Decision Ref No:	EX.034/12
------------------	-----------

CARLISLE DISTRICT PARISH CHARTER

The Carlisle Local Strategic Partnership Manager submitted report CD.25/12 presenting a proposed new Parish Charter for Carlisle and District, a copy of which was attached at Appendix 1 to the report.

He outlined the background to the matter, reminding Members that the new Parish Charter had been developed in partnership by a Working Group of Carlisle City Council and Parish Council elected representatives (comprising Councillors Mrs Geddes, Bloxham and Mrs Bowman from the City Council; and Councillors Auld, Little and Nicholson from the CPCA). The proposed new Charter was concise and aimed only to outline expected behaviours and relationships. Accordingly it was intended to be a durable and long-lasting document.

The Carlisle Local Strategic Partnership Manager added that the new Charter would be supported by a series of 'Formal Agreements' which outlined specific commitments around services, roles and responsibilities. Those were intended to reflect current circumstances and may be developed, amended and deleted on a much more regular basis. The Formal Agreements would be agreed annually at one of the joint meetings of Carlisle City Council and the Parish Councils.

The Executive was therefore requested to consider and approve the proposed Parish Charter on behalf of Carlisle City Council.

In response to a request for clarification on the approval process, the Carlisle Local Strategic Partnership Manager advised that the new Parish Charter would be submitted to the Carlisle Parish Councils' Executive for their approval, and would be presented to the joint meeting between representatives of the Executive and the Parish Councils.

The Environment and Housing Portfolio Holder explained that the Working Group had undertaken a number of meetings which had resulted in a new workable Parish Charter. The process had been robust and he believed that the new Charter was appropriate for approval by the City and Carlisle Parish Councils Executives.

The Community Engagement Portfolio Holder said that the previous Parish Charter had been unwieldy and had therefore remained on the shelf. She added that the new Parish Charter could be easily understood by all and should be in existence for a number of years. The Formal Agreements constituted work in progress.

In conclusion, the Portfolio Holder wished to thank all Members and Officers involved in preparation of the new Charter.

The Economic Development Portfolio Holder agreed with the comments expressed, adding that those Members who attended Parish Council meetings would be able to monitor the position.

The Leader then moved that the Executive, on behalf of the City Council, agree the Parish Charter.

Decision:

That the Exe and agreed					d Parish Charter, appo	ende	ed to Report CD.25/1	2,
Key or Non-I Decision:	Key	Key			Key Decision Ro	ef:	KD.001/12	
Portfolio:	Comm	unity Eng	agem	ent				
Who made d	lecision:		Exe	cutive				
Date:		12-Mar-	12					

Reports and Background Papers considered:

A Report of the Director of Community Engagement (CD.25/12) - Carlisle District Parish Charter

Reasons for Decision:

The proposed Parish Charter had been subject to rigorous negotiations between a working group of Parish Councillors and representatives from Carlisle City Council's Executive.

Representatives from both sides had contributed fully to and endorsed the resulting draft. It was a relatively 'light touch' document intended to outline relationships and behaviours (and therefore be a longer-term and lasting document). In contrast supporting 'Formal Agreements' would be more detailed and intended to reflect current circumstances. They should be updated on a regular basis as circumstances dictated.

Summary of Options rejected:

None				
Interests declared:	None			
Date published:	14-Mar-12			
Urgent decision not sul	bject to call in:	No		
Consent of Chairman/ E Council to Urgency:	Deputy Chairman of	Not applicable		
Deadline for call-in:5.00 pm 21 March 2012				
Implementation date if	not called-in: 22 l	March 2012		
Relevant Overview and Scrutiny Community Overview and Scrutiny Panel Panel: Community Overview and Scrutiny Panel				
Call-in notified to and d	ate notified:			
Approved for implemen	itation on:			

Decision Ref No:	EX.035/12
------------------	-----------

OLD TOWN HALL

The Director of Economic Development submitted report ED.09/12 providing details of preparatory work for substantial repairs to the Old Town Hall, a prominent two storey Grade 1 Listed Building situated within the City Centre Conservation Area.

