SCHEDULE A: Applications with Recommendation

19/0935

Item No: 03 Date of Committee: 11/06/2021

Appn Ref No:Applicant:Parish:19/0935Citadel EstatesCarlisle

Agent: Ward:

Sam Greig Planning Denton Holme & Morton

South

Location: Former KSS Factory Site, Constable Street, Carlisle, CA2 6AQ

Proposal: Variation Of Condition 2 (Approved Documents) And Removal Of

Condition 13 (Emergency Vehicle Access) Of Previously Approved Application 18/0125 (Erection Of 43no. Dwellings) To Amend The Site Layout To Allow Units 12-31 (Inclusive) To Use The Adopted Highway

East Of The Site (Retrospective Application)

Date of Receipt: Statutory Expiry Date 26 Week Determination

10/12/2019 04/02/2020

REPORT Case Officer: Richard Maunsell

1. Recommendation

1.1 It is recommended that this application is approved with conditions.

2. Main Issues

- 2.1 Whether The Principle Of Development Is Acceptable
- 2.2 Highway Issues
- 2.3 Crime Prevention, Safety And The Fear Of Crime And Anti-Social Behaviour
- 2.4 Impact On The Amenity Of neighbouring Residents
- 2.5 Other Matters

3. Application Details

The Site

3.1 This application relates to the former Key Safety Systems (car accessories) factory located at the eastern end of Constable Street within Denton Holme,

Carlisle. The submitted application form indicates that the factory closed in September 2014. The site measures approximately 0.59 hectares and is generally flat varying in level between 17.45 and 17.60m AOD. Vehicular access is from Constable Street with a crossing over the Little Caldew mill race. The western boundary of the application site follows the Little Caldew/mill race (which flows south to north) with the River Caldew approximately 250m to the south and east. To the immediate south and east there is a playground and residential development in the form of Ashman Close, Shankly Road, and Blunt Street. To the immediate north there is Freer Court. The former Kangol Factory site, partly developed as student accommodation, lies to the north-west.

- 3.2 The majority of the site was previously occupied by a metal clad building with a circular tank on the western boundary. The remainder of the site was largely tarmac or gravel surfaced hardstanding. The building has been demolished since the submission of the previous planning applications.
- 3.3 The site falls within Flood Zone 2 i.e. a medium probability of flooding. The River Caldew is part of the River Eden and Tributaries SSSI and SAC.

Background

- 3.4 Members will note the varied planning history relating to the site. Planning permission has previously been granted with a central access road through the site with the access taken from Constable Street. A second access was permitted onto Leicester Street, albeit for use by cyclists, pedestrians and in the event of an emergency only.
- 3.5 Additionally, a condition was imposed on the planning permissions which reads:

"Prior to first occupation of any dwelling a suitable emergency access on to Leicester Street shall be designed and constructed to a suitable standard and in this respect further details shall be submitted to the local planning authority for written approval before work commences. No work shall be commenced until a full specification has been approved and the development shall be undertaken in accordance with the approved details. The approved works shall be complete prior to the occupation of the last dwelling."

The Proposal

3.6 The current application is seeking permission to vary condition 2 of the planning permission (i.e. the approved documents) to allow the retention of the access unencumbered access onto Leicester Street which, if successful, would also necessitate the removal of condition 13. In addition, the proposal seeks permission for the retention of bollards that have been installed on the access road through the site.

