitoring Officer, whose functions are the same as those defined for the 'Senior Responsible Officer' in the CHIS and CSPI Revised Codes of Practice, is responsible for maintaining a centralised record of all authorisations issued by the Council for the carrying out of Directed Surveillance and for the use of Covert Human Intelligence Sources. The records include not only the authorisations themselves but also information relating to reviews, renewals and cancellations.

- 1.21 It is the responsibility of each Directorate to retain a copy of the authorisations, renewals and cancellations in its own centralised file. A copy should be placed on the individual case file and the original sent to the RIPA Monitoring Officer marked "Confidential".
- 1.22 Authorisation, Renewal and Cancellation forms are available on request from the RIPA Monitoring Officer or in his absence the Deputy RIPA Monitoring Officer. Forms will be obtained from the Home Office website to ensure that the most up to date forms are used. A link to the relevant forms is provided in Appendix 5.

SECTION 2

WHAT IS AUTHORISED UNDER RIPA?

- 2.1 This Section of the protocol sets out in very brief terms what is and what is not authorised for Local Authorities under RIPA.
- 2.2 The words and concepts which are used are defined in Section 3 of this Protocol and reference should be made to that Section in order to obtain a full understanding of the terms used.
- 2.3 The Council may undertake "**directed surveillance**" if it is properly authorised in accordance with the Act.
- 2.4 The Council **does not** have any power to authorise the carrying out of **intrusive surveillance**. This can only be authorised by high ranking Police Officers, Customs Officers, Officers of the Armed Forces or the Secretary of State. It is highly unlikely that the Council would ever have the need to undertake intrusive surveillance; only the Secretary of State could authorise the Council to do so. However, as a word of caution, the Council must take care not to carry out intrusive surveillance inadvertently.
- 2.5 The Council is also empowered under the RIPA to use "Covert Human Intelligence Sources".
- 2.6 The Council is not empowered to enter on and interfere with property and wireless telegraphy (although some types of public bodies are authorised to do so under the RIPA).
- 2.7 Authorisations to carry out such surveillance may be given in public authorities by "Authorising Officers". Regulations issued under RIPA provide that the only persons who are entitled to act as Authorising Officers in local authorities are officers at Director, Head of Service, Service Manager or equivalent (see the MDL / LEG001 / 041989 / Version : 1.6

Regulation of Investigatory Powers (Directed Surveillance and Covert Human Intelligence Sources) Order 2010/521).

2.8 Appendix 4 sets out the current Authorising Officers.

SECTION 3

DIRECTED SURVEILLANCE AND COVERT USE OF HUMAN INTELLIGENCE SOURCE

- 3.1 This part of the Protocol describes the concepts of:
 - Directed Surveillance;
 - Covert Human Intelligence Source.

These terms are used in Part II of RIPA and the Codes.

3.2 What is "Directed Surveillance"?

Surveillance is "directed surveillance" if the following are all true:

- it is covert, but not intrusive surveillance;
- it is conducted for the purposes of a specific investigation or operation;
- it is likely to result in the obtaining pf private information about a person (whether one specifically identified for the purpose of the investigation or operation);
- it is conducted otherwise than by way of an immediate response to events or circumstances the nature of which is such that it would not be reasonably practicable for an authorisation under Part II of RIPA to be sought.

3.2.1 What is "Surveillance"?

Under RIPA this is defined to mean:

- "(a) monitoring, observing or listening to persons, their movements, their conversations or their other activities or communication;
- (b) recording anything monitored, observed or listened to in the course of surveillance; and
- (c) surveillance by or with the assistance of a surveillance device."

RIPA states that surveillance does not include:

- (a) any conduct of a Covert Human Intelligence Source for obtaining or recording (whether or not using a surveillance device) any information which is disclosed in the presence of the source; (For example, if you confront a neighbour with evidence obtained by a professional witness or tenant in an attempt to shame them into better behaviour);
- (b) the use of a Covert Human Intelligence Source for so obtaining or recording information, or any entry on or interference with property or wireless telegraphy as this would be unlawful unless authorised under warrants for the intelligence service legislation or powers of police and customs officers.

3.2.2 Is the surveillance covert?

Surveillance is covert if and only if it is carried out in a manner that is calculated to ensure that persons who are subject to the surveillance are unaware that it is or may be taking place.

Whether or not the surveillance is covert is the first question which should be asked when considering the seeking of authorisation; if it is not covert, the framework of the RIPA will not apply. **Overt surveillance should be used whenever possible (paras 4.2.4 and 4.2.5).**

3.2.3 Is it for the purposes of a specific investigation or a specific operation?

This may include, for example, an investigation into a complaint relating to antisocial behaviour in relation to the occupants of particular premises, or a complaint relating to noise arising from specific premises or an anti-fraud operation conducted in relation to Housing/Council Tax Benefits.

3.2.4 Is it in such a manner that is likely to result in the obtaining of private information about a person?

"Private information" is any information relating to a person in relation to which that person has or may have a reasonable expectation of privacy. As a result, private information is capable of including any aspect of a person's private or personal relationship with others, such as family and professional or business relationships.

Information which is non-private may include publicly available information such as books, newspapers, journals, TV and radio broadcasts etc.

Whilst a person may have a reduced expectation of privacy when in a public place, covert surveillance of that person's activities may still result in the obtaining of private information. Surveillance of publicly accessible areas of the internet should be treated in a similar way, recognising that there may be an expectation of privacy over information which is on the internet, particularly where accessing information on social media websites.

3.2.5 Online covert activity

The growth of the internet, and the extent of the information that is now available online, presents new opportunities for public authorities to view or gather information which may assist them in preventing or detecting crime or carrying out other statutory functions, as well as in understanding and engaging with the public they serve. It is important that public authorities are able to make full and lawful use of this information for their statutory purposes. Much of it can be accessed without the need for RIPA authorisation; use of the internet prior to an investigation should not normally engage privacy considerations. But if the study of an any check is to be extracted and recorded and may engage privacy considerations, RIPA authorisations may need to be considered. The following guidance is intended to assist public authorities in identifying when such authorisations may be appropriate.

The internet may be used for intelligence gathering and/or as a surveillance tool. Where online monitoring or investigation is conducted covertly for the purpose of a specific investigation or operation and is likely to result in the obtaining of private information about a person or group, an authorisation for directed surveillance should be considered, as set out elsewhere in this code. Where a person acting on behalf of a public authority is intending to engage with others online without disclosing his or her identity, a CHIS authorisation may be needed (paragraphs 4.10 to 4.16 of the Covert Human Intelligence Sources code of practice provide detail on where a CHIS authorisation may be available for online activity).

In deciding whether online surveillance should be regarded as covert, consideration should be given to the likelihood of the subject(s) knowing that the surveillance is or may be taking place. Use of the internet itself may be considered as adopting a surveillance technique calculated to ensure that the subject is unaware of it, even if no further steps are taken to conceal the activity. Conversely, where a public authority has taken reasonable steps to inform the public or particular individuals that the surveillance is or may be taking place, the activity may be regarded as overt and a directed surveillance authorisation will not normally be available.

As set out in the next paragraph, depending on the nature of the online platform, there may be a reduced expectation of privacy where information relating to a person or group of people is made openly available within the public domain, however in some circumstances privacy implications still apply. This is because the intention when making such information available was not for it to be used for a covert purpose such as investigative activity. This is regardless of whether a user of a website or social media platform has sought to protect such information by restricting its access by activating privacy settings.

Where information about an individual is placed on a publicly accessible database, for example the telephone directory or Companies House, which is commonly used and known to be accessible to all, they are unlikely to have any reasonable expectation of privacy over the monitoring by public authorities of that information. Individuals who post information on social media networks and other websites whose purpose is to communicate messages to a wide audience are also less likely to hold a reasonable expectation of privacy in relation to that information.

