

Report to Executive

Agenda Item:

A.6

Meeting Date: 14th September 2020

Portfolio: Finance, Governance and Resources

Key Decision: No

Within Policy and

Budget Framework

Yes

Public / Private **Public**

Title: QUARTER 1 PERFORMANCE REPORT 2020/21

Report of: Policy and Communications Manager

PC 22-20 Report Number:

Purpose / Summary:

This report contains the Quarter 1 2020/21 performance against the current Service Standards and a summary of the Carlisle Plan actions as defined in the 'plan on a page'. Performance against the 2020/21 Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) are also included.

Recommendations:

1. Consider the performance of the City Council as presented in the report with a view to seeking continuous improvement in how the Council delivers its priorities.

Tracking

Executive:	14/09/20
Scrutiny:	Health and Wellbeing 27/08/20
	Economic Growth 20/08/20
	Business and Transformation 03/09/20
Council:	N/A

1. BACKGROUND

This report contains the Quarter 1 2020/21 performance against the Service Standards and a summary of the Carlisle Plan actions as defined in the 'plan on a page'. The Council's Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) are also included as an appendix. Service Standards are the measures judged to be the most important to our customers and therefore the mostly likely to influence the overall satisfaction with how the Council performs. The following pages contains the Council's performance against the Service Standards.

The updates against the actions in the Carlisle Plan are presented in Section 3. The intention is to give the Executive a brief overview of the current position without duplicating the more detailed reporting that takes place within the Scrutiny agendas and Portfolio Holder reports.

Summary of KPIs and Service Standards:

Service Standards – 2 'red', 0 'amber' and 8 'green' KPIs – 4 'red', 6 'amber', 35 'green'

Summary of Exceptions (RED)

Measure	Target	Performance
SS03: Percentage of	50%	41.6%
household waste sent for		Recycling rates were lower in April due to the
recycling (including bring		temporary suspension of the garden waste
sites)		collections in order to support priority services
		during the early stages of the Covid-19
		pandemic.
SS05: Proportion of	100%	89.5%
corporate complaints dealt		Two late replies in Quarter 1 were due to
with on time		resources reallocated to the Covid-19
		response.
CSe14: Actual car parking	91.1%	17.6%
revenue as a percentage		Revenue £286k under target
of car parking expenditure		
(including recharges).		
CSe18: Actual Old Fire	27.1%	0%
Station (OFS) revenue as		OFS has been closed since March due to
a percentage of OFS		Covid-19.
expenditure		

(including recharges).		
CSe22: Actual city centre	80%	49.8% Revenue £13k under target.
revenue as a percentage		
of city centre expenditure		
(including recharges)		
CSe25 Actual Talkin Tarn	83.9%	10.3%
revenue as a percentage		Tearoom closed during Q1 due to Covid-19.
of Talkin Tarn expenditure		
(including recharges)		

2. PROPOSALS

None

3. RISKS

None

4. CONSULTATION

The report was reviewed by the Senior Management Team in July and has been considered at the three Scrutiny Panels.

5. CONCLUSION AND REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

The Executive are asked to note the Quarter 1 Performance Report.

6. CONTRIBUTION TO THE CARLISLE PLAN PRIORITIES

Detail in the report.

Contact Officer: Gary Oliver Ext: 7430

Appendices attached to report:

Performance Dashboard

Note: in compliance with section 100d of the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 the report has been prepared in part from the following papers:

None

CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS:

LEGAL - This report raises no explicit legal issues.

FINANCE - This report raises no explicit financial issues

EQUALITY – This report raises no explicit issues relating to the Public Sector Equality Duty.

INFORMATION GOVERNANCE – This report raises no explicit issues relating to Information Governance.

PROPERTY SERVICES - This report raises no explicit issues relating to Property Services

Section 1: Service Standards 2020/21

Service Standards were introduced in 2012 after consultation with Service Managers, DMTs, SMT and JMT. Five further measures were introduced from Quarter 2 2017/18 and all are reviewed during Quarter 3 each year.

Service Standards are the measures judged to be the most important to our customers and therefore the mostly likely to influence the overall satisfaction with how the Council performs.

The following pages contains the Council's performance against the Service Standards.

SS01: Percentage of Household Planning Applications processed within eight weeks

Service Standard	To end of Quarter 1 2020/21	Performance by Month	Further Information
80% (Nationally set target)	100% (Q1 2019/20: 98.9%) On target?	100% 90% 80% 70% Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar 2019/20 2020/21 — Target	53 household planning applications have been processed in Quarter 1 compared with 88 in the same period last year.

