
EXCERPT FROM THE MINUTES OF THE BUSINESS AND TRANSFORMATION SCRUTINY PANEL HELD ON 7 JANUARY 2021

BTSP.07/21 BUDGET 2021/22 – 2025/26

(1) Executive's response to the first round of Budget Scrutiny

Minutes of the special meeting of the Executive held on 7 December 2020 were submitted detailing the response of the Executive to the comments made by the Scrutiny Panels in response to the first round of Budget scrutiny.

RESOLVED – That the decisions of the special Executive on 7 December 2020 be received.

(2) Executive Draft Budget Proposals

The Executive draft Budget proposals 2021/22, which had been issued for consultation purposes, were submitted for scrutiny by the Panel.

The budget proposals were based on detailed proposals that had been considered by the Executive over the course of the last few months. In particular the reports of the Corporate Director of Finance and Resources which were considered at the Executive meeting of 14 December 2020.

The Corporate Director of Finance and Resources detailed a number of updates to the budget documents including:

- the inclusion of climate change narrative in relation to the Council's commitment to becoming carbon neutral;
- the reduction in interest rates with the PWLB which had benefited the budget;
- the inclusion of a recurring budget for project officer posts for capital projects;
- the provisional one year funding settlement had been received from central government and was subject to a consultation period which ended on 16 January 2021;
- other funding had been announced however the amount had not yet been received;
- the 2021/22 Executive Budget Proposals issued for consultation constituted a balanced budget;
- reserves were maintained at prudent levels;
- the draft budget proposed an annual £5 increase per Band D in Council Tax for the City Council for 2020/21.

In considering the Draft Budget Proposals Members raised the following comments and questions:

- *The City Council provided a range of support to local communities, at what stage would difficult decisions regarding discretionary spending need to be made?*

The Corporate Director explained that the Council's reserves were at prudent levels depending on savings being achieved. Previous savings had been achieved without the reduction in services, however there would be a point where income streams would have to be maximised to support the budget or services would have been looked at. She informed the Panel that work would be

undertaken in 2021/22 to consider how savings could be achieved and a report would go through the democratic process at the appropriate time.

- *The Climate Change Strategy and action plan would be approved after the budget had been agreed, would this result in a delay in undertaking projects to action the strategy?*

The Corporate Director assured the Panel that the financial regulations allowed for funding to be released, through the Executive or full Council, for projects to action the Strategy should it be required. In addition there may be external funding or existing budgets which could support climate change projects.

A Member felt that the language used in the budget regarding climate change was negative and he stressed how important the matter was for future generations,

- *A Member asked for clarification with regard to the pooling arrangement figures.*

The Corporate Director reminded the Panel that the expectation had been that 2020/21 would be the final year of the Cumbria Business Rates Pooling arrangements, as the Government was undertaking 2 reviews into Local Government Funding (Fair Funding review & Business Rate Retention); however the reviews had again been deferred and the MHCLG had agreed not to revoke the current pooling legislation. This, in effect, meant that the pooling arrangement could continue into 2021/22, if all participating members agree. This could benefit the Council in 2021/22 of up to £1.2million.

- *Were there options were available to support the Southern Relief Road funding other than borrowing?*

The Corporate Director of Finance and Resources responded that grants for capital programmes were limited, the options open to the Council were to borrow the money, make a contribution from the revenue budget or to sell assets (not currently budgeted for) to support it. She added that alternative options were always considered before any borrowing was undertaken. The funding for the scheme was included in the budget as borrowing and would be returned to the Council via developer contributions over a long period of time.

- *Had any consideration been given to a commercial approach to offsetting carbon?*

The Finance, Governance and Resources Portfolio Holder confirmed that the Council would take any opportunities which enabled it to raise revenue in an environmentally beneficial way. He suggested that this approach be included as part of the authority's commercialisation plans.

- *Did the shortfall in City Centre properties income include the Lanes?*

The Corporate Director of Finance and Resources clarified that the shortfall was for a City Council owned property that had a rent free period. The property might be included in the Borderlands Deal and then there was expectation that there would be a return (not currently budgeted for) either through a capital receipt or revenue stream all of which would be subject to a decision of a future Executive. She reminded the Panel that the Lanes budget had been reduced in 2020/21 and the matter would continue to be monitored.

- *What had been the impact of Covid-19 on the Council Tax schemes and how were they promoted?*

The Corporate Director reported that there had been a relatively low number of applications to the Council Tax support schemes until the Christmas period when the number of applications rose significantly. The schemes were advertised on the City Council website and in correspondence sent out by officers.

- *How did the impact of Covid-19 affect the realisation of the sales of assets to support the budget?*

The Corporate Director of Finance and Resources updated the Panel on the asset review and update to the disposal programme. She added that the performance of the sale of assets was being very closely monitored.

- *A Member asked for an update on the introduction of flexibility for waste services charges.* The Environment and Transport Portfolio Holder explained that the matter was currently being discussed.

RESOLVED – 1) That the Panel accepted the Executive draft Budget Proposals 2021/22 as issued for consultation;

(3) Background Information Reports

(a) Budget Update – Revenue Estimates 2021/22 to 2025/26

The Corporate Director of Finance and Resources submitted report RD.42/20 providing a draft summary of the Council’s revised revenue base estimates for 2020/21, together with base estimates for 2021/22 and updated projections to 2025/26. The report included the impact of the new savings and new spending pressures currently under consideration and the potential impact on the Council’s overall revenue reserves.

The Executive had considered the matter on 14 December 2020 (EX.141/20 refers) and decided:

“That the Executive:

- (i) Noted the revised base estimates for 2020/21 and base estimates for 2021/22;
- (ii) Noted that the estimates in the report were draft and would be subject to the confirmation of Local Government Finance Settlement in December 2020;
- (iii) Noted the current MTFP projections, which would continue to be updated throughout the budget process as key issues became clearer and decisions were taken;
- (iv) Noted the budget pressures, bids and savings which needed to be taken into account as part of the 2021/22 budget process;
- (v) Noted the Statutory Report of the S.151 Officer outlining the risks associated with the draft budget figures and that minimum reserves may need to be reviewed in the future depending upon the outcome of the Local Government Finance review.”

(b) Revised Capital Programme 2020/21 and Provisional Capital Programme 2021/22 to 2025/26

The Corporate Director of Finance and Resources submitted report RD.43/20 which provided a draft summary of the Council's revised capital estimates for 2020/21 together with base estimates for 2021/22 and updated projections to 2025/26.

The Executive had considered the matter on 14 December 2020 (EX.142/20 refers) and decided:

“That the Executive:

- (i) Noted the revised capital programme and relevant financing for 2020/21 as set out in Appendices A and B of Report RD.43/20, for recommendation to Council;
- (ii) Had given initial consideration and views on the proposed capital spending for 2021/22 to 2025/26 given in the report in the light of the estimated available resources, for recommendation to Council;
- (iii) Noted that any capital scheme for which funding had been approved by Council may only proceed after a full report, including business case and financial appraisal, had been approved.”

RESOLVED - That the Panel had received the following reports:

Budget Update – Revenue Estimates 2021/22 to 2025/26 (RD.42/20);

Revised Capital Programme 2020/21 and Provisional Capital Programme 2021/22 to 2025/26 (RD.43/20).