Whether a public authority interferes with a person's private life includes a consideration of the nature of the public authority's activity in relation to that information. Simple reconnaissance of such sites (i.e. preliminary examination with a view to establishing whether the site or its contents are of interest) is unlikely to interfere with a person's reasonably held expectation of privacy and therefore is not likely to require a directed surveillance authorisation. But where a public authority is systematically collecting and recording information about a particular person or group, a directed surveillance authorisation should be considered. These considerations apply regardless of when the information was shared online.

In order to determine whether a directed surveillance authorisation should be sought for accessing information on a website as part of a covert investigation or operation, it is necessary to look at the intended purpose and scope of the online activity it is proposed to undertake. Factors that should be considered in establishing whether a directed surveillance authorisation is required include:

- Whether the investigation or research is directed towards an individual or organisation;
- Whether it is likely to result in obtaining private information about a person or group of people (taking account of the guidance at paragraph 3.6 above);
- Whether it is likely to involve visiting internet sites to build up an intelligence picture or profile;
- Whether the information obtained will be recorded and retained;

- Whether the information is likely to provide an observer with a pattern of lifestyle;
- Whether the information is being combined with other sources of information or intelligence, which amounts to information relating to a person's private life;
- Whether the investigation or research is part of an ongoing piece of work involving repeated viewing of the subject(s);
- Whether it is likely to involve identifying and recording information about third parties, such as friends and family members of the subject of interest, or information posted by third parties, that may include private information and therefore constitute collateral intrusion into the privacy of these third parties.

3.2.6 Is the Surveillance Intrusive?

Directed surveillance becomes Intrusive Surveillance if it:

- is carried out in relation to anything taking place on residential premises, or
- is in any private vehicle, and
- involves the presence of an individual on the premises or in the vehicle, or
- is carried out by means of a surveillance device.

Furthermore, surveillance is intrusive if it is carried out by means of a surveillance device in relation to anything taking place on any residential premises or in any private vehicle but is carried out without that device being present on the premises or in the vehicle, where the device is such that it consistently provides information of the same quality and detail as might be expected to be obtained from a device actually present on the premises or in the vehicle.

If the device is not on the premises or in the vehicle, it is only Intrusive Surveillance if it consistently produces information of the same quality as if it were. This might catch sound recording equipment which is placed in premises next door to the premises which is under investigation.

Commercial premises and vehicles are therefore excluded from intrusive surveillance.

THE COUNCIL IS <u>NOT</u> AUTHORISED TO CARRY OUT INTRUSIVE SURVEILLANCE.

3.3 **Covert use of Human Intelligence Source (CHIS – also known as a "source")**

A person is a source if:

- (a) he establishes or maintains a personal or other relationship with a person for the covert purpose of facilitating the doing of anything falling within paragraph (b) or (c) below;
- (b) he covertly uses such a relationship to obtain information or provide access to any information to another person; or
- (c) he covertly discloses information obtained by the use of such a relationship or as a consequence of the existence of such a relationship.

Thus, a source may include persons such as agents, informants and officers working undercover.

3.3.1 Covert purpose

A purpose is covert, in relation to the establishment or maintenance of a personal or other relationship, <u>if and only if</u> the relationship is conducted in a manner that is calculated to ensure that one of the parties to the relationship is unaware of that

3.3.2 Covertly uses such a relationship

A relationship is used covertly, and information obtained as mentioned in 3.4.1(c) above is disclosed covertly, if and only if it is used or, as the case may be, disclosed in a manner that is calculated to ensure that one of the parties is unaware of the use or disclosure in question.

3.3.3 Note that an informant, even if not tasked by the Council to obtain information on its behalf, would nevertheless fall within the definition of a CHIS if s/he has obtained the relevant information in the course of, or as the result of the existence of, a personal or other relationship, such as that of friend, relative or acquaintance. In other words, it is 'inside information' as opposed to information obtained through outside observation. In this scenario, it is unlikely that a CHIS authorisation is required but a duty of care is owed to the informed as regards how and whether the information may be safely used. It is best to seek advice from the RIPA Monitoring Officer if there is any doubt.

3.3.4 Information

It is not clear from the Act whether "information" means only "private information". The inference is there, but it is not expressly stated in the RIPA.

3.4 Activity not falling within the definition of covert surveillance

- 3.4.1 Some surveillance activity does not constitute intrusive or directed surveillance for the purposes of Part II of the 2000 Act and no directed or intrusive surveillance authorisation can be obtained for such activity. Such activity includes:
 - covert surveillance by way of an immediate response to events;
 - covert surveillance as part of general observation activities;

- covert surveillance not relating to the statutory grounds specified in the 2000 Act;
- overt use of CCTV systems

Immediate response

3.4.2 Covert surveillance that is likely to reveal private information about a person, but is carried out by way of an immediate response to events such that it is not reasonably practicable to obtain an authorisation under the 2000 Act, would not require a directed surveillance authorisation. The 2000 Act is not intended to prevent law enforcement officers fulfilling their legislative functions. To this end, section 26(2)(c) of the 2000 Act provides that surveillance is not directed surveillance when it is carried out by way of an immediate response to events or circumstances, the nature of which is such that it is not reasonably practicable for an authorisation to be sought for the carrying out of the surveillance.

General observation activities

3.4.3 The general observation duties of many law enforcement officers and other public authorities do not require authorisation under the 2000 Act, whether covert or overt. Such general observation duties frequently form part of the legislative functions of public authorities, as opposed to the pre-planned surveillance of a specific person or group of people. General observation duties may include monitoring of publicly accessible areas of the internet in circumstances where it is not part of a specific investigation or operation.

Surveillance not relating to specified grounds or core functions

3.4.4 An authorisation for directed or intrusive surveillance is only appropriate for the purposes of a specific investigation or operation, insofar as that investigation or operation is necessary on the grounds specified in the 2000 Act (specified at section 28(3) for directed surveillance and at section 32(3) for intrusive surveillance). Covert surveillance for any other general purposes should be conducted under other legislation, if relevant, and an authorisation under Part II of the 2000 Act should not be sought.

3.4.5 The 'core functions' referred to by the Investigatory Powers Tribunal are the 'specific public functions', undertaken by a particular public authority, in contrast to the 'ordinary functions' which are those undertaken by all authorities (e.g. employment issues, contractual arrangements etc.). These "ordinary functions" are covered by the Data Protection Act 2018 and the Information Commissioner's Employment Practices Code. A public authority may only seek authorisations under the 2000 Act when in performance of its 'core functions'. For example, the disciplining of an employee is not a 'core function', although related criminal investigations may be. As a result, the protection afforded by an authorisation under the 2000 Act may be available in relation to associated criminal investigations, so long as the activity is deemed to be necessary and proportionate.

Overt surveillance cameras

- 3.4.6 The use of overt CCTV cameras by public authorities does not normally require an authorisation under the 2000 Act. Members of the public should be made aware that such systems are in use. For example, by virtue of cameras or signage being clearly visible, through the provision of information and by undertaking consultation. Guidance on their operation is provided in the Surveillance Camera Code of Practice issued under the Protection of Freedoms Act 2012 ("the 2012 Act") and overseen by the Surveillance Camera Commissioner. Public authorities should also be aware of the relevant Information Commissioner's code ("In the Picture A Data Protection Code of Practice for Surveillance Cameras and Personal Information").
- 3.4.7 The Surveillance Camera code has relevance to overt surveillance camera systems (as defined at s29(6) of the 2012 Act) and which are operated in public places by relevant authorities (defined at s 33(5) of the 2012 Act) in England and Wales. The 2012 Act places a statutory responsibility upon those public authorities defined by the 2012 Act, to have regard to the provisions of the Surveillance Camera code, where surveillance is conducted overtly by means of a surveillance camera system in a public place in England and Wales.