SS02: Proportion of waste or recycling collections missed (valid)

Service Standard	To end of Quarter 1 2020/21	Performance by Month	Further Information
40 missed collections per 100,000 (Industry standard)	9.9 (Q1 2019/20: 11.3) On target?	50 40 30 20 10 Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar 2019/20 2020/21 — Target	Just under one million collections were due to be made in the first quarter of the year. 92 collections were missed meaning the success rate was 99.99%. This figure excludes the temporary suspension of garden waste collections during the early stages of Covid-19.

SS03: Percentage of household waste sent for recycling (including bring sites)

Service Standard	To end of May 2020	Performance by Month	Further Information
50% (Nationally set target)	41.6% (end of May 2019: 46.1%) On target?	80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar 2020/21 2019/20 2020 Target	Recycling rates were lower in April due to the temporary suspension of the garden waste collections in order to support priority services during the early stages of the Covid-19 pandemic.

SS04: Average number of working days to process new benefits claims

Service Standard	To end of Quarter 1 2020/21	Performance by Month	Further Information
New claims should be processed within 19 days to achieve top two quartiles compared to other local authorities	14.4 days (Q1 2019/20: 20.4 days) On target?	25 20 15 10 Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar 2019/20 2020/21 — Target	883 new claims have been made in 2020/21 up to the end of June 2020. This is a 35% increase on the same period last year.

SS05: Proportion of corporate complaints dealt with on time

Service Standard	To end of Quarter 1 2020/21	Performance by Quarter	Further Information
Corporate complaints should be dealt with within 15 working days	89.5% (Q1 2019/20: 100%) On target?	90% 80% 70% 60% Quarter 1 Quarter 2 Quarter 3 Quarter 4	Two late replies in Quarter 1 were due to resources reallocated to the Covid-19 response.
		2019/20 2020/21 ——Target	

SS06: Proportion of food businesses that are broadly compliant or better with food safety legislation

Service Standard	Rolling figure to end of Quarter 1 2020/21			Performance k	y Quarter		Further Information
Our work with local food businesses should ensure that 96% are at least broadly compliant.	98.5% On target? ✓	75% 50%	Quarter 1	Quarter 2 2019/20 202	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Approximately 200 premises are usually inspected each quarter. All premises are inspected at least once every eighteen months.

SS07: Proportion of non-contentious licence applications completed on time

Service Standard	To end of Quarter 1 2020/21	Performance by Quarter	Further Information
100% of non- contentious licence applications should be completed within 10	100% (Q1 2019/20: 100%) On target?	95%	105 out of 105 applications completed on time so far in 2020/21.
working days	√	Quarter 1 Quarter 2 Quarter 3 Quarter 4 2019/20 2020/21 — Target	

SS08: Proportion of official local authority searches completed on time

Service Standard	To end of Quarter 1 2020/21	Performance by Month	Further Information
85% of official local authority searches should be completed within 10 working days	90.9% (Q1 2019/20: 95.6%) On target?	100% 80% 60% 40% 20% Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar 2019/20 2020/21 — Target	No searches in May and one in June.

SS09: Proportion of new waste and recycling bins, bags and containers delivered on time (within 10 working days)

Service Standard	To end of Quarter 1 2020/21	Performance by Month	Further Information
95% delivered within 10 working days	99.1% (Q1 2019/20: 95.4%) On target?	100% 80% 60% 40% 20% Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar 2019/20 202021 — Target	2297 deliveries were made in Quarter 1.

SS10: Average number of working days to process benefit claimants' changes of personal details

Service Standard	To end of Quarter 1 2020/21	Performance by Month	Further Information
Changes should be processed within 8 days	3.6 days (Q1 2019/20: 3.2 days) On target?	10 8 6 4 2 0 Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar 2019/20 2020/21 — Target	Over seven thousand changes have been processed in the 2020/21 year to date.

Section 2: Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

Service Standards are not the only set of measures used to interrogate the performance of the Council. Alongside the review of Service Standards, a set of Key Performance Indicators, derived from the links between the service plans and budget resolution were developed. These are attached as a dashboard.

Throughout 2019/20, a link to the online customer satisfaction survey was available on the Council's website and promoted via social media. The sample size was boosted by emailing the survey link to service users who had accessed City Council services online in the previous twelve months. The following table is a summary of the results. When confidence levels are taken into account, there are no changes to 2018/19 satisfaction levels.

