



# RESOURCES OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PANEL

## *Panel Report*

**Public**

**Date of Meeting:** 6<sup>th</sup> December 2011

**Title:** CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW AND MONITORING REPORT:  
APRIL TO SEPTEMBER 2011

**Report of:** Assistant Director (Resources)

**Report reference:** RD61/11

### **Summary:**

This report provides an overview of the Council's budgetary position on the capital programme for the period April to September 2011 and was considered by the Executive on 22 November 2011.

### **Questions for / input required from Scrutiny:**

Members are asked to note the variances contained within the report.

### **Recommendations:**

Members of the Resources Overview and Scrutiny Panel are asked to note the overall budgetary position for the period April to September 2011.

**Contact Officer:** Emma Gillespie

**Ext:** 7289

**Note:** in compliance with section 100d of the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 the report has been prepared in part from the following papers: None



## PORTFOLIO AREA: GOVERNANCE & RESOURCES

---

Date of Meeting: 22 November 2011

---

Public

---

Key Decision: No

Recorded in Forward Plan: No

---

Inside Policy Framework

---

**Title: CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW AND MONITORING REPORT:  
APRIL TO SEPTEMBER 2011**

**Report of: ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (RESOURCES)**

**Report reference: RD61/11**

### Summary:

This report provides an overview of the budgetary position of the City Council's capital programme for the period April to September 2011. Some of the issues raised in this report are considered elsewhere on the agenda in RD66/11.

### Recommendations:

The Executive is asked to:

- (i) Note and comment on the budgetary position and performance aspects of the capital programme for the period April to September 2011;
- (ii) Use the information in this report to inform the budget considerations for 2012/13 onwards;
- (iii) Note the recommendations to Council to approve the slippage (£4,257,000) as identified in RD66/11 elsewhere on the agenda;
- (iv) Approve the release of the £200,000 vehicle replacement budget in 2011/12 to be put towards the purchase of vehicles within Local Environment.

**Contact Officer:** Emma Gillespie

**Ext:** 7289

CITY OF CARLISLE

To: The Executive  
22 November 2011

RD61/11

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW AND MONITORING REPORT  
APRIL TO SEPTEMBER 2011

**1. BACKGROUND INFORMATION AND OPTIONS**

- 1.1 In accordance with the City Council's Financial Procedure Rules, the Assistant Director (Resources) is required to report to the Executive on the overall budget position, the monitoring and control of expenditure against budget allocations and the exercise of virement on a regular basis. It is the responsibility of individual Directors/Assistant Directors to control income and expenditure within their areas of responsibility and to monitor performance, taking account of financial information provided by the Assistant Director (Resources).
- 1.2 All Managers receive a monthly budget monitoring report covering their areas of responsibility. Information is collated from the main accounting system and then adjusted to correct any known budget profiling trends, timing differences and commitments. The report has been developed in line with the need to provide sound financial management information to inform the decision making process.
- 1.3 Throughout the report, the use of brackets indicates a credit or income budget, and the term underspend also relates to additional income generated.
- 1.4 It is important to understand the distinction between capital and revenue expenditure.

**The general rule is that all expenditure must be treated as revenue expenditure unless it meets strict criteria allowing it to be treated as capital expenditure.**

Capital expenditure is for fixed assets such as acquisition of land and buildings, construction, conversion or enhancement of existing buildings, or the purchase of new technology, vehicles, plant, machinery or equipment that yields benefits to the Council and the services it provides for more than one year.

Revenue expenditure is for the day to day running costs of providing Council services such as staff costs, premises, transport, and goods and services used in the delivery of services.