- 3.4.8 The Surveillance Camera code sets out a framework of good practice that includes existing legal obligations, including the processing of personal data under the Data Protection Act 2018 and a public authority's duty to adhere to the Human Rights Act 1998. The City Council has its own CCTV surveillance camera policy.
- 3.4.9 However, where overt CCTV or other overt surveillance cameras are used in a covert and pre-planned manner as part of a specific investigation or operation, for the surveillance of a specific person or group of people, a directed surveillance authorisation should be considered. Such covert surveillance is likely to result in the obtaining of private information about a person (namely, a record of their movements and activities) and therefore falls properly within the definition of directed surveillance. The use of the CCTV or other overt surveillance cameras in these circumstances goes beyond their intended use for the general prevention or detection of crime and protection of the public.

SECTION 4

AUTHORISATIONS, RENEWALS AND DURATION ETC

4.1 **How is authorisation obtained?**

- 4.1.1 As stated above, authorisation may be given by Authorising Officers for:
 - Directed Surveillance;
 - Covert Use of Human Intelligence Sources.
- 4.1.2 The Council is only able to authorise the use of Directed Surveillance to prevent or detect criminal offences that are punishable by a maximum term of <u>at least</u> 6 months' imprisonment or are related to the sale of underage sale of alcohol or tobacco.
- 4.1.3 The Council is no longer able to authorise directed surveillance for the purposes of preventing disorder (unless punishable by a maximum term of at least six months' imprisonment). It is possible to authorise directed surveillance for 'serious' cases as long as the usual tests of necessity and proportionality are met. Examples would be more serious criminal damage, dangerous waste dumping and serious or serial benefit fraud. The guidance from the Home Office says, "A local authority may not authorise the use of directed surveillance under RIPA to investigate disorder that does not involve criminal offences or to investigate low level offences which may include, for example, littering, dog control and fly-posting". To authorise directed surveillance, the Authorising Officer must demonstrate that the proposed activity is necessary for the prevention or detection of a crime which either carries a maximum sentence of at least six months' imprisonment or is an offence relating to the sale of alcohol or tobacco products to minors (see RIPA, s81(5) for the definition of "detecting crime").

- 4.1.4 At the commencement of investigations, officers will need to satisfy themselves that what they are investigating is a criminal offence etc. If, during the investigation, the likely offence is graded downwards, below the six month imprisonment threshold, then any RIPA authorisation should be cancelled.
- 4.1.5 It is important to bear in mind that for offences which no longer meet the relevant threshold that routine patrols (including those in plain clothes), observations at trouble 'hotspots', immediate response to events and overt use of CCTV are all techniques which do not require RIPA authorisation. Please see the Covert Surveillance and Property Interference Code of Practice (Aug 2018), 'General observation activities' (p25) for examples.
- 4.1.6 The person seeking an Authorisation should complete the relevant Authorisation form which should be obtained from the RIPA Monitoring Officer or his/her Deputy. A link to the relevant forms is provided in Appendix 5. Having completed the form he should then take it to the Authorising Officer. In order to provide as full information as possible to enable the Authorising Officer to make a fully informed decision, detailed information should be given in the forms regarding "necessary" and "proportionality" (see below).
- 4.1.7 The Authorising Officer must take the following steps when considering whether or not to give an Authorisation:
 - consider if Authorisation is <u>necessary</u>
 - Consider if what will be carried out is <u>proportionate</u> to what is sought to be achieved by carrying it out;
 - Is there sufficient information in the form? Has it been completed correctly? What must be recorded in the application form in respect of Directed Surveillance is explained at paragraph 4.2.7 below, and in the case of Covert Use of Human Intelligence Sources in paragraph 4.3.2 below;
 - Consider potential for collateral intrusion, the steps that may be taken to

minimise it and whether a separate authorisation is required. This is explained in paragraphs 4.2.6, 4.2.8 and 4.3.6 below; in the case of Use of a Covert Human Intelligence Source consider arrangements for safety and welfare of the source; before authorisation, a risk assessment should be undertaken - see paragraph 4.3.5;

- Consider any adverse impact on community confidence that might flow from the authorisation. Sensibilities in the local community should be considered where the surveillance is taking place; consider also activities being undertaken by other public authorities which could impact upon the deployment of surveillance; consider the circumstances where the subject of the surveillance might expect a high degree of privacy (eg in the home or where there are special sensitivities).
- 4.1.8 **Related authorisations:** if the action authorised refers to activity under a previous authorisation, the Unique Reference Number (URN) and details of that authorisation to enable cross reference to be done. The Authorising Officer should ensure that there is no conflict with previous or other current authorisations.
- 4.1.9 If the Authorising Officer is satisfied that Authorisation should be given, he should obtain the reference number from the RIPA Monitoring Officer. He should then <u>sign</u> the form, record the <u>date</u> and <u>time</u> that the Authorisation is given, and endorse the reference number on the form. He should send the original of the form to the RIPA Monitoring Officer (who is responsible for maintaining the Central Register for the whole Council) in a sealed envelope marked "Confidential", keep a copy in his own Department's central file of Authorisations and place a copy on the case file.
- 4.1.10 In addition, from 1 November 2012, the Protection of Freedoms Act 2012, sections 37 & 38 apply. The effect of this is that the Council still has to authorise Directed Surveillance (when it is available) in the usual manner but any authorisation (or application for renewal) has to be secondly approved by a Justice of the Peace. The Authority will have to make an appointment with the

Magistrates' Court office; supply the Court with a copy of the RIPA form together with a cover application form and then attend a hearing at which, hopefully, the JP will approve the authorisation. JP approval will also be necessary for any renewal of an authorisation.

4.1.11 The Guidance says that any applications before the Magistrates are deemed 'legal proceedings' and that presenting officers should be authorised under section 223 of the Local Government Act 1972 to appear on behalf of the Council. Appropriate authorisations may be obtained from the RIPA Monitoring Officer. Appointments with the Justices of the Peace are made via the Carlisle Magistrates' Court Office. Practically, officers should contact the RIPA Monitoring Officer or Deputy who will allocate a member of the legal services team to assist with making the Court appointment and application process (including the hearing). Helpful information regarding the application process may be found in the RIPA guidance issued by the Home Office to the Magistrates' Courts (section 5 refers to the application process):

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attac hment_data/file/118174/magistrates-courts-eng-wales.pdf

4.2 **The Conditions for Authorisation - Directed Surveillance**

- 4.2.1 For Directed Surveillance no officer shall grant an authorisation for the carrying out of directed surveillance unless he believes:
 - (a) that an authorisation is <u>necessary</u> (on the ground detailed below); and
 - (b) the authorised surveillance is <u>proportionate</u> to what is sought to be achieved by carrying it out.
- 4.2.2 An authorisation is **necessary** <u>if</u> it is for the purpose of preventing or detecting crime or of preventing disorder;

4.2.3 <u>Significant</u> consideration must be given to the issue of **necessity**. Everyone has the right to respect for his private and family life (Article 8, Human Rights Act MDL / LEG001 / 041989 / Version : 1.6 Page 25 1998). There shall be no interference with this right other than is necessary in the interests of, inter alia, public safety, the prevention of crime and disorder, the protection of health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedoms of others. "Necessity" has to be established on the facts of each individual case before an individual's rights of privacy can be legitimately infringed. Consideration must be given as to why it is necessary to use covert surveillance in the investigation.