Question	Proportion who answered	Confidence	Sample	2018/19
	Very Satisfied/Satisfied for	Interval at 95%	size	Performance
	satisfaction questions or	Confidence		
	Improved/Stayed the Same	Level		
	for change questions			
Overall satisfaction with how well Carlisle City Council is running things	70.6%	3.8%	650	72.6%
Satisfaction with Carlisle's street cleanliness	68.4%	3.8%	650	70.9%
Perception of changes to customer's neighbourhood street cleanliness over last	68.7%	3.9%	617	71.5%
three years	00.7 /6	3.976	017	71.570
Satisfaction with the Council's Waste and Recycling Collection Service	83.8%	4%	591	86.7%
Perception of changes to the Waste and Recycling Service over the last three years	84.9%	4%	589	86.7%
Satisfaction with the Council's leisure facilities	62.3%	6.9%	199	66.7%
Perception of changes to the leisure facilities over the last three years	64.6%	7%	198	65.9%
Satisfaction with Council-run events	91.1%	5.5%	313	89.2%
Perception of changes to Council-run events over the last three years	86.3%	5.5%	313	87.6%
Satisfaction with the Old Fire Station	96.5%	7.4%	173	95.1%
Satisfaction with the Council's parks and open spaces	88.5%	4.4%	495	90.7%
Perception of changes to the parks and open spaces over the last three years	83.8%	4.4%	495	90.7%

Section 3: Carlisle Plan on a Page Delivery

The current Carlisle Plan covered the period 2016-19 and the majority of the key actions are now either delivered or considered business as usual and feature within existing service plans. These actions were closed by the Executive in 2019/20. Updates to the remaining seven key actions are contained within the following pages.

Priority 1: Support business growth and skills development to improve opportunities and economic prospects for the people of Carlisle

Business Property & Infrastructure:

OUTCOME	2. City Centre redevelopment projects
SMT OWNER	Jane Meek
Scrutiny Panel	Economic Growth / Business & Transformation
Specific – What is the task	Promote development opportunities and regeneration opportunities within the city centre
	(including Carlisle Station, Caldew Riverside, The Citadel, English Street and The Pools).
	Set out a strategy for the future vitality and viability of the city centre including development
	options for the regeneration opportunity sites (Carlisle Station, The Pools, Court Square,
	Caldew Riverside and the Citadel).
Measurable – How will success be	Production of a city centre masterplan
measured?	
Achievable – Is it feasible?	Yes
Realistic – Resources available	Consultancy support will be required to produce the masterplan. This will be funded by
	revenue budgets secured through the MTFP process.
Time Bound – Start/end dates	The preparation of the masterplan will commence Q3 2018-19, with a draft version
	produced by the end of Q4.
Progress in Quarter 1 2020/21 against	Outline business cases are now being prepared for the regeneration of Carlisle Station and
project plan / key milestones achieved	the Citadels site to draw down the funding allocated through the Borderlands Inclusive
	Growth Deal.

	A programme of projects to improve the vitality and viability of the city centre have been developed that will be included in the business case for Future High Street Fund investment. A strategy for the city centre is currently being developed through the Towns Fund programme and will be presented in a Town Investment Plan for the city.
Emerging issues / risks to the project	None

OUTCOME	6. Progress the Borderlands Initiative
SMT OWNER	Jane Meek
Scrutiny Panel	Economic Growth
Specific – What is the task	Progress the Borderlands Initiative
Measurable – How will success be	By way of the successful continuation of the innovative and long-term partnership and the
measured?	level of additional public and private sector investment secured as a direct result of the
	initiative.
Achievable – Is it feasible?	Yes. A co-ordinated approach to support regional economic development through
	partnership working will help to attract additional investment. Progression of 'The
	Borderlands Proposal' will rely on support from both the UK and Scottish Government.
Realistic – Resources available	Corporate Director of Economic Development and Borderlands Project Officer time to
	support the Initiative. In addition, partners have contributed towards a centralised fund to
	enable the appointment of consultancy support to develop a suite of strategic outline
	business cases and other evidence base requirements. It is anticipated that additional
	financial revenue resources will be required to provide support to move from Heads of Terms
	to Final Deal, which will be considered as part of the 2019/20 budget process.
Time Bound – Start/end dates	The Borderlands Partnership submitted the Borderlands Inclusive Growth Deal Proposal
	2018 to UK and Scottish Government on 28 th September 2018. It is anticipated that a Heads
	of Terms agreement on a Deal could be reached by the end of the calendar year/early 2019.
	Thereafter it can typically take twelve months to agree and sign a Deal based on the
	experience of other areas. The Deal would then progress to the implementation and delivery
	phase, timescales for which would be dependent on individual projects or programmes.
Progress in Quarter 1 2020/21 against	Preparation of the outline business case continues. Options for potential improvements to
project plan / key milestones	the Station within the identified budget have been identified. A second consultation on these
achieved	options took place between 24 January and 14 February 2020.
Emerging issues / risks to the project	None