4. Summary of Representations

- 4.1 This application has been advertised by means of two site notices and direct notification to the occupiers of 57 of the neighbouring properties. In response, 16 letters of objection from residents, 1 petition containing 27 signatures and 1 anonymous objection have been received and the main issues raised are summarised as follows:
 - Blunt Street is a quiet street in the residential street with the original scheme approved with no access through Blunt Street. All access, deliveries and contractors were supposed to success the site through Constable Street which was not the case resulting in damage to residents' cars;
 - 2. the street is narrow being roughly 2.5 metres across with zoned parking on both sides;
 - 3. the volume of traffic has increased as has the speed of vehicles;
 - 4. residents from the new dwellings park at the bottom of Blunt Street causing an obstruction;
 - the use of the access poses a danger to residents' children playing in the street and a danger to pupils at nearby Robert Ferguson school through increased traffic;
 - 6. the housing development was only supported on the condition that the temporary access was only during the construction phase would revert back to the original plans with bollards installed. To change this now is very misleading from the developer and increased traffic on a quiet street is not welcome;
 - 7. turning area at the end of blunt street is already difficult large vehicles struggle or cannot use the area so adding further vehicles to it is not going to help. The construction vehicle certainly struggled when using this entrance;
 - 8. when the new access did appear, it was a surprise as it was meant to be solid wall. Apparently, there was another application to alter it to bollards but no one knew about it; residents on Blunt Street were not informed;
 - 9. the previous occupiers of the site could not get an entrance to the factory here because of the school crossing;
 - 10. the entrance onto blunt street is unnecessary and unacceptable. Plans have always said entrance to the new houses on constable St, or there's a perfectly good existing and safe access point. This has been agreed not a problem so why do they think it's OK to upset residents of blood straight by doing this?
- 4.2 Following the receipt of a Road Safety Audit and further third party consultation, no response has been received.

5. Summary of Consultation Responses

Cumbria County Council - (Highways & Lead Local Flood Authority): - the following response has been received:

Local Highways Authority

Following on from the previous Highways Authority response to this

application dated 8 September 2020, a Stage 3 Road Safety Audit has been undertaken for the access onto Blunt Street and Leicester Street from the development site. As stated previously the Highways Authority had no objections with regards to the temporary access approved as part of the application 18/0125 becoming permanent.

The Highways Authority have reviewed the Stage 3 Road Safety Audit and agree with its findings. It is noted that the report does identify two minor safety issues which are stated below:

- 1. The absence of appropriate traffic signs and road markings for the speed hump at the entrance to Carrick Square.
- 2. The condition of the road surface on Leicester Street between Blunt Street and Carrick Square.

The applicant has stated that they are willing to provide the Road Hump warning sign and sharks tooth road marking at the speed hump, and to undertake the patching works on Leicester Street at the Carrick Square / Blunt Street junction. In light of this the Highways Authority have no objections with regards to the proposals along with the granting of planning permission.

Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA)

The LLFA has no objection to the proposed variation of condition 2 and removal of condition 13 as it is considered that the proposal does not increase the flood risk on the site or elsewhere.

Conclusion

The Highways Authority and LLFA have no objections with regards to the approval of planning permission subject to the resurfacing works on Leicester Street and Carrick Square/ Blunt Street Junction along with the road markings required as part of the Road Safety Audit being undertaken by the applicant;

Cumbria Constabulary - Community Safety Unit Liaison: - no comment.

6. Officer's Report

Assessment

- 6.1 Section 70(2) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990/ 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that proposals be determined in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.
- The relevant planning policies against which the application is the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) and Policies SP6, IP2, IP3, CM4 of The Carlisle District Local Plan 2015-2030 are relevant. The proposal raises the following planning issues.

1. Whether The Principle Of Development Is Acceptable

- 6.3 The principle of development has been established through the planning permission that has been granted for the development and work has commenced on site. The agent has summarised the proposed revisions in a separate email which include:
- 6.4 The issues of the impact on designated heritage assets, impact on neighbouring occupiers, public access, archaeology, contamination, crime and disorder, and biodiversity are unaffected by this application. The remaining issues are discussed in the following paragraphs.

2. Highway Issues

6.5 The restrictive use of the access onto Leicester Street was at the request of Cumbria County Council as the Local Highway Authority (LHA). Following the submission of this application, the LHA initially raised objections to the proposal with the following consultation response:

"The application under consideration is to allow plots 12-31 to be accessed permanently via Leicester Street rather than Constable Street as was agreed within the planning approval 18/0125. Please find attached the adoption records for this area. You will note that red lines annotate un-adopted / private roads

As part of the conditions associated with the planning approval 18/0125, conditions 12 and 13 state that there shall be no vehicular access to, or egress from the site, other than via the approved access onto Constable Street and an emergency access onto Leicester Street. This was agreed to avoid vehicles entering or leaving the site by an unsatisfactory access or route, in the interests of road safety.