- 4.2.4 Section 80 of RIPA provides a general saving for lawful conduct, i.e. if the conduct in question does not require authorisation under the Act and is lawful in any event then it continues to be lawful. The effect of this section is that if the Council's duty can be carried out without recourse to an authorisation then that is the preferred way to do it. In other words, if the required information can be obtained by overt means in any given circumstance, covert surveillance can never be <u>necessary</u>. The authorisation forms contain a section in which the applicant is required to identify why covert surveillance is necessary in any given case. It is the task of the authorising officer to apply his mind to this, as well as proportionality, before granting an authorisation.
- 4.2.5 In addition, the authorisation for the activity must be **proportionate**. This involves a balancing exercise of the need for the activity in operational terms against the degree of interference with the rights of the subject of the surveillance and of any other persons. It will not be proportionate if the interference is excessive in the circumstances of the case or if the information could have been obtained using less intrusive means. All activity must be carefully managed and must not be arbitrary or unfair. When assessing proportionality, consideration must be given to whether the proposed covert surveillance is proportional:
 - a) To the mischief being investigated;
 - b) To the degree of likely intrusion on the target and others; and
 - c) Whether other reasonable means of obtaining the evidence have been considered and discounted.

4.2.6 The onus is therefore on the Authorising Officer who is considering an MDL / LEG001 / 041989 / Version : 1.6 Page 26

application to authorise such surveillance to be satisfied that it is:

- (a) necessary for the ground stated above and;
- (b) is proportionate to its aim.
- 4.2.6 The Home Office Code of Practice (August 2018)¹ states that a potential model application would make clear that the following elements of proportionality had been fully considered:
 - a. Balancing the size and scope of the operation against the gravity and extent of the perceived mischief.
 - b. Explaining how and why the methods to be adopted will cause the least possible intrusion on the subject and others.
 - c. Considering whether the activity is an appropriate use of the legislation and a reasonable way, having considered all reasonable alternatives, of obtaining the information sought.
 - d. Evidencing, as far as reasonably practicable, what other methods had been considered and why they were not implemented, or have been implemented unsuccessfully.
- 4.2.7 The **conduct** that is authorised by an authorisation is any conduct which
 - (a) consists of the carrying out of Directed Surveillance of any such description as is specified in the authorisation; and
 - (b) is carried out in the circumstances specified in the authorisation and for the purposes of the investigation or operation specified or described in the authorisation.

It therefore follows that if Directed Surveillance that is actually conducted is other than that specified in the authorisation and/or is carried out in circumstances other than those so specified, and/or for a purpose other than that so specified, it will be unauthorised and unlawful. Careful thought should therefore be given when framing an application for authorisation as to the:

- scope of the directed surveillance;
- the circumstances in which it shall be conducted;
- the purpose of the investigation.

The wider the scope of this authorisation the easier it will be to demonstrate that the activities fell within it. On the other hand, it should not be drafted so widely as to be meaningless! The scope of an authorisation should not be widened on a "just in case" basis.

It is also sensible to make any authorisation sufficiently wide enough to cover all the measures required as well as being able to prove effective monitoring of what is done against what is authorised.

4.2.8 Consideration should be given as to whether there is any possibility that collateral intrusion may occur. Collateral intrusion is when the privacy of persons who are other than the subject/s of the investigation/operation is impinged upon. Wherever possible steps should be taken to minimise interference in the lives of persons who are not subject(s) of the investigation. An application for authorisation should therefore include an assessment of the risk of collateral intrusion. If anticipated, the potential for intrusion of this type should be minimised. The ongoing possibility for collateral intrusion should be monitored by the Authorising Officer, such monitoring should form part of the continuing review process to which authorisations are subject. The potential for collateral intrusion intrusion for authorisation. If, during the course of an investigation/operation, the privacy of persons other than the subjects of the investigation/operation are unexpectedly interfered with, this should be reported to the Authorising Officer and he should consider whether the original authorisation should be amended or whether a separate authorisation is required.

because it constitutes an invasion of the privacy of persons who are not the target of the surveillance who may not be connected in any way to the ongoing investigation and are probably entirely innocent.

- 4.2.10 Authorisations shall be given in **writing** by the Authorising Officer. Authorising Officers should not generally be responsible for authorising their own activities but exceptionally this might be unavoidable.
- 4.2.11 Written application for a directed surveillance authorisation should describe any conduct to be authorised and the purpose of the investigation or operation. The application should also include:
 - the reasons why the authorisation is necessary in the particular case and on which statutory ground(s) (e.g. for the purpose of preventing or detecting crime) listed in Section 28(3) of the 2000 Act;
 - the nature of the surveillance;
 - the identities, where known, of those to be the subject of the surveillance;
 - a summary of the intelligence case and appropriate unique intelligence references where applicable;
 - an explanation of the information which it is desired to obtain as a result of the surveillance;
 - the details of any potential collateral intrusion and why the intrusion is justified;
 - the details of any confidential or privileged information that is likely to be obtained as a consequence of the surveillance;
 - where the purpose, or one of the purposes, of the authorisation is to obtain information subject to legal privilege43, an assessment of why there are exceptional and compelling circumstances that make this necessary;

- the reasons why the surveillance is considered proportionate to what it seeks to achieve; and
- the level of authorisation required (or recommended where that is different) for the surveillance.
- applications should avoid any repetition of information;
- information contained in applications should be limited to that required by the relevant legislation and the requirements of this code;
- the case for the warrant or authorisation should be presented in the application in a fair and balanced way. In particular, all reasonable efforts should be made to take account of information which support or weakens the case for the warrant or authorisation;
- an application should not require the sanction of any person in a public authority other than the authorising officer;
- where it is foreseen that other agencies will be involved in carrying out the surveillance, these agencies should be detailed in the application;
- authorisations or warrants should not generally be sought for activities already authorised following an application by the same or a different public authority.
- and subsequently record whether authority was given or refused, by whom and the time and date.

4.2.12 Code of Practice Guidance for the Council

The Protection of Freedoms Act 2012 amended the 2000 Act to make local authority authorisations subject to judicial approval. The change means that local authorities need to obtain an order approving the grant or renewal of an authorisation from a judicial authority, before it can take effect. In England and Wales an application for such an order must be made to a Justice of the Peace (JP). If the JP is satisfied that the statutory tests have been met and that the use of the technique is necessary and proportionate, he or she will issue an order approving the grant or renewal for the use of the technique as described in the application. The amendment means that local authorities are no longer able to orally authorise the use of RIPA techniques. All authorisations must be made in writing and require JP approval. The authorisation cannot commence until this has been obtained.

The Regulation of Investigatory Powers (Directed Surveillance and Covert Human Intelligence Sources) (Amendment) Order 2012 has the following effect.

- The Council can only authorise use of directed surveillance under RIPA to prevent or detect criminal offences that are either punishable, whether on summary conviction or indictment, by a maximum term of at least 6 months' imprisonment or are related to the underage sale of alcohol and tobacco or nicotine inhaling products. The offences relating to the latter are in article 7A of the 2010 RIPA Order.
- The Council cannot authorise directed surveillance for the purpose of preventing disorder unless this involves a criminal offence(s) punishable (whether on summary conviction or indictment) by a maximum term of at least 6 months' imprisonment.
- The Council may therefore continue to authorise use of directed surveillance in more serious cases as long as the other tests are met – i.e. that it is necessary and proportionate and where prior approval from a JP has been granted.
 Examples of cases where the offence being investigated attracts a maximum custodial sentence of six months or more are ones involving more serious criminal damage or dangerous waste dumping.
- The Council may also continue to authorise the use of directed surveillance for the purpose of preventing or detecting specified criminal offences relating to the underage sale of alcohol and tobacco where the necessity and proportionality test is met and prior approval from a JP has been granted. In Carlisle, this type of offence is dealt with by the County Council.