OUTCOME	7. Infrastructure Delivery Plan
SMT OWNER	Jane Meek
Scrutiny Panel	Economic Growth
Specific – What is the task	Maintain an up to date Infrastructure Delivery Plan and develop proposals to address
	identified issues.
Measurable – How will success be	Progress and issues will be reported through the annual statutory Authority Monitoring
measured?	Report.
Achievable – Is it feasible?	Regular dialogue with infrastructure providers set up and maintained.
Realistic – Resources available	Can be delivered within existing staff resource and budget allocation.
Time Bound – Start/end dates	The Plan is aligned to the Carlisle District Local Plan 2015-30.
	Comprehensive update critical to development of masterplan and delivery strategy for St.
	Cuthbert's Garden Village.
Progress in Quarter 1 2020/21 against	There is continued engagement with a broad range of infrastructure providers, including
project plan / key milestones achieved	through dedicated workshops and one to one sessions, to better understand their needs
	moving forward not only for St Cuthbert's Garden Village but for the District as a
	whole. Session arranged with Education Authority to focus on likely education needs in the
	Garden Village and the wider area. We continue to pursue funding to implement specific
	improvement projects. The team has submitted a Full Business Case to secure LGF grant
	funding from the Cumbria LEP for a highway improvement project for Sewells Lonning in
	Harraby. We are awaiting a response.
	A report on Stage 1 of the viability work needed to underpin the masterplan has been
	received, and the next steps include the need to prepare a brief for the stage 2 viability
	work which will cover Local Plan viability.
Emerging issues / risks to the project	None

OUTCOME	8. Develop a St Cuthbert's Garden Village Masterplan covering housing, design,
	employment land, community facilities, transport and infrastructure
SMT OWNER	Jane Meek
Scrutiny Panel	Economic Growth
Specific – What is the task	The development and adoption of a masterplan covering St. Cuthbert's Garden Village.
Measurable – How will success be	Masterplan will be incorporated into a Development Plan Document (DPD) which will
measured?	require approval by Council. Masterplan to be delivered in 2 parts: Part 1 is visioning and
	concept framework; Part 2 is detailed framework plans.
Achievable – Is it feasible?	Production of DPD governed by Government Regulations, with policy and guidance also
	set out nationally
Realistic – Resources available	The project is detailed in the Council's approved Local Development Scheme (LDS). An
	adequate allocation has followed through the process of the MTFP. Additional funding to
	accelerate deli very and enhance quality has been forthcoming through inclusion in the
	Government's Locally Led Garden Villages Programme. Further Garden Village Capacity
	Funding applied for as and when funding rounds are announced.
Time Bound – Start/end dates	Mandate forthcoming from LDS which was approved in July 2016. Inclusion in Garden
	Village programme confirmed on 2nd January 2017. Anticipated adoption date of DPD April
	2020.
Progress in Quarter 1 2020/21 against	Stage 2 Master planning progressing through technical stakeholder consultation towards a
project plan / key milestones achieved	final preferred option masterplan framework which is due to be completed by the end of
	August 2020.
	Planning application for Carlisle Southern Link Road submitted due to be considered by
	CuCC in July 2020 Project specific Grant Determination Agreement for the CSLR Housing
	Infrastructure Fund grant now received and being reviewed

	Outline housing delivery strategy agreed with Homes England as part of HIF contracting process. Contact made with MHCLG to register interest in Development Corporation Competition (£10m national pot) to explore the feasibility of different development models and drafting of bid well underway with support from Homes England. Garden Village Local Plan preferred option stage currently being drafted after two rounds of initial consultation. Preferred option consultation due winter 2020. Two supplementary planning documents underway to aid the process should any proposals for early land release in the area come forward in advance of the Local Plan being adopted.
Emerging issues / risks to the project	Project risks continue to be monitored and reviewed. A risk register is in place and is actively considered by the Strategic Board and Project Steering Group at their respective meetings. Key risks include: - the viability of new development with specialist consultants however on-board to assess and ensure viability considerations are influencing the preferred masterplan option. - premature development proposals in advance of the completion of master planning and a comprehensive planning framework, with the progression of one or a number of Supplementary Planning Documents identified as a mitigating measure - resources available to the project partly mitigated however by the anticipated award of external capacity funding and an increased and recurring MTFP allocation from 2020 onwards.

Priority 2: Further develop sports, arts and cultural facilities to support the health and wellbeing of our residents Service and Facilities Development:

OUTCOME	12. Develop and deliver the proposed new leisure contract to improve facilities at The
	Sands Centre in line with the City Sports Facilities Development Plan and enhance the
	leisure services across the city.
SMT OWNER	Darren Crossley
Scrutiny Panel	Business & Transformation / Health & Wellbeing
Specific – What is the task	To retender and award a new leisure contract with a significantly reduced subsidy - COMPLETE
	2. Develop Outline Designs and budgetary package and secure approvals for Sands Centre Development.
	3. Complete works on cycle track and open the facility – COMPLETE
	4. Complete works on tennis canopy and open the facility – Project not progressed due to issues with sewerage pipe located during preliminary works.
M easurable – How will success be	Executive approval for outline designs and consent (inc budgetary provision) to develop detailed
measured?	design and works.
Achievable – Is it feasible?	Yes
Realistic – Resources available	The project is on schedule and has adequate financial resource to be completed.
Time Bound – Start/end dates	Route to Affordability to be completed by the end of October 2018 and contracts put in place for the Principal Contractor. The designers need to be novated to the Principal Contractor by the end 2018, to maintain programme. Temporary accommodation (or alternative arrangements') need to be put in place by the end of October 2018 to allow demolition and construction of the Sands Leisure facilities by March 2019. Completion of the project initially scheduled for December 2020.