She reminded Members that, in 2010, a substantial scheme had been drawn up to develop the role of the Old Town Hall as a gateway to Carlisle's historic attractions, the overall cost of which was estimated at c. £1,300,000 with the total funding package coming from:

- Carlisle City Council - LABGI and capital programme

- English Heritage - Historic Buildings, Monuments and Designated Landscapes (HBMDL) and 'Partnership Schemes in Conservation Areas Programme' (PSICA)

- Visitor Information Infrastructure funding from the North West Development Agency

- A substantial bid to the Heritage Lottery Fund

The Director of Economic Development outlined the current position and issues, commenting that the prospective NWDA funding had been withdrawn in August 2010 and the bid for HLF funding in 2010 proved to be unsuccessful. Following discussions with English Heritage it was agreed that £40,000 HBMDL and £215,000 PSICA grant funding for restoration and repairs to the building remained in place, subject to eligibility of proposed works. The City Council match funding would be drawn from capital and LABGI. That provided a confirmed available funding package amounting to £551,000. She added that a decision was made to progress a more modest scheme for the Old Town Hall using those remaining available capital funds, details of which were provided.

The Director of Economic Development further gave an explanation of the proposed building restoration and repairs, associated costs and management arrangements. She added that, in order to meet the target dates for completion of the scheduled works, it had been necessary to issue tenders for the appointment of a co-ordinating consultant by no later than the week commencing 20 February 2012 since it was critical that the full professional team could be appointed immediately after receipt of English Heritage funding approval.

In conclusion, the Director of Economic Development said that the Old Town Hall was a significant building both in terms of its history and its position in the centre of Carlisle City. The proposed works would help secure the fabric of the building in the short to medium term.

The Economic Development Portfolio Holder was delighted by submission of the report. Although she was disappointed that it had not been possible to progress the larger scheme, the current scheme was much needed and constituted very necessary works to an important building within the City Centre.

Decision:

That the Executive:

1. Noted progress on the preparatory work for restoration and repair work to the Old Town Hall.

2. Approved the release of \pounds 551,000 earmarked for that scheme in the 2011/12 and 2012/13 Capital Programmes, noting that it related to the City Council match funding of \pounds 296,000, to enable maximum grant funding of \pounds 255,000 to be drawn down from English Heritage to part fund the project.

3. Delegated authority to the Director of Economic Development in consultation with the Portfolio

Holder to progress tender invitations for appointment of the Conservation Architect and Support Team, ahead of English Heritage approval of grant expenditure w/c 2 April 2012.

Key or Non-Key Decision:	Key Key Decision Ref: KD.002/12				
Portfolio: Econo	Portfolio: Economic Development				
Who made decision:	Executive				
Date:	12-Mar-12				
Reports and Backgro	ound Papers considered:				
A Report of the Direct	ctor of Economic Development (ED.09/12) - Old Town Hall				
Reasons for Decision	1:				
In order to ensure tha	.t:				
-	ecured and maximised the grant funding available in support of the ks to the Old Town Hall.				
	e carried out and completed within the lifetime of the grant ie as per me of Works, before the end of March 2013.				
Summary of Options	rejected:				
None					
Interests declared:	None				
Date published:	14-Mar-12				
Urgent decision not subject to call in: No					
Consent of Chairman/ Deputy Chairman of Not applicable Council to Urgency:					
Deadline for call-in:5.00 pm 21 March 2012					
Implementation date if not called-in: 22 March 2012					
Relevant Overview and ScrutinyEnvironment and Economy Overview and Scrutiny PanelPanel:					
Call-in notified to and date notified:					
Approved for implem	entation on:				

036/12

FORWARD PLAN

The Forward Plan of Key Decisions for the period 1 March 2012 to 30 June 2012 was submitted for information.