- The Council may not authorise the use of directed surveillance under RIPA to investigate disorder that does not involve criminal offences or to investigate lowlevel offences which may include, for example, littering, dog control and flyposting.
- Within the Council, the senior responsible officer should be a member of the corporate leadership team and should be responsible for ensuring that all authorising officers are of an appropriate standard in light of any recommendations in the inspection reports prepared by the Investigatory Powers Commissioner. Where an inspection report highlights concerns about the standards of authorising officers, this individual will be responsible for ensuring the concerns are addressed. Carlisle City Council's senior responsible officer is the Corporate Director of Governance and Regulatory Services.
- Elected members of the Council should review the authority's use of the 1997 Act and the 2000 Act and set the policy at least once a year. They should also consider internal reports on use of the 1997 Act and the 2000 Act on a regular basis to ensure that it is being used consistently with the local authority's policy and that the policy remains fit for purpose.

YOU ARE RECOMMENDED TO SEEK ADVICE FROM THE LEGAL SERVICES UNIT WHEN CONSIDERING ANY APPLICATION FOR A CHIS AUTHORISATION OR ANY MATTER RELATED THERETO

4.3 **Conditions for Authorisation - Covert Use of Human Intelligence Sources**

4.3.1 The Authorising Officer must be satisfied that the use of a Covert Human Intelligence Source is <u>necessary</u> and <u>proportionate</u>. In these respects the principles set out in paragraph 4.2 should be applied. Authorisations should be given in writing and Authorising Officers should not be responsible for authorising their own activities eg acting as source or tasking a source save

exceptionally where this would otherwise be unavoidable. Note that the same secondary authorisation process by a Justice of the Peace, both for initial authorisations and their renewal, apply to CHIS (see 4.1.10 and 4.1.11).

- 4.3.2 An application for the use or conduct of a source should record:
 - details of the purpose for which the source will be tasked or deployed (e.g. in relation to anti-social behaviour);
 - the grounds on which authorisation is sought (eg for the purpose of preventing or detecting crime or preventing disorder);
 - where a specific investigation or operation is involved, details of that investigation or operation;
 - details of what the source will be tasked to do;
 - details of the level of authority required (or recommended, where that is different);
 - details of potential collateral intrusion;
 - details of any confidential material that might be obtained as a consequence of the authorisation.
- 4.3.3 The conduct so authorised is any conduct that:
 - (a) is comprised in any such activities involving conduct of a Covert Human Intelligence Source, or the use of a Covert Human Intelligence Source, as are specified or described in the authorisation;
 - (b) consists in conduct by or in relation to the person who is so specified or described as the person to whose actions as a Covert Human Intelligence Source the authorisation relates; and

- (c) is carried out for the purposes of, or in connection with, the investigation or operation so specified or described.
- 4.3.4 Nothing in the 2000 Act prevents material obtained from the use or conduct of the source being used in evidence in Court proceedings. Existing Court discretion and procedures can protect, where appropriate, the disclosure of the source's identity.
- 4.3.5 The Authorising Officer must consider the safety and welfare of that source, and the foreseeable consequences to others of the tasks they are asked to carry out. A risk assessment should be carried out <u>before</u> authorisation is given. Consideration for the safety and welfare of the source, even after cancellation of the authorisation, should also be considered.
- 4.3.6 Before authorising the use or conduct of a source, the Authorising officer should believe that the conduct/use including the likely degree of **intrusion** into the privacy of those potentially affected is proportionate to what the use or conduct of the source seeks to achieve. He should also take into account the risk of intrusion into the privacy of persons other than those who are directly the subjects of the operation or investigation ("collateral intrusion": for an explanation as to the meaning of this reference should be made to paragraph 4.2.8 above). Measures should be taken, wherever practicable, to avoid unnecessary intrusion into the lives of those not directly connected with the operation.

4.4 **Record Keeping in relation to Sources**

- 4.4.1 Accurate and proper recording keeping should be kept about the source and tasks undertaken although the confidentiality of the source must be maintained. Records of all authorisations should be maintained on the Central Register of Authorisations referred to in Section 5 of this Protocol which should contain the following information:
 - the authorisation together with any supplementary documentation and

notification of the approval given by the Authorising Officer;

- any renewal of an authorisation, together with the supporting documentation submitted when the renewal was requested;
- the reason why the person renewing an authorisation considered it necessary to do so;
- any risk assessment made in relation to the source;
- the circumstances in which tasks were given to the source;
- the value of the source to the investigating authority;
- a record of the results of any reviews of the authorisation;
- the reasons, if any, for not renewing an authorisation;
- the reasons for cancelling an authorisation;
- the date and time when any instruction was given by the Authorising Officer to cease using a source.

These records shall be retained and then deleted 3 years from the ending of the authorisation.

RIPA provides that an Authorising Officer must not grant an authorisation for the conduct or use of a source unless he believes that there are arrangements in place for ensuring that there is at all times a person with the responsibility for maintaining a record of the use made of the source.

4.4.2 Records should be kept not only of the Authorisation but of the use of the source as well. The records should contain particulars of:

- (a) the identity of the source;
- (b) the identity or identities used by the source, where known;
- (c) the means used within the Council of referring to the source;
- (d) any other significant information connected with the security and welfare of the source;
- (e) any confirmation made by a person granting or renewing an authorisation for the conduct or use of a source that the information in (d) has been considered and that any identified risks to the security and welfare of the source have been properly explained to and understood by the source;
- (f) the date when and circumstances in which the source was recruited;
- (g) where applicable, the relevant investigating authority in relation to the source (other than the authority that is maintaining the records);
- (h) the identities of the persons in the relevant investigating authority who, in relation to the source, are discharging or have discharged the responsibilities mentioned in paragraph 4.5.2 of this Protocol where relevant;
- the period for which those responsibilities have been discharged by those persons;
- the tasks that are given to the source and the demands made of him in relation to his activities as a source;
- (k) all contacts or communications between the source and a person acting on behalf of the Council;
- (I) the information obtained by the Council by the conduct or use of the source;

- (m) the information so obtained which is disseminated by the Council;
- (n) in the case of a source who is not an undercover operative, every payment, benefit or reward or every offer of a payment, benefit or reward that is made or provided by or on behalf of the Council in respect of the source's activities for the benefit of the Council.
- 4.4.3 The records must be maintained in such a way so as to preserve the anonymity of the source and the information provided by the source. The RIPA Monitoring Officer shall be responsible for maintaining the Central Register of Authorisations which will include the information referred to in paragraph 4.4.1 relating to Authorisations and the Authorising Officer shall maintain the information referred to in paragraph 4.4.2 above relating to the use of the source.

4.5 **Management and Tasking of Sources**

- 4.5.1 The Authorising Officer must ensure that satisfactory arrangements exist for the management of the source and for bringing to his attention any concerns about the personal circumstances of the source in so far as they might affect:
 - the validity of the risk assessment;
 - the proper conduct of the source operation, and
 - the safety and welfare of the source.

Where such information is brought to the attention of the Authorising Officer, he shall determine whether or not the authorisation shall continue.