Progress in Quarter 1 2020/21	Work has now started on RIBA stage 4B - specialist subcontract design and RIBA Stage 5 - the
against project plan / key	planning element of the construction phase.
milestones achieved	The Newman School temporary accommodation has been completed and handed over for a decant. The Events space temporary accommodation is nearing completion. The first phase of the EA flood works (wall strengthening) has also been completed. Asbestos identification and removal in currently taking place in the leisure section of the building. Work is ongoing to de-risk the project price and scope of works. This includes the RAAC plank roof in the main events hall. Planning permission is now in place for all three sites and the Building Regs applications are nearing completion. The F10 notices have been issued for all three sites.
Emerging issues / risks to the	Focus areas include the technical separation of the two halves of the building, asbestos in the
	•
project	existing Sands building, existing services on both sites, archaeological survey on the main site, further survey work on the existing events centre roof structure and completing the contract documentation and lease documents for all areas.

Healthy City Programme:

OUTCOME	16. Continue to work with key partners to deliver the World Health Organisation
	Phase VI Healthy City Action Plan
SMT OWNER	Darren Crossley
Scrutiny Panel	Health & Wellbeing
Specific – What is the task	 Restructure Healthy City Forum (HCF) and work with partners to deliver on the Phase VI application Completion of the Annual Reporting Template (ART) Completion of abstract submissions (Complete 2018) Develop action plan Explore next phase (VII) Deliver Place Standard situational awareness workshop (Complete)
M easurable – How will success be measured?	 Number of partners engaged (target will be set as part of the Phase VII criteria) Completion of ART and feedback received Number of abstracts accepted (target: 1) Development of an action plan
Achievable – Is it feasible?	Yes
Realistic – Resources available	Yes
Time Bound – Start/end dates	Phase VI 2014-18 Phase VII details released for review
Progress in Quarter 1 2020/21 against	Phase VI activity is now complete.
project plan / key milestones achieved	An agenda item and briefing paper on Phase VII was tabled and discussed at the Healthy City Forum (Dec 2019), partners were supportive and keen to advance Phase VII application and activity. The paper outlines the key actions and steps required to drive the agenda forward.

Emerging issues / risks to the project	Several cross cutting agendas running at present - ad hoc system (opportunity for this work to pull this together). Lack of engagement and input from key partners
	Future Tasks: Set up a task group needs to be set up to look at the application and distribute writing sections, explore funding opportunities, seek letters of support, map activity and horizon scan.
	A development session was held on the 8th July. A future session is scheduled for 21st July. The agenda will now need to consider how Covid and recovery structures dovetail. This is being developed via Carlisle Community Resilience Group.
	Meetings with strategic partners have been taking place around phase VII and interest. Examples include: University of Cumbria, CHOC, PCNs, ICCs, etc.
	A SharePoint site has been set up - to allow access to the live working document. This has been further developed (July 20) to allow access to external partners and Teams established.
	A paper was taken to JMT which considered the application, process, opportunities and requirements. A draft expression of interest letter has been approved by the Executive and submitted.

OUTCOME	17. Continue to support and develop the Food City Partnership: Local Healthy Ea							
	Options; Carlisle Food Charter; food sector supply chain development; food skills;							
	education and tourism.							
SMT OWNER	Darren Crossley							
Scrutiny Panel	Health & Wellbeing							
Specific – What is the task	Develop work of Food Carlisle and subsequent partnership projects							
M easurable – How will success be measured?	 Local Food Partnership Officer in post (June 17) (complete) Development of Local Healthy Options Award Number of Food Charter sign ups (target exceeded) Sustainable Food Cities (SFC) Award (complete) Refresh of partnership steering group and action plan (draft complete) 							
Achievable – Is it feasible?	Yes - fixed term period SFC funding for an appointed post (July 2017 to July 2018).							
Realistic – Resources available	Yes. Further project funding will need to be explored and partnership working to develop shared projects. We also need to be aware that the funding is only available for one year.							
Time Bound – Start/end dates	Commenced with appointment to post in June 2017 and projects will continue to be developed.							
Progress in Quarter 1 2020/21 against	The City Council was successful in its application to Sustainable Food Cities for both the							
project plan / key milestones achieved	campaigns grant (£5k) and the coordinator grant to move from Bronze to Silver Award (£10k). We have also been successful in achieving an additional £5k and a 6 month extension to the Bronze to Silver Award project due to the current Covid-19 crisis.							
Emerging issues / risks to the project	We have been unable to appoint the position to deliver this work due to the Covid-19 crisis.							