The Highways Authority have reservations regarding permanently increasing usage of the network to the east of this site onto Leicester Street. The reasons for this are:

- 1. Only Blunt Street (to the east) is an adopted highway. We would therefore not encourage usage of these private roads. The Private Street works authority's view on the increased usage of these roads should be sought.
- 2. The network to the east (Blunt Street) is constrained by parked cars.

In light of the above the Highways Authority recommend that the proposed change of conditions are refused. Not only will the proposed changes increase the risk of highway safety issues to the east of the site, but it would also decrease the current users (to the east of the site) amenity."

- 6.6 In addition, Officers employed an independent highway consultant to advise on the highway issues raised by this application. The report concludes:
 - "16.1 It has been satisfactorily demonstrated that the proposed vehicular access to the site from Leicester Street will result in increased traffic

- using an inappropriate route that in turn will result in an unacceptable increase in accident risks for all road users including vehicles, cyclists and pedestrians.
- 16.2 This view has been supported by the Local Highway Authority that has stated in their responses to 3 previous planning applications that vehicular access from Leicester Street should not be permitted for the following reason:
 - To avoid vehicles entering or leaving the site by an unsatisfactory access or route, in the interests of road safety.
- 16.3 It is evident that the safe, suitable existing access to the site from Constable Street is available and satisfactorily served the previous commercial use on the site. This existing access arrangement to the site has been approved by the Local Highway Authority for the previous planning applications.
- 16.4 To summarise, the previously approved access arrangement to the site has satisfactorily served the site with no access to Leicester Street provided or required. The proposal to provide a vehicular access to Leicester Street would result in increased safety issues for all road users and has previously, and still is, opposed by the Local Highway Authority and local residents.
- 16.5 It is concluded therefore that the application should be refused in the interests of highway and pedestrian safety as recommended by the Local Highway Authority."
- 6.7 Since that time, the applicant engaged a highway consultant who, following meetings with the LHA, submitted a report to them which raises the following points in relation to highway safety:
 - the temporary access has been in use for nearly two years and the effects on road safety can be established from the accident records. The temporary access to Blunt Street came into use in 2018, and there have been no personal injury accidents from the opening of the access until 30 April 2020 which is the date of the most recent accident data available;
 - the accident record shows that the temporary access has not resulted in an increase in accidents on Blunt Street during its period of operation;
 - further evidence as to the effect of a 20-home development being accessed from the end of a terraced street can be gained from Freer Court. This development the same size of the application site, 20 homes, located at the end of Freer Street which is identical to Blunt Street in width, length and onstreet parking arrangements.
 - Crashmap has been interrogated for accidents over the last 20 years and shows, below, that between 2000 and the 31 December 2019 there have been no accidents in Freer Street. This clearly shows that Freer Court has had no adverse impact on road safety on Freer Street and given the similarities between the two sites, a similar outcome would reasonably be expected at Blunt Street if the present access, limited to 20 homes, was

- to be made permanent;
- taking both the road safety record of Blunt Street during the period of operation of the temporary access (0 accidents in 2 years) and the road safety record of Freer Street (0 accidents in 20 years) there is no reasonable expectation that there would be an unacceptable impact on road safety if the application was to be approved;
- the consultation responses report a damage only accident involving damage to a car's wing mirror, but there is no indication that this damage was caused by residents at the development site and could have equally been caused by a resident of Blunt Street, and if so would have occurred whether or not the access to the site was open. The use of damage only accident in road safety assessments is not recommended because there is no statutory duty to report them;
- the temporary access serves 29 homes, and if the application is approved the number of homes will be reduced by 30% to 20 homes which will reduce the number of vehicle movements between the site and Blunt Street reducing accident risk compared to the present situation.
- Responding to this information, the LHA confirmed that "...the Highways Authority agree with the conclusion that the proposed access if made permanent would not create an unacceptable impact on road safety." and no is raised to vary conditions 2 and 13 of the planning approval.
- In response to both these responses, the council's highway consultant queried whether the highway authority would be content that bollards would be adequate to prevent tampering, removal and creation of a 'rat-run'. He also recommended that a Stage 1 Road Safety Audit be provided followed by Sages 2 and 3 as the design and construction progresses.
- 6.10 The LHA agreed with the recommendation for the Road Safety Audit and also requested a swept path analysis. They also clarified that there are no objections to the use of bollards which have worked in other locations throughout the county.
- 6.11 A Stage 3 Road Safety Audit was submitted and the LHA's further consultation response can be found in Section 5 of this report. Members will note that subject to the undertaking of the works recommended in the report, no objection is raised. Therefore, subject to the imposition of a condition requiring these works to be completed, the proposal does not raise any highway issues.