- 4.5.2 RIPA requires that the Council in common with other public authorities; ensures that arrangements are in place for the proper management and oversight of sources including:
 - an Officer of the Council will have responsibility for dealing with the source

on behalf of the Council ("the Dealing Officer"): this person will usually be below the grade of Authorising Officer;

- another Officer shall have general oversight of the use made of the source ("the Oversight Officer").
- 4.5.3 The Dealing Officer will have day to day responsibility for:
 - dealing with the source on behalf of the Council;
 - directing the day to day activities of the source;
 - recording the information applied by the source; and,
 - monitoring the source's security and welfare.
- 4.5.4. It will always be sensible to give careful consideration to the scope of tasking of the source. Whenever it becomes apparent to the Dealing Officer or the Oversight Officer that unforeseen action has taken place or where it is intended to task the source in a new or significantly greater way, they must refer the proposed tasking to the Authorising Officer who will consider whether a separate authorisation is required.
- 4.5.5 Whenever the Council deploys a source it should take into account the safety and welfare of the source when carrying out the action which he has been tasked to do. As stated at paragraph 4.3.5 above, before authorising the use or conduct of a source, the Authorising Officer should ensure that a risk assessment has been carried out. The Dealing Officer is responsible for bringing to the attention of the Oversight Officer any concerns about the personal circumstances of the source including the validity of the risk assessment, the conduct of the source and the safety and welfare of the source. Where appropriate these concerns should be considered by the Authorising Officer who will decide whether or not to allow the authorisation to continue.

4.6 Limits of Source's Authority

A source may, in the context of an authorised operation, infiltrate existing criminal activity, or be a party to the commission of criminal offences, within the limits recognised by law. A source who acts beyond these limits will be at risk of prosecution. The need to protect the source cannot alter this principle.

4.7 **Cultivation of a source**

- 4.7.1 Cultivation is the process of developing a relationship with a potential source, with the intention of:
 - Covertly making a judgement as to his/her likely value as a source of information;
 - Covertly determining whether and, if so, the best way in which to propose to the subject that he/she become a source.
- 4.7.2 It may be necessary to infringe the personal privacy of the potential source in the process of cultivation. In such cases, authorisation is needed for the cultivation process itself, as constituting the conduct (by the person undertaking the cultivation) of a source.

4.8 Use and conduct of a source

Authorisation for the use and conduct of a source is required prior to any tasking. Tasking is an assignment given to the source, asking him or her to obtain information, to provide access to information or to otherwise act, incidentally, for the benefit of the relevant public authority. It may involve the source infiltrating existing criminal activity in order to obtain that information.

4.9 Vulnerable individuals

Vulnerable individuals should only be authorised to act as source in the most exceptional circumstances. The meaning of the term Vulnerable Individual is a person who is or may be in need of community care services by reason of mental or other disability, age or illness and who is or may be unable to take care of himself or unable to protect himself against significant harm or exploitation. Only the Chief Executive or in his absence, a Chief Officer may grant an Authorisation for the use of a vulnerable individual.

4.10 Juvenile sources

- 4.10.1 Special safeguards also apply to the authorisation for the use or conduct of juvenile sources; that is sources under the age of 18 years. On no occasion should the use or conduct of a source under 16 years of age be authorised to give information against his or her parents. In other cases, authorisations should not be granted unless:
 - A risk assessment has been undertaken as part of the application to deploy a juvenile source, covering the danger of physical injury and the psychological aspects (eg distress) of his or her deployment;
 - The risk assessment has been considered by the authorising officer and he has satisfied himself that any risk identified in it have been properly explained and understood, by the source; and
 - The authorising officer has given particular consideration as to whether the juvenile is to be tasked to get information from a relative, guardian or any other person who has for the time being assumed responsibility for his welfare and whether the authorisation is justified in the light of that fact.
- 4.10.2 In addition, juvenile authorisations should not be granted unless the Authorising Officer believes that arrangements exist which will ensure that there will at all times be a person who has responsibility for ensuring that an appropriate adult will be present between any meetings between the authority and a source under

16 years of age. An "Appropriate Adult" is the parent or guardian of the source; any other person who has assumed responsibility for his welfare or in the absence of any of the foregoing any responsible person aged 18 or over who is not a member of nor employed by the Council.

- 4.10.3 The duration of an Authorisation is **one month** instead of 12 months.
- 4.10.4 Only the Chief Executive or in his absence a Chief Officer may grant an Authorisation of the use of a juvenile.
- 4.11 Not used.

4.12 **Confidential Material**

- 4.12.1 RIPA does not provide any special protection for <u>'confidential material'</u>. Briefly "confidential material" has a special meaning under RIPA and comprise any of the following:
 - communications subject to legal privilege;
 - confidential personal information;
 - confidential journalistic material;

For a further explanation of these terms please refer to the definitions section in Appendix 1.

Nevertheless, such material is particularly sensitive, and is subject to additional safeguards under the Home Office codes. In cases where the likely consequence of the conduct of a source would be for any person to acquire knowledge of Confidential Material, the deployment of the source should be subject to special authorisation by the Head of the Paid Service (Town Clerk and Chief Executive) or (in his/her absence) a Chief Officer. Careful attention should be paid to the provisions in the Home Office codes (Chapter 3 of the Covert

Surveillance Code of Practice and Chapter 3 of the Covert Human Intelligence sources Code of Practice).

- 4.12.2 In general, any application for an authorisation which is likely to result in the acquisition of Confidential Material should include an assessment of how likely it is that Confidential Material will be acquired. Special care should be taken where the target of the investigation is likely to be involved in handling Confidential Material. Such applications should only be made in exceptional and compelling circumstances with full regard to the proportionality issues this raises.
- 4.12.3 The following general principles apply to Confidential Material acquired under Part II authorisations:
 - Those handling material from such operations should be alert to anything which may fall within the definition of Confidential Material. Where there is doubt as to whether the material is confidential, advice should be sought from the RIPA Monitoring Officer before further dissemination takes place;
 - Furthermore, careful regard should be had to the provisions in the Home Office Codes of Practice relating to confidential material referred to above.
 - Confidential Material should not be retained or copied unless it is necessary for a specified purpose;
 - Confidential Material should be disseminated only where an appropriate officer (having sought advice from a legal officer) is satisfied that it is necessary for a specific purpose;
 - The retention or dissemination of such information should be accompanied by a clear warning of its confidential nature. It should be safeguarded by taking reasonable steps to ensure that there is no possibility of it becoming available, or its content being known, to any person whose possession of it might prejudice any criminal or civil proceedings related to the information.

 Confidential Material should be destroyed as soon as it is no longer necessary to retain it for a specified purpose.

4.13 **Combined authorisations - joint working etc**

- 4.13.1 In cases of joint working i.e. with other agencies on the same operation, authority for directed surveillance by the Council's Officers must be obtained from the Council's Authorising Officers. Authority cannot be granted by the Benefit Authority's Authorising Officers for the actions of Council staff and vice versa.
- 4.13.2 The above paragraph refers to joint operations where the Council is working on the same operation as a partner agency. However, it is also possible for one organisation to act as 'principal' and one as 'agent' (i.e. the 'agent' is not necessarily carrying out the activities as part of its own operations). The 'principal' organisation will issue the authorisation and ensure that the agent is fully aware of the precise terms of the surveillance to be carried out, thus ensuring that the limits imposed by the authorisation on invasion of privacy are observed. If no collaboration agreement exists between the parties it is wise for the arrangement to be recorded in writing and the 'agent' should acknowledge that they act in the said capacity and will comply with the authorisation.
- 4.13.2 Although it is possible to combine two authorisations in one form the Council's practice is for separate forms to be completed to maintain the distinction between Directed Surveillance and the Use of a Covert Human Intelligence Source.

4.14 **Duration/Renewals**

- 4.14.1 Authorisations lapse, if not renewed:
 - 12 months if in writing/non-urgent from date of last renewal if it is for the conduct or use of a Covert Human Intelligence Source (Juvenile CHIS authorisation = one month) or

- in all other cases (ie Directed Surveillance) 3 months from the date of their grant or latest renewal.
- 4.14.2 An authorisation can be renewed at any time before it ceases to have effect by any person entitled to grant a new authorisation in the same terms. (See paragraph 4.15.4 below)

However, for the conduct of a Covert Human Intelligence Source, a person should not renew unless a review has been carried out and that person has considered the results of the review when deciding to renew or not. A review must cover what use has been made of the source, the tasks given to them and information obtained.