- **Key**▶ Performance is deteriorating (compared to same period last year)

 Compared to same period last year)
- → No change in performance (compared to same period last year)

X Off target

Close to target (within 5%)

On Target?	New Code	Measure	Frequency	Performance Q1 2020/21	Performance Q1 2019/20	Trend	Target	Comments
✓	CSe01a	Public satisfaction with Carlisle's street cleanliness	Annual	68.4%	70.9%	→	70.9%	2019/20 annual performance. Based on 650 responses (confidence interval +/-3.8% at 95% confidence level). Service users were either satisfied or very satisfied with the service.
✓	CSe01b	Public perception of changes to customer's neighbourhood street cleanliness over last three years	Annual	68.7%	71.5%	→	71.5%	2019/20 annual performance. Based on 617 responses (confidence interval +/-3.9% at 95% confidence level). Service users felt the service had improved or stayed the same over the last three years.
	CSe03	Average weight (Kg) of domestic non-recycled waste collected per house	Monthly	85.8	82.4	•	82.4	
\checkmark	CSe04	Revenue gained from household waste recycling collected	Quarterly	£ 155,154	£ 122,083	1	£ 120,898	
N/A	CSe05	Proportion of all Carlisle waste recycled (including partners)	Monthly				Info only	Awaiting partner data
✓	CSe06a	Public satisfaction with the Council's Waste and Recyling Collection Service	Annual	83.8%	85.5%	→	85.5%	2019/20 annual performance. Based on 591 responses (confidence interval +/-4% at 95% confidence level). Service users were either satisfied or very satisfied with the service.
✓	CSe06b	Public perception of changes to the Waste and Recycling Service over the last three years	Annual	84.9%	86.7%	→	86.7%	2019/20 annual performance. Based on 589 responses (confidence interval +/-4% at 95% confidence level). Service users felt the service had improved or stayed the same over the last three years.
\checkmark	CSe08	Litres of fuel used by Council fleet	Monthly	90,837	109,317	↑	109,317	
N/A	CSe09	Number of incidents involving Council fleet.	Annual	110	110	→	Info only	110 incidents reported to Council's insurance
N/A		Number of Fixed Penalty Notices issued for fly tipping	Monthly	2	5	Ψ.	Info only	
N/A	CSe10b	Number of Fixed Penalty Notices issued for littering	Monthly	3	20	4		Including 'Littering from a Vehicle'
N/A	CSe10c	Number of Fixed Penalty Notices issued for dog fouling	Monthly	0	0	→	Info only	
N/A	CSe10d	Number of Fixed Penalty Notices issued for abandoned vehicles	Monthly	0	0	→	Info only	
N/A	CSe11a	Number of counts/reports of fly tipping	Monthly	195	114	↑	Info only	
N/A		Number of counts/reports of littering	Monthly	5	16	¥	Info only	
N/A		Number of counts/reports of dog fouling	Monthly	26	53	+	Info only	
N/A		Number of counts/reports of graffiti	Monthly	0	3	+	Info only	
N/A		Number of counts/reports of abandoned vehicles	Monthly	60	92	-	Info only	
	CSe12a	Proportion of acts of fly tipping responded to in full within 5 working days	Monthly	99.5%	97.9%	↑	100%	
N/A	CSe12b	Proportion of acts of offensive graffiti responded to in full within 1 working day	Monthly	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	None reported
	CSe12c	Proportion of abandoned vehicles initially investigated within 5 working days	Monthly	98.3%	99.2%	•	100%	
✓	CSe13a	Public satisfaction with the Council's leisure facilities	Annual	62.3%	66.7%	→	66.7%	2019/20 annual performance. Based on 199 responses (confidence interval +/-6.9% at 95% confidence level). Service users were either satisfied or very satisfied with the facilities.