3. Crime Prevention, Safety And The Fear Of Crime And Anti-Social Behaviour

6.12 Paragraph 127(f) of the NPPF states that planning policies and decisions should ensure that developments "create places that are safe, inclusive and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users; and where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion and resilience."

- 6.13 In order to comply with Policy CM4 of the local plan, all new development must contribute to creating a safe and secure environment, integrating measures for security and crime prevention and minimising the opportunity for crime. The policy proceeds to list a range of criteria that should be applied to all development proposals.
- 6.14 It would be a concern if there were to be unrestricted access directly through from Constable Street to Leicester Street and visa versa which would lead to a 'rat-run' being created though the development; however, the proposal includes the installation of bollards within the site. Thereby, half the residents would access the site from Constable Street with the remining residents accessing the site from Leicester Street. The LHA has confirmed that the use of bollards is an appropriate solution and Cumbria Constabulary has raised no objection. As such, it is not considered that the proposal would result in the potential for an increase in crime and disorder and is therefore compliant with planning policies.

4. Impact On The Amenity Of Neighbouring Residents

- 6.15 There are properties adjacent to the applicant site and importantly, adjacent to both Constable Street and Blunt Street that would form the vehicular access into the site. Criterion 7 of Policy SP6 requires that development proposals should:
 - "ensure there is no adverse effect on the residential amenity of existing areas, or adjacent land uses, or result in unacceptable conditions for future users and occupiers of the development;"
- 6.16 The proposal would lead to an increase number of vehicles using the access from 20 of the properties within the development. The remaining 23 properties would use Constable Street as their means of access. The highway issues raised are discussed in the preceding paragraphs of this report. In terms of amenity, Blunt Street would continue to operate as a highway albeit with the additional vehicles from the development; however, the level of use is considered acceptable and the proposal would not be detrimental to the living conditions of the occupiers of these properties.

5. Other Matters

- 6.17 This application has been submitted under section 73A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to vary a condition associated with a planning permission.
- 6.18 Paragraph: 015 Reference ID: 17a-015-20140306 Revision date: 06 03 2014 of the Planning Policy Guidance states:

"Where an application under section 73 is granted, the effect is the issue of a new planning permission, sitting alongside the original permission, which remains intact and unamended.

A decision notice describing the new permission should be issued, setting out

all of the conditions related to it. To assist with clarity decision notices for the grant of planning permission under section 73 should also repeat the relevant conditions from the original planning permission, unless they have already been discharged. Further information about conditions can be found in the guidance for use of planning conditions.

As a section 73 application cannot be used to vary the time limit for implementation, this condition must remain unchanged from the original permission. If the original permission was subject to a planning obligation then this may need to be the subject of a deed of variation."

6.19 The development has been completed with the properties occupied since August/ September 2020 and there is therefore no requirement to impose a condition requiring the commencement of development. Similarly, the majority of the conditions attached to the previous permission which would ordinarily be imposed as part of the revised scheme, have fallen away. Therefore, it is only necessary to attach the conditions outlined in this report.

Conclusion

- 6.20 In overall terms the development of the site has previously been accepted and the development is established. The principle of the formation and use of a permanent access onto Leicester Street has been supported by the applicant's highway consultant which in turn has assessed by the LHA and the council's independent highway consultant. Following the receipt of additional information and a Stage 3 Road Safety Report, the LHA raises no objection. The works outlined in the Road Safety Audit are the subject of a condition requiring them to be undertaken together with a condition requiring the retention of the bollards.
- 6.21 The use of the access onto Leicester Street would not adversely affect the amenity of the occupiers of neighbouring properties or result in an increase in crime and disorder in the locality due to the restriction of cars to travel through the site from one side to another. In overall terms, the proposal is considered to be compliant with the objectives of the relevant local plan policies and the NPPF.