- 4.14.3 Regular reviews should be carried out of all authorisations which have been issued: it is for the Authorising Officer to determine the frequency of reviews to be carried out. Once a review has been conducted the result should be notified in writing to the RIPA Monitoring Officer in order that it may be recorded on the Central Register. In the case of CHIS authorisations, the review should include the use made of the source, the tasks given to the source and the information obtained from the source. In particular, reviews should be carried out frequently when it is likely that confidential material may be obtained or collateral intrusion may take place.
- 4.14.4 An authorisation may be reviewed, renewed, before it is due to expire, and such renewal for up to a further 3 months (Directed Surveillance or, 12 months CHIS) if the Authorising Officer considers this to be necessary. An application for renewal, in the case of Directed Surveillance should record:
 - whether this is the first renewal or every occasion on which the authorisation has been renewed previously;
 - any significant changes to the information in paragraph 4.2.8 (Directed Surveillance) or 4.3.2 (CHIS);

- the reasons why it is necessary to continue with the Directed Surveillance/use of the source;
- the content and value to the investigation or operation of the information so far obtained by the surveillance;
- in the case of a CHIS the use made of the source since the date of the authorisation/renewal the tasks given to him and the information obtained from him;
- the results of regular reviews of the investigation or operation.

Authorisations may be renewed more than once, if necessary, and the renewal should be kept/recorded as part of the central record of authorisations. Note that it is necessary to obtain the approval of a Justice of the Peace for any renewal.

4.15 **Cancellations**

The Authorising Officer has a statutory duty to cancel an authorisation once satisfied that the criteria for authorisation of Directed Surveillance or the use or conduct of a source (as appropriate) are no longer satisfied (s45 RIPA). Cancellations should be made by the Authorising Officer <u>as soon</u> as the conduct is no longer required. If the Authorising Officer is no longer available, the task will fall on the person who has taken over the role of Authorising Officer. Cancellations shall contain the information and Authorising Officer Directions in accordance with the Code of Practice.

4.16 **Retention and destruction of product**

4.16.1 Authorising Officers are reminded of the guidance relating to the retention and destruction of Confidential Material as described in paragraph 4.12 above.

4.16.2 Authorising Officers are responsible for ensuring that authorisations undergo

timely reviews and are cancelled promptly after Directed Surveillance activity is no longer necessary.

- 4.16.3 Authorising Officers must ensure that copies of each authorisation are sent to the RIPA Monitoring Officer as described in Section 5 below.
- 4.16.4 Authorisations for Directed Surveillance or CHIS are to be securely retained by the Authorising Officer, for a period of 3 years from the ending of the Authorisation and subsequently securely destroyed. Where it is believed that the records could be relevant to pending or future criminal proceedings, they should be retained for a suitable further period, in accordance with established disclosure requirements (e.g. Civil Procedure Rules; Code of Practice under the Criminal Procedures and Investigations Act (1996)) commensurate to any subsequent review. Once the investigation is closed (bearing in mind cases may be lodged sometime after the initial work) the records held by the Directorate should be disposed of in an appropriate manner (e.g. shredded).
- 4.16.5 Authorising officers must ensure compliance with the appropriate data protection requirements and the relevant codes of practice in the handling and storage of material. Where material is obtained by Directed Surveillance or through use of a CHIS which is wholly unrelated to a criminal or other investigation or to any person who is the subject of the investigation, and there is no reason to believe it will be relevant to future civil or criminal proceedings, it should be destroyed immediately. Consideration of whether or not unrelated material should be destroyed is the responsibility of the Authorising Officer.
- 4.16.6 There is nothing in the RIPA that prevents material obtained through the proper use of the authorisation procedures from being used in other investigations. However, the use outside the authority which authorised the surveillance, or the courts, of any material obtained by means of covert surveillance and, other than in pursuance of the grounds on which it was obtained, should be authorised only in the most exceptional circumstances.

CENTRAL REGISTER OF AUTHORISATIONS

AND RETENTION REQUIREMENTS

- 5.1. The Council has a Statutory Monitoring Officer who also fulfils the responsibility of the Council's RIPA Monitoring Officer. As such, the RIPA Monitoring Officer is responsible for the oversight of the Council's RIPA activities, the maintenance of the RIPA Protocol, maintenance of the Central Register of Authorisations. The RIPA Monitoring Officer will ensure that all involved have the appropriate level of training. He or she provides definitive advice for the purposes of RIPA and officers should not hesitate to seek assistance if required. In the absence of the RIPA Monitoring Officer the Deputy Monitoring Officer will also act as Deputy RIPA Monitoring Officer.
- 5.2 The RIPA requires a central register of all authorisations to be maintained by authorities coming within the Act. The Council's RIPA Monitoring Officer maintains this register. The following information shall be centrally retrievable for a period of at least three years:
 - the type of authorisation/warrant;
 - the date the authorisation was given;
 - name and rank/grade of the authorising officer;
 - the unique reference number (URN) of the investigation or operation (if applicable);
 - the title of the investigation or operation, including a brief description and names of subjects, if known;
 - whether the urgency provisions were used, and if so why;

- for local authorities, details of attendances at the magistrates' court to include the date of attendances at court, the determining magistrate, the decision of the court and the time and date of that decision;
- the dates of any reviews;
- if the authorisation has been renewed, when it was renewed and who authorised the renewal, including the name and rank/grade of the authorising officer;
- whether the authorised activity is likely to result in obtaining confidential or privileged information as defined in this code of practice67;
- whether the authorisation was granted by an individual directly involved in the investigation;
- the date the authorisation was cancelled;
- where any application is refused, the grounds for refusal as given by the issuing authority or Judicial Commissioner;
- a record of whether, following a refusal of any application by a Judicial Commissioner, there is an appeal to the Investigatory Powers Commissioner;
- where there is such an appeal and the Investigatory Powers
 Commissioner also refuses the issuing of an application, the grounds for refusal given.

The following documentation should also be centrally retrievable for at least three years from the ending of each authorisation:

- a copy of the application and a copy of the authorisation together with any supplementary documentation and notification of the approval given by the authorising officer;
- a record of the period over which the surveillance has taken place;

- the frequency of reviews prescribed by the authorising officer;
- a record of the result of each review of the authorisation;
- a copy of any renewal of an authorisation, together with the supporting documentation submitted when the renewal was requested;
- the date and time when any instruction to cease surveillance was given;
- the date and time when any other instruction was given by the authorising officer;
- for local authorities a copy of the order approving or otherwise the grant or renewal of an authorisation from a Justice of the Peace (JP).
- 5.3 Whenever an authorisation is issued (including renewals and when cancellations are issued) the Authorising Officer must forthwith arrange for a the fully detailed Authorisation (including the JP authorisation) to be sent to the RIPA Monitoring Officer in a sealed envelope marked "Confidential" and to his Directorate's Record holder, with a further copy being placed on the individual case file.
- 5.4 In addition, the following documentation should be retained, by the Record Holder in the Directorates where authorisation has taken place:
 - a copy of the application and a copy of the authorisation together with any supplementary documentation and notification of the approval given by the Authorising Officer and the Justice of the Peace;
 - a record of the period over which the investigation/surveillance has taken place;
 - the frequency of reviews prescribed by the Authorising Officer;
 - a record of the result of each review of the authorisation;
 - a copy of any renewal of an authorisation, together with the supporting documentation submitted when the renewal was requested;

- the date and time when any instruction was given by the Authorising Officer.
- a copy of any cancellation of the authorisation.
- 5.5 The RIPA Monitoring Officer or his nominated deputy shall be responsible on a monthly basis for reviewing any outstanding authorisations contained within the Central Register. In particular, the RIPA Monitoring Officer should ascertain whether authorisations have been reviewed or cancelled as appropriate by the relevant Authorising Officer.
- 5.6 The RIPA Monitoring Officer should signify that the required monthly review has been satisfactorily conducted by signifying to this effect on the review log contained within the Central Register of Authorisations.