- **Key**▶ Performance is deteriorating (compared to same period last year)

 Compared to same period last year)
- → No change in performance (compared to same period last year)

X Off target

Close to target (within 5%)

On Target?	New Code	Measure	Frequency	Performance Q1 2020/21	Performance Q1 2019/20	Trend	Target	Comments
✓	CSe13b	Public perception of changes to the leisure facilities over the last three years	Annual	64.6%	65.9%	→	65.9%	2019/20 annual performance. Based on 198 responses (confidence interval +/-7% at 95% confidence level). Service users felt the facilities had improved or stayed the same over the last three years.
×	CSe14	Actual car parking revenue as a percentage of car parking expenditure (including recharges).	Quarterly	17.6%	91.8%	•	91.1%	Revenue £286k under target
✓	CSe15a	Public satisfaction with Council-run events	Annual	91.1%	89.2%	→	89.2%	2019/20 annual performance. Based on 313 responses (confidence interval +/-5.5% at 95% confidence level). Service users were either satisfied or very satisfied with the events.
✓	CSe15b	Public perception of changes to Council-run events over the last three years	Annual	86.3%	87.6%	→	87.6%	2019/20 annual performance. Based on 313 responses (confidence interval +/-5.5% at 95% confidence level). Service users felt the events had improved or stayed the same over the last three years.
✓	CSe16	Public's perception of the Discover Carlisle website.	Annual	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2019/20 annual performance. Based on 199 responses (confidence interval +/-6.9% at 95% confidence level). Service users were either satisfied or very satisfied with the service.
×	CSe18	Actual Old Fire Station (OFS) revenue as a percentage of OFS expenditure (including recharges).	Quarterly	0%	22.5%	•	27.1%	OFS has been closed since March due to Covid-19.
N/A	CSe19	Old Fire Station count of event attendees (direct count of ticket sales)	Quarterly	0	4705	•	Info only	Excludes visitors to the venue (café or to buy tickets) and private hire room bookings.
✓	CSe20	Public satisfaction with the Old Fire Station	Annual	96.5%	95.1%	→	95.1%	2019/20 annual performance. Based on 173 responses (confidence interval +/-7.4% at 95% confidence level). Service users were either satisfied or very satisfied with the service.
×	CSe22	Actual city centre revenue as a percentage of city centre expenditure (including recharges)	Quarterly	49.8%	56.9%	•	80%	Revenue £13k under target.
✓	CSe24	Actual Bereavement Services revenue as a percentage of Bereavement Services expenditure (including recharges)	Quarterly	190.8%	140.9%	1	155.7%	
×	CSe25	Actual Talkin Tarn revenue as a percentage of Talkin Tarn expenditure (including recharges)	Quarterly	10.3%	101.7%	•	83.9%	Tearoom closed during Q1 due to Covid-19.
N/A	CSe26	Proportion of allotment sites that are self-managed.	Quarterly	16.0%	19.0%	Ψ.	Info only	
N/A	CSe27	Proportion of allotment plots that are occupied.	Quarterly	88.0%	88.0%	→	Info only	Excluding self-managed sites.
✓	CSe28a	Public satisfaction with the Council's parks and open spaces	Annual	88.5%	90.7%	→	90.7%	2019/20 annual performance. Based on 495 responses (confidence interval +/-4.4% at 95% confidence level). Service users were either satisfied or very satisfied with the service.
✓	CSe28a	Public perception of changes to the parks and open spaces over the last three years	Annual	83.8%	90.7%	→	90.7%	2019/20 annual performance. Based on 495 responses (confidence interval +/-4.4% at 95% confidence level). Service users felt the events had improved or stayed the same over the last three years.



- **Key**▶ Performance is deteriorating (compared to same period last year)

 Compared to same period last year)
- → No change in performance (compared to same period last year)

X Off target

Close to target (within 5%)