Decision:

That the Forward Plan	of Key Decisions	s for the pe	eriod 1 March 2012 to 30) June 2012 be received.
Key or Non-Key Decision:	Non-Key		Key Decision Ref:	Not applicable
Portfolio: Cross-	Cutting			
Who made decision:	Execut	tive		
Date:	12-Mar-12			
Reports and Backgrou	und Papers cons	sidered:		
Forward Plan of Key	Decisions for the	period 1	March 2012 to 30 June 2	2012
Reasons for Decision				
Not applicable.	•			
Summary of Options	rejected:			
None				
Interests declared:	None			
Date published:	14-Mar-12			
Urgent decision not s	ubject to call in:		No	
Consent of Chairman/ Council to Urgency:	Deputy Chairma	an of	Not applicable	
Deadline for call-in:	5.00 p	pm 21 Ma	rch 2012	
Implementation date i	f not called-in:	22 Ma	rch 2012	
Relevant Overview an Panel:	•		y; Environment and Eco and Scrutiny Panels	nomy; and Resources
Call-in notified to and	date notified:			
Approved for impleme	entation on:			

Decision Ref No:	EX.037/12
------------------	-----------

SCHEDULE OF DEC	ISIONS TAKEN BY OFFICERS
Details of decisions ta	aken by Officers under delegated powers were submitted.
Decision:	
That the decisions be	received.
Key or Non-Key Decision:	Non-Key Key Decision Ref: Not applicable
Portfolio: Perform	nance and Development
Who made decision:	Executive
Date:	12-Mar-12
Reports and Backgro	und Papers considered:
A Schedule of Decisi	ons taken by Officers under delegated powers
Reasons for Decision	
Not applicable	
Summary of Options	reiected:
None	
Interests declared:	None
Date published:	14-Mar-12
Urgent decision not s	ubject to call in: No
Consent of Chairman Council to Urgency:	Deputy Chairman of Not applicable
Deadline for call-in:	5.00 pm 21 March 2012
Implementation date i	f not called-in: 22 March 2012
Relevant Overview an Panel:	d Scrutiny Resources Overview and Scrutiny Panel
Call-in notified to and	date notified:
Approved for impleme	entation on:

Decision Ref No:	EX.038/12			
Subject Matter:				
JOINT MANAGEMENT	TEAM			
The Minutes of the mee submitted for information		ment Team held on 2 Fe	bruary 2012 were	
Decision:				
That the Minutes of the received.	meeting of the Joint Man	agement Team held on 2	Pebruary 2012 be	
Key or Non-Key Decision:	Non-Key	Key Decision Ref:	Not applicable	
Portfolio: Cross-C	utting			
Who made decision:	Executive			
Date:	12-Mar-12			
Reports and Backgrou	nd Papers considered:			
Minutes of the meeting	of the Joint Managemer	nt Team held on 2 Februa	ary 2012	
Reasons for Decision:				
Not applicable				
Summary of Options re	jected:			
None				
Interests declared:	None			
Date published:	14-Mar-12			
Urgent decision not subject to call in: No				
Consent of Chairman/ I Council to Urgency:	Deputy Chairman of	Not applicable		
Deadline for call-in:	5.00 pm 21 Ma	arch 2012		
Implementation date if	not called-in: 22 M	arch 2012		
Relevant Overview and Panel:		ity; Environment and Eco and Scrutiny Panels	nomy; and Resources	
Call-in notified to and d	ate notified:			
Approved for implement	itation on:			

Decision Ref No:	EX.039/12
------------------	-----------

BAD DEBT WRITE OFFS FOR NNDR, COUNCIL TAX AND DEBTORS (INCLUDING PENALTY CHARGE NOTICES)

The Director of Resources submitted report RD.95/11 informing the Executive of actions taken in writing off debts totalling £149,874.08 for the period 1 September 2011 to 29 February 2012.

The Governance and Resources Portfolio Holder then moved the recommendations contained within the report.