7. Planning History

- 7.1 In 1973, under application numbers TP 2584 and TP 2711, planning permission was refused for residential development.
- 7.2 Planning permission was granted in 1978, application 78/0136, for the erection of buildings for general industrial purposes.
- 7.3 In 1979, application 79/0728, permission was given to convert wasteland to a car park.
- 7.4 Planning permission was granted in 1980, application 80/0312, permission was given for the provision of a water tank and pump house for fire

protection.

- 7.5 In 2016, planning permission was refused for the erection of 50no. houses and a subsequent appeal to the Planning Inspectorate was dismissed.
- 7.6 With regard to neighbouring land to the site:
 - in 1999, under application 99/0120, planning permission was given for the erection of 28 dwellings to form Freer Court;
 - in 2010, under application 10/0415, full permission was given for the erection of 19 dwellings on the south side of Constable Street; and
 - in 2012, application 11/0863, permission was given for student accommodation on land at Norfolk Street.
- 7.7 In 2017, a revised application for planning permission was granted for the erection of 44 dwellings, including formation of riverside path, landscaping and sustainable urban drainage systems.
- 7.8 Planning permission was granted in 2018 for the erection of 44no. dwellings, including formation of riverside path, landscaping and sustainable urban drainage systems (revised application) without compliance of condition 2 imposed by planning permission 17/0232 to reduce the number of units from 44 to 43 and revise the layout including alterations to elevations.
- 7.9 Later in 2018, planning permission as granted for erection of 43no. dwellings, including formation of riverside path, landscaping and sustainable urban drainage systems (revised application) without compliance with condition 2 imposed by planning permission 18/0125 to provide temporary vehicular access onto Leicester Street.

8. Recommendation: Grant Permission

- 1. The approved documents for this Planning Permission comprise:
 - 1. the Planning Application Form received 10th December 2019;
 - 2. the Planning Application Form received 15th February 2018 approved as part of application 18/0125;
 - 3. the Site Location Plan received 7th February 2018 (Drawing no. 01/2014/00A Rev A) approved as part of application 18/0125;
 - 4. the Site Plan received 10th December 2019 (Drawing no. 01/2017/05G rev G)
 - 5. the Typical Ground Floor Plan received 23rd February 2018 (Drawing no. 01/2017/05F Rev F) approved as part of application 18/0125;
 - 6. the Proposed Front Street Elevation received 23rd February 2018 (Drawing no. 09/2017/10B Rev B) approved as part of application 18/0125;
 - 7. the Proposed Rear (Garden) Elevation received 7th February 2018 (Drawing no. 09/2017/06C Rev C) approved as part of application 18/0125;
 - 8. the Gable Elevations received 7th February 2018 (Drawing no.

- 01/2017/07B Rev B) approved as part of application 18/0125:
- 9. the Flood Risk Assessment received 7th March 2018 approved as part of application 18/0125;
- 10. the Flood Risk Assessment Addendum received 8th March 2018 approved as part of application 18/0125;
- 11. the Stage 3 Road Safety Audit received 19th April 2021;
- 12. the Notice of Decision;
- 13. any such variation as may subsequently be approved in writing by the local planning authority.

Reason: To define the permission.

- 2. The development permitted by this planning permission shall only be carried out in accordance with the approved Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) and the following mitigation measures detailed within the FRA:
 - 1. Finished floor levels are set no lower than 18.15m above Ordnance Datum (AOD).

The mitigation measures shall be fully implemented prior to occupation and subsequently in accordance with the timing / phasing arrangements embodied within the scheme, or within any other period as may subsequently be agreed, in writing, by the local planning authority.

Reason: In order to provide resilience in case flooding did occur in accordance with Policy CC4 of the Carlisle District Local Plan 2015-2030.

3. As part of the development hereby approved, adequate infrastructure shall be installed to enable telephone services, broadband, electricity services and television services to be connected to the premises within the application site and shall be completed prior to the occupation of the dwelling.

Reason: To establish an acceptable level of access to connectivity resources in accordance with Policy IP4 of the Carlisle District Local Plan 2015-2030.

4. The bollards shown on the Proposed Site Access And Visibility Splays (Drawing no. SK004) shall be retained and shall not be removed or altered unless otherwise agreed in writing by the local planning authority.