On Target?	New Code	Measure	Frequency	Performance Q1 2020/21	Performance Q1 2019/20	Trend	Target	Comments
✓	CSe29	Percentage of play area safety inspection completed on time.	Quarterly	100%	100%	→	100%	
N/A	CSe36a	Social media reach: Facebook post reach - monthly average	Monthly	558000	145000	↑	Info only	The number of people who had a City Council post enter their screen
N/A	CSe36b	Social media reach: Twitter post reach - monthly average	Monthly	303000	102000	↑	Info only	
✓	CSu01	Customer satisfaction with how well Carlisle City Council is running things	Annual	70.6%	72.6%	→	72.6%	2019/20 annual performance. Based on 650 responses (confidence interval +/-3.8% at 95% confidence level). Service users were either satisfied or very satisfied with the Council.
✓	CSu02	Proportion of customer "calls for service" logged in Salesforce completed on-line	Monthly	28.1%	15.6%	↑	16.1%	From calls logged in Salesforce CRM (2751 out of 9805 logs).
	CSu04	Percentage of Council Tax collected	Quarterly	28.2%	29.1%	•	29.1%	
	CSu05	Percentage of NNDR collected	Quarterly	28.3%	31.5%	+	31.5%	
✓	CSu06	Proportion of direct social media messages on Facebook and Twitter responded to within 24 hours (work days)	Monthly	100%	100%	→	100%	
\checkmark	CSu07	Customer Services - Respond to customer emails within 48hrs	Quarterly	98%	95%	↑	90%	
N/A	CSu08	Customer Services - Calls answered within 1 minute	Quarterly	N/A	N/A	N/A	80%	New measure for 2020/21. Unable to measure in Q1 due to temporary telephony system in place while staff work from home.
N/A	CSu09	Customer Services - visitors served within 10 minutes	Quarterly	N/A	N/A	N/A	90%	New measure for 2020/21. Unable to measure in Q1 due to closure of Contact Centre during Covid-19 pandemic.
\checkmark	ED02	Building Control to process S80 demolition notices within six weeks (statutory duty)	Quarterly	100%	100%	→	100%	
✓	ED03b	Building Control to decide 100% of all applications within the statutory period of 5 weeks or 2 calendar months (with the consent of the applicant)	Monthly	100%	100%	→	100%	
✓	ED05	Proportion of major planning applications completed in 13 weeks or within agreed time extension	Monthly	100%	91%	→	60%	
✓	ED06	Proportion of minor planning applications completed in 8 weeks or within agreed time extension	Monthly	97.7%	98%	•	80%	
✓	ED07	Proportion of 'other' planning applications completed in 8 weeks or within agreed time extension	Monthly	100%	99%	→	80%	
N/A	ED08	Proportion of Tree Preservation Orders (TPO) confirmed within 6 months	Monthly	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	None confirmed in the quarter
✓	ED10	Proportion of Tree Preservation Order applications determined within statutory period of 8 weeks	Monthly	100%	100%	→	100%	
✓	ED11	% of valid full plan applications determined or checked by Building Control within 5 working days	Monthly	58.1%	26%	↑	25%	
✓	ED12	% of valid full plan applications determined or checked by Building Control within 15 working days	Monthly	100%	100%	→	95%	



Key▶ Performance is deteriorating (compared to same period last year)

• Compared to same period last year)

→ No change in performance (compared to same period last year)

X Off target

Close to target (within 5%)

On Target?	New Code	Measure	Frequency	Performance Q1 2020/21	Performance Q1 2019/20	Trend	Target	Comments
✓	ED13	% of site inspections carried out by Building Control on the date agreed	Monthly	99.1%	99.4%	+	99%	
✓	FR01	Actual net spend as a percentage of annual net budget.	Quarterly	26.5%	30.7%	1	29.7%	
\checkmark	FR02	Percentage of all invoices paid within 30 working days	Monthly	99.4%	99.5%	•	98%	
	I FRO3	Average number of working days lost due to sickness absence per FTE (full-time equivalent) employee.	Monthly	2.1	2.0	•	2.0	
✓	I FROA	Percentage of return to work interviews completed in five working days of returning to work.	Monthly	77%	77%	→	77%	
N/A	FR06	Proportion of debts recovered (sundry debtors)	Quarterly	90.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Rolling 12 months to end of May 2020
N/A	GRS04	Proportion of contested licence applications decided on within 50 working days.	Quarterly	N/A	100%	N/A	95%	0 contested applications
✓	I GRSO5	Proportion of Temporary Event Notices licences processed within 1 working day.	Quarterly	100%	100%	→	100%	3 applications in the quarter
✓	I GRS06	Proportion of public health service requests (pest control, noise, smells, house conditions) responded to within the target response times.	Quarterly	93.3%	87%	1	90%	
\checkmark	GRS10	Proportion of food hygiene inspections completed as scheduled	Quarterly	100%	88%	1	90%	

EXCERPT FROM THE MINUTES OF THE ECONOMIC GROWTH SCRUTINY PANEL HELD ON 20 AUGUST 2020

EGSP.42/20 QUARTER 1 PERFORMANCE REPORT 2020/21

The Policy and Performance Officer submitted the quarter 1 2020/21 performance against the current Service Standards and a summary of the Carlisle Plan 2015-18 actions as defined in the 'plan on a page'. Performance against the Panel's Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) were also included. (PC.19/20).

The Policy and Performance Officer drew the Panel's attention to the Summary of Exceptions which showed that CSe22 Actual city centre revenue as a percentage of the city centre expenditure was under target due to the pandemic.

The Panel discussed the information in the report and felt that future reports should include information which was relevant to the current circumstances and the impact on performance. The Corporate Director of Economic Development added that this was a good opportunity to look at national indicators and statutory performance indicators which the Council had to report to government. The Panel agreed and asked that further work be undertaken out with the meeting on the future performance information that would be submitted to the Panel.

RESOLVED – 1) That the Quarter 1 Performance Report 2020/21 be noted.

2) That the Chair, Vice Chair, Corporate Director of Economic Development and Policy and Performance Officer meet to discuss the future performance information which would be provided to the Panel with a first draft template submitted to the Panel with the Quarter 2 Performance Report.