Decision:

That the Executive:

1. Noted the Director of Resources' action in writing off debts totalling £149,874.08 for the period 1 September 2011 to 29 February 2012.

2. Noted that the costs would fall against the

General Fund	- £ 67,629.64
Council Tax Pool	- £ 55,240.34
NNDR Pool	- £ 27,004.34
TOTAL	- £149,874.08

3. 'Write-ons" would be credited as follows:

General Fund	- £ 11,049.26
Council Tax Pool	-£ 2,171.87
NNDR Pool	-£ 172.97

TOTAL - £ 13,394.10

4. Noted the cumulative write offs (£342,931.80) and write ons (£46,945.54) for the period 1 April 2011 to 29 February 2012. The full 2011/12 years write offs and write on trends compared to previous years would be reported to Members as part of the 2011/12 outturn report.

Key or Non- Decision:	Кеу	Non-Key	Key Decision Ref:	Not applicable
Portfolio:	Gover	nance and Resources		
Who made d	lecision:	Executive		
Date:		12-Mar-12		
Reports and	Backgro	und Papers considered	d:	
		ctor of Resources (RD.9 cluding Penalty Charge I	5/11) - Bad Debt Write Offs t Notices)	for NNDR, Council
Reasons for	Decisior	:		
Debt irrecov	erable			

Summary of Options rejected:

None		
Interests declared:	None	
Date published:	14-Mar-12	
Urgent decision not s	ubject to call in:	No
Consent of Chairman/ Council to Urgency:	Deputy Chairman of	Not applicable
Deadline for call-in:	5.00 pm 21 M	March 2012
Implementation date if not called-in: 22 March 2012		
Relevant Overview and Scrutiny Resources Overview and Scrutiny Panel Panel: Panel		
Call-in notified to and date notified:		
Approved for impleme	entation on:	

Decision Ref No:	EX.040/12
------------------	-----------

ASSET REVIEW BUSINESS PLAN DISPOSAL PROGRAMME - WILLOWHOLME INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, CARLISLE

The Director of Resources submitted report RD.94/11 concerning the Willowholme Industrial Estate, Carlisle which, as Members would recall, was severely affected by flooding in January 2005. Flood alleviation work had since been undertaken to defend the City and the Estate, in addition to which the City Council had undertaken works to the Estate's infrastructure, particularly the highways.

The part of the Estate identified for disposal was set out on the plan attached to the report and comprised Site 20 (Stagecoach) and the remainder of the Estate (excluding Sites 19 and 24).

The Director of Resources indicated that, following representations and discussions with the businesses on the Estate in late 2010, the Council had undertaken to explore the possibility of an off market sale of the Estate to sitting tenants provided that could be achieved through collective negotiations, best consideration could be achieved and the whole of the Estate was sold. External Agents were appointed to support the Council thorough that process and advise on the disposal; provide valuation advice to ensure the requirement to achieve best price was met; and also undertake negotiations with the parties involved. Details of the valuation advice were provided within private report RD.94/11 to be considered later on the Agenda.

Although extensive negotiations with the consortium of Willowholme business tenants had been carried out, those had not resulted in a successful conclusion. A sale of the entire Estate could not be achieved and the financial terms on offer were less than satisfactory to the Council, being at a figure considered less than best consideration. Subsequently the Estate, apart from Sites 19 and 24, had been offered for sale to a targeted audience with marketing to known interested parties and investors. Depending on the outcome, the next steps would, if necessary, be to market the Estate or parts of it with exposure to the wider market at large through a sale conducted by private treaty, tender or auction process. Details of the offers received to date and under consideration were set out in the private report referred to above.

In conclusion, the Director of Resources recommended that the Executive approve the release and freehold disposal of part of the Willowholme Estate, subject to final terms and conditions agreed by the Property Services Manager.