Reason: To ensure prevent access through the development to ensure an adequate means of access and to prevent potential crime and anti-social issues in accordance with Policies SP6, HO2 and CM4 of the Carlisle District Local Plan 2015-2030.

5. The works required by the Stage 3 Road Safety Audit comprising the resurfacing works on Leicester Street and Carrick Square/ Blunt Street Junction along with the road markings shall be undertaken and completed within 3 months from the date of this permission.

Reason:

To ensure adequate means of access and highway infrastricture in accordance with Policies SP2 and HO2 of the

Carlisle District Local Plan 2015-2030.



Boundary State G. Break wall 1800ers reps with seriestics gate

BOUNDARY

Boundary tree B; Bebs and 1000mm light (with

Soundery type A. Ditch well with militage above, total redge: 1000em high



43 NEW TERRACED HOUSES, FORWER KISS FACTORY SITE, DENTON HOLME for CITADEL ESTATES LTD 22-02-18 DWG; O1|2017/05G 1;200 PAPER: A1 REV.G smended layout

and composite upon door (colour, dark gray)
upon, ogse profile

Windows & Doors: Front doors: Guttors: Jarden walls:

regulations:

1. Maximum length access roads within the site are 20m.

2. Millimum width of roads between their is 3.70m.

3. All new properties are within a 45m radius from within a 45m radius from sizess roads within the ACCESS & FACILITIES
FOR THE FIRE SERVICE
Maximum distances to all
new properties within the
site meet current TREATMENTS GATES TO REAR PEDESTRIAN AREAS: Boundary treatment C above.





Tetra Tech

Residential Development, Former KSS Factory, Carlisle Junction of Blunt Street, Leicester Street and Carrick Square Completed Highway Works

Stage 3 Road Safety Audit





REPORT CONTROL							
Document		Stage 3 Road Safety Audit					
Project		Residential Development, Former KSS Factory, Carlisle Junction of Blunt Street, Leicester Street and Carrick Square Completed Highway Works					
Client		Tetra Tech					
Report Number		NS21/623/RSA3					
Primary Author		Kevin Nicholson					
Reviewer		Nancy Sloan					
Revision History							
Issue	Date		Status		Checked for Issue		
1	13/04/21		Issued to Client				



Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	.1
2.	ITEMS RAISED BY PREVIOUS ROAD SAFETY AUDITS	.3
3.	ITEMS RAISED BY THIS STAGE 3 ROAD SAFETY AUDIT	.4
4.	AUDIT TEAM STATEMENT	.6
5.	DRAWINGS AND DOCUMENTS PROVIDED	.7
6.	LOCATION PLAN OF ITEMS RAISED BY THIS AUDIT	.8



1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. This report results from a Stage 3 Road Safety Audit carried out on the completed highway works along the eastern boundary of the redevelopment of the former KSS Factory in Carlisle. The works subject to audit comprise the vehicular and pedestrian access into Carrick Square at the junction of Blunt Street with Leicester Street. Both entry and exit for vehicles from this section of Carrick Square are via this access, the Square being closed to vehicular traffic at its western end by a series of bollards.
- 1.2. Originally, the access was to be used for construction purposes only and was to be closed to vehicular traffic following completion of the building and highway works. Access would then have been from the western end only (via Constable Street and Richardson Street). However, the eastern access has been retained, and the purpose of this audit is to assess its previous and ongoing operation in road safety terms.
- 1.3. The streets in the area are subject to a speed limit of 30 mph and are lit, with a disc zone in operation (with resident's permit exemption). There are footways on both sides of Blunt Street and on the western side of Leicester Street. Carrick Square has a shared space central carriageway with parking bays adjacent to the properties on both sides. Although the access for Carrick Square is bounded by high walls, visibility for motorists on exit is adequate due to the presence of build-outs on both sides on Leicester Street, which also form the ends of informal parking bays. As with many other junctions in the area, there are no marked priorities for road users at the junction of Blunt Street, Leicester Street and Carrick Square.
- 1.4. An investigation of the Crashmap Database shows that there have been no recorded collisions in the vicinity of the works in the 5 years to March 2020.
- 1.5. The Road Safety Audit was requested by Eleanor Bunn of Tetra Tech and was carried out during March and April 2021. The Audit Team visited the site together (in compliance with current Covid-19 guidelines) during the hours of both daylight and darkness on Thursday 25 March, during which the weather was overcast and cold, and the road surfaces were dry. There was some vehicular activity, and a number of pedestrians and cyclists were observed. Also in attendance at the daytime site visit were Sarah Steel, representing Cumbria County Council (the Overseeing Organisation) and Norman Black, representing Cumbria Police.
- 1.6. The Audit Team membership was as follows: -

Nancy Sloan Nicholson Sloan Consultancy Limited (Audit Team Member).