CODES OF PRACTICE

- 6.1 There are Home Office codes of practice that expand on this guidance and copies are available on the Home Office website or on request from Legal Services.
- 6.2 The codes do not have the force of statute but are admissible in evidence in any criminal and civil proceedings. The 2000 Act provides that all codes of practice issued under the Act are admissible as evidence in criminal and civil proceedings. Any court or tribunal considering such proceedings, the Investigatory Powers Tribunal , or the Investigatory Powers Commissioner responsible for overseeing the relevant powers and functions, may take the provisions of the codes of practice into account. Public authorities may also be required to justify, with regard to this code, the use or granting of authorisations in general or the failure to use or grant authorisations where appropriate.
- 6.3 Staff should refer to the Home Office Codes of Practice via the links in the relevant appendices:-
 - Covert Surveillance Code of Practice (Appendix 2) this contains guidance on Directed Surveillance at Chapter 3;
 - Covert Human Intelligence Sources Code of Practice (Appendix 3).
 - 6.4 The front page of this Policy also provides a link to the Investigatory Powers Commissioner's Office website which provides guidance and procedures.

BENEFITS OF OBTAINING AUTHORISATION UNDER THE 2000 ACT.

7.1 Authorisation of surveillance and human intelligence sources

The RIPA states that

- if authorisation confers entitlement to engage in a certain conduct and
- the conduct is in accordance with the authorisation, then
- it shall be "lawful for all purposes".

However, the corollary is <u>not</u> true – i.e. if you do <u>not</u> obtain the RIPA authorisation it does not automatically make any conduct unlawful (e.g. use of intrusive surveillance by local authorities). However, you cannot take advantage of any of the special RIPA benefits and that may entail that any enforcement action taken by the Council following unauthorised conduct may be subject to collateral challenge under the Human Rights Act 1998. Furthermore, if a person can prove that their Article 8 rights have been infringed as a result of unauthorised conduct they may sue the Council and claim compensation.

- 7.2 The RIPA states that a person shall not be subject to any civil liability in relation to any conduct of his which -
 - (a) is incidental to any conduct that is lawful by virtue of S27(1); and
 - (b) is not itself conduct an authorisation or warrant for which is capable of being granted under a relevant enactment and might reasonably be expected to have been sought in the case in question.

SCRUTINY AND TRIBUNAL

- 8.1 To effectively "police" RIPA, there is provision for the setting up of Commissioners to provide independent oversight carried out thereunder. It provides for the appointment of a Chief Surveillance Commissioner to keep under review, among others, the exercise and performance by the persons on whom are conferred or imposed, of the powers and duties in Part II. This includes authorising Directed Surveillance and the use of Covert Human Intelligence Sources. Accordingly, this role is carried out by the Investigatory Powers Commissioner's Office: https://www.ipco.org.uk/.
- 8.2 RIPA also provides for the establishment of a tribunal to consider and determine complaints made under the RIPA. It will be made up of senior members of the legal profession or judiciary and shall be independent of the Government. The Tribunal has full powers to investigate and decide any case within its jurisdiction. The Investigatory Powers Tribunal fulfils this role: <u>https://www.ipt-uk.com/Default.asp</u>.

Complaints can be made by persons aggrieved by conduct e.g. Directed Surveillance. The forum hears applications on a judicial review basis. Claims should be brought within one year unless it is just and equitable to extend that.

The tribunal can order, among others, the quashing or cancellation of any warrant or authorisation and can order destruction of any records or information obtained by using a warrant or authorisation or records of information held by any public authority in relation to any person. The Council is, however, under a duty to disclose or provide to the tribunal all documents they require if

- It has granted any authorisations under Part II of the 2000 Act.

- We hold the rank, office and position in a public authority for whose benefit any such authorisation has been or may be given.

Definitions from the 2000 Act

- "1997 Act" means the Police Act 1997.
 "2000 Act" means the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000.
- "Confidential Material" has the same meaning as it is given in sections 98-100 of the 1997 Act.

It consists of:

- (a) matters subject to legal privilege;
- (b) confidential personal information; or
- (c) confidential journalistic material.
- "Matters subject to legal privilege" includes both oral and written communications between a professional legal adviser and his/her client or any person representing his/her client, made in connection with the giving of legal advice to the client or in contemplation of legal proceedings and for the purposes of such proceedings, as well as items enclosed with or referred to in such communications. Communications and items held with the intention of furthering a criminal purpose are not matters subject to legal privilege (see Note A below)
- "Confidential Personal Information" is information held in confidence concerning an individual (whether living or dead) who can be identified from it, and relating:

- (a) to his/her physical or mental health; or
- (b) to spiritual counselling or other assistance given or to be given, and

which a person has acquired or created in the course of any trade, business, profession or other occupation, or for the purposes of any paid or unpaid office (see Note B below). It includes both oral and written information and also communications as a result of which personal information is acquired or created. Information is held in confidence if:

- (c) it is held subject to an express or implied undertaking to hold it in confidence; or
- (d) it is subject to a restriction on disclosure or an obligation of secrecy contained in existing or future legislation.
- "Confidential Journalistic Material" includes material acquired or created for the purposes of journalism and held subject to an undertaking to hold it in confidence, as well as communications resulting in information being acquired for the purposes of journalism and held subject to such an undertaking.
- "Covert Surveillance" means surveillance which is carried out in a manner calculated to ensure that the persons subject to the surveillance are unaware that it is or may be taking place;
- For the purposes of authorising directed surveillance under the 2000 Act an "authorising officer" means the person designated for the purposes of section 28 of the 2000 Act to grant authorisations for directed surveillance.
- "Working Day" means any day other than a Saturday, a Sunday, Christmas Day, Good Friday or a day which is a bank holiday under the Banking and Financial Dealings Act 1971 in any part of the United Kingdom

Note A. Legally privileged communications will lose their protection if there is evidence, for example, that the professional legal adviser is intending to hold or use them for a criminal purpose; privilege is not lost if a professional legal adviser is properly advising a person who is suspected of having committed a criminal offence. The concept of legal privilege shall apply to the provision of professional legal advice by any agency or organisation.

Note B. Confidential personal information might, for example, include consultations between a health professional or a professional counsellor and a patient or client, or information from a patient's medical records.

COVERT SURVEILLANCE

CODES OF PRACTICE

https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/ripa-codes

COVERT HUMAN INTELLIGENCE SOURCES

CODE OF PRACTICE

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/covert-surveillance-and-covert-humanintelligence-sources-codes-of-practice

LIST OF AUTHORISING OFFICERS

Corporate Director of Finance and Resources	Alison Taylor
Development Manager	Christopher Hardman
Regulatory Services Manager	Scott Burns
Town Clerk and Chief Executive (Juvenile or Vulnerable Person CHIS or the acquisition of confidential information.)	Jason Gooding

AUTHORISATION FORMS

All forms may be found from the following link:

https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/ripa-forms--2

Note: Carlisle best practice is to obtain the relevant form direct from the RIPA Monitoring Officer to ensure (a) it is the most up to date form and (b) a URN may be allocated.