The Governance and Resources Portfolio Holder stated that the Asset Review Business Plan Disposal Programme had taken a great deal of time and involved enormous effort by Members and staff, and the proposed release and disposal of part of the Willowholme Industrial Estate constituted further evidence that the programme was coming to fruition.

The Portfolio Holder added that the disposals undertaken to date had proved to be very successful. He was sure that level of success would continue, and was very grateful to all involved.

Decision:

That the Executive approved the release and freehold disposal of part of the Willowholme Industrial Estate, subject to final terms and conditions agreed by the Property Services Manager.

Key or Non-Key Decision: Non-Key

Portfolio:	Governance and Resources
------------	--------------------------

Who	made	decis	ion.
	maao	40010	

Executive

12-Mar-12

Date:

Reports and Background Papers considered:

A Report of the Director of Resources (RD.94/11) - Asset Review Business Plan Disposal Programme - Willowholme Industrial Estate, Carlisle

Reasons for Decision:

To more effectively manage the Council's assets by bringing forward the disposal of surplus property in pursuit of the strategic objectives set out in the Asset Review Business Plan adopted by Council

Summary of Options rejected:

None		
Interests declared:	None	
Date published:	14-Mar-12	
Urgent decision not s	ubject to call in:	Νο
Consent of Chairman/ Council to Urgency:	Deputy Chairman of	Not applicable
Deadline for call-in:	5.00 pm 21 M	March 2012
Implementation date i	f not called-in: 22	March 2012
Relevant Overview an Panel:	d Scrutiny Resour	ces Overview and Scrutiny Panel
Call-in notified to and	date notified:	
Approved for impleme	entation on:	

Decision Ref No:	EX.041/12
------------------	-----------

ASSET REVIEW BUSINESS PLAN DISPOSAL PROGRAMME - WILLOWHOLME INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, CARLISLE

(Public and Press excluded by virtue of Paragraph 3)

The Director of Resources submitted private report RD.94/11 outlining the financial aspects of the proposals set out in Part A to dispose of part of the Willowholme Industrial Estate, together with details of the offers received to date following the marketing of the Estate and negotiation with interested parties.

The Director of Resources added that matters were progressing and he would circulate a report updating Members on the position.

The Leader then moved the report.

Decision:

That the Executive noted the financial aspects of the proposal to dispose of part of the Willowholme Industrial Estate, as set out in public Report RD.94/11.

Key or Non-Key Decision:	Non-Key	Key Decision Ref:	Not applicable
Portfolio: Go	vernance and Resources		
Who made decisio	n: Executive		
Date:	12-Mar-12		
Reports and Back	ground Papers consider	ed:	
•	of the Director of Resourc	ces (RD.94/11) - Asset Reviev rial Estate, Carlisle	v Business Plan
Reasons for Decis	ion:		
Reasons for Decis			
To more effectively	/ manage the Council's as of the strategic objectives	ssets by bringing forward the ossets out in the Asset Review I	
To more effectively property in pursuit	v manage the Council's as of the strategic objectives uncil		
To more effectively property in pursuit adopted by the Co	v manage the Council's as of the strategic objectives uncil		
To more effectively property in pursuit adopted by the Co Summary of Optio	v manage the Council's as of the strategic objectives uncil ns rejected:		
To more effectively property in pursuit adopted by the Co Summary of Optio	v manage the Council's as of the strategic objectives uncil ns rejected:		
To more effectively property in pursuit adopted by the Co Summary of Optio None Interests declared Date published:	v manage the Council's as of the strategic objectives uncil ns rejected:		
To more effectively property in pursuit adopted by the Co Summary of Optio None Interests declared Date published: Urgent decision no	v manage the Council's as of the strategic objectives uncil ns rejected: None 14-Mar-12 ot subject to call in: nan/ Deputy Chairman of	s set out in the Asset Review I	

Implementation date if not called-in:	22 March 2012
Relevant Overview and Scrutiny Panel:	Resources Overview and Scrutiny Panel
Call-in notified to and date notified:	
Approved for implementation on:	