- 1.7. The terms of reference for this audit are as described in GG 119 in the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges. The Team has reported only on the road safety implications of the proposals and has not examined or verified the compliance of the design to any other criteria. However, and without being prescriptive, the Audit Team might refer to a design standard or technical guidance where this would help to clarify a safety problem or recommendation. In addition, there may be alternative methods of addressing a problem that would be equally acceptable in achieving the elimination or mitigation of a problem, and these should be considered in the light of the recommendations in this report.
- 1.8. The detail provided for the audit is shown in Section 5. All comments and recommendations are referenced to the design drawings and related documents and the locations of the items raised by the audit are shown on the plan in Section 6.
- 1.9. Where relevant within this report traffic signs will be described either by their reference numbers on the drawings or by their diagram number within the Traffic Signs Regulations and General Directions 2016 (TSRGD).
- 1.10. Within this report the generic term "pedestrians" can include walking pedestrians, wheelchair users, mobility scooter users, dismounted cyclists, the blind, partially sighted and mobility impaired. Reference may be made to specific groups where appropriate.



2. ITEMS RAISED BY PREVIOUS ROAD SAFETY AUDITS

2.1 The Audit Team are unaware of any previous Road Safety Audits carried out on the works.



ITEMS RAISED BY THIS STAGE 3 ROAD SAFETY AUDIT

3.1. PROBLEM

Location: The speed hump at the entrance to Carrick Square.

Summary: The absence of road markings could increase the risk of loss of control collisions.

There is evidence of vehicles grounding on the speed hump, but there are no shark's tooth markings on the ramps or warning signs present. In their absence, drivers and riders could fail to identify the hump, strike it at speed or brake suddenly and lose control.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that road markings to Diagram 1062 are installed on the speed hump, and a sign to Diagram 557.1 provided, together with an appropriate supplementary plate.





Scratch marks on the speed hump

3.2. PROBLEM

Location: The junction of Blunt Street with Leicester Street.

Summary: The condition of the carriageway could increase the risk of collisions.

While perhaps a routine maintenance issue, the carriageway is patchy, broken out and worn in places. Motorists and two-wheelers could strike the damaged areas, with the risk of loss of control and of collisions. There is one are that has been plucked out that could further deteriorate, retain water and freeze, with the attendant risk of skidding collisions.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the damaged areas are repaired.







Broken out carriageway



4. AUDIT TEAM STATEMENT

We certify that this Road Safety Audit has been carried out in accordance with GG 119.

ROAD SAFETY AUDIT TEAM LEADER

Kevin Nicholson BSc, CMaths, MCIHT, FSoRSA,

HE Certificate of Competency Signed:

Director, Nicholson Sloan Consultancy Limited

Cherry Tree Cottage Date: 13/04/21

Hayton, Brampton, Cumbria, CA8 9HT

ROAD SAFETY AUDIT TEAM MEMBER

Nancy Sloan MCIHT, MSoRSA Signed:

Nicholson Sloan Consultancy Limited

Cherry Tree Cottage Date: 13/04/21

Hayton, Brampton, Cumbria, CA8 9HT



5. DRAWINGS AND DOCUMENTS PROVIDED

As this is a Stage 3 Road Safety Audit, no drawings or documents required assessment. However, the drawing below was used for information and for the Location Plan in Section 6.

DRAWING OR DOCUMENT NUMBER	PROJECT AND TITLE	DATE
A054387-SK004 REVISION -	FORMER KSS SITE, CARLISLE PROPOSED BOLLARDS AND EXISTING VISIBILITY SPLAYS	13.07.20



6. LOCATION PLAN OF ITEMS RAISED BY THIS AUDIT