## 2. CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW

2.1 The following statement shows the annual capital programme for 2011/12:

2011/12 Capital Budget	£
<b>Original 2011/12 Programme (approved Feb 2011) including asset management plan</b>	<b>9,376,100</b>
Carry forwards from 2010/11 (RD11/11 Council 19/07/11)	1,085,700
Bousteads Grassing Demolition (RD81/10 Council 26/04/11)	166,500
<b>2011/12 Capital Programme (RD11/11 Council 19/07/11)</b>	<b>10,628,300</b>
Additional contribution towards Disabled Facilities Grants	300,000
Additional contributions towards Play Area Schemes	99,600
Direct revenue financing for Trinity Church Multi Use Games Area	2,800
Reduction in Roman Frontier grant to match funding agreements	(146,000)
<b>Revised 2011/12 Capital Programme (at Sept 2011)</b>	<b>10,884,700</b>
Carry forwards into 2012/13 (Subject to Council Approval)	(4,257,000)
<b>Revised 2011/12 Capital Programme (Sept 2011 RD66/11)</b>	<b>6,627,700</b>

2.2 A breakdown of the revised capital programme can be found in **Appendix A**.

## 3. 2011/12 BUDGET MONITORING

3.1 The position statement as at September 2011 can be summarised as follows:

Directorate	Revised Annual Budget £	Budget to Date £	Spend to date £	Variance to date £	Carry forwards identified £	Para. Ref.
Resources	1,878,600	295,106	269,517	(25,589)	0	3.4
Community Engagement	3,318,000	1,624,112	1,631,180	7,068	0	3.5
Local Environment	1,247,300	391,500	379,901	(11,599)	0	3.6
Economic Development	183,800	72,000	110,267	38,267	0	3.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,627,700</b>	<b>2,382,718</b>	<b>2,390,865</b>	<b>8,147</b>	<b>0</b>	

A detailed analysis of the schemes within each directorate can be found in **Appendices B to F** with the main issues for each directorate being summarised in the paragraphs below.

3.2 As at the end of September 2011, expenditure of £2,390,865 has been incurred. When considered against the profiled budget of £2,382,718 this equates to an overspend of £8,147.

Council approved carry forwards of £1,085,700 from 2010/11 into the 2011/12 capital programme in July 2011 (RD11/11). Expenditure of £204,451 has been incurred against this budget leaving £881,249 carried forward from 2010/11 unspent as at

September 2011. It is expected that these will be fully spent by the financial year end.

- 3.3 A review of the 2011/12 capital programme has been undertaken to identify accurate project profiles. To date, £4,257,000 has been identified as needing profiled into future years. Carry forwards will be limited to the amount of underspend at the year end. **(Executive are asked to consider for recommendation to Full Council these carry forward requests)**

The revised annual budget of £6,627,700 incorporates the findings from the review of the 2011/12 capital programme. The unspent balance remaining of the revised annual budget of £6,627,700 is £4,236,835 as at September 2011.

- 3.4 The variance in Resources is attributable to an underspend on Bousteads Grassing Demolition. The project is currently progressing and there are no areas of concern. It is projected that the costs could come in under budget and this will be monitored more closely as the scheme nears completion.
- 3.5 Community Engagement is responsible for a significant proportion of the City Council's 2011/12 capital programme. The variance in Community Engagement is mainly attributable to an overspend on the Sheepmount Drainage project. This is however funded from a provision at the year end which is sufficient to fund the level of expenditure incurred to date.

A new prioritisation system for DFGs has been implemented which balances control over the level of expenditure while minimising the risk to clients. Expenditure has been lower in the initial period while the new process was embedded, but has started to increase again and level out. The underspends in previous months have disappeared and there is no significant variance as at September 2011. Spending on this statutory service will be in line with recent year on year trends but due to the introduction of the new prioritisation system it is anticipated that there will be no significant variance at the year end. Work is ongoing between Communities Housing and Health and Finance to continue to monitor the situation. Contributions have been received from Riverside Group and additional funding is also being sought from Social Care and Health as well as raising the issue with Government. Action is being taken to get better value for money through more effective procurement and work processes are also being reviewed using a 'lean' approach.

The new procedures for the approval of grants and reducing the overall capital expenditure on Disabled Facilities Grants will have a negative impact on the revenue income generated from fees.

- 3.6 The variance in Local Environment is mainly attributable to an underspend on Chances Park. The capital element of this project is practically complete with funding coming from Heritage Lottery Fund.
- 3.7 The variance in Economic Development is attributable to the Sub Regional Employment Sites project. Additional work relating to asbestos was required due to damage caused to the site through vandalism. This was over and above the level of expenditure agreed to be funded within the funding agreement. A claim has been submitted to the Council's insurers to try and recover the additional costs incurred. If unsuccessful, the Council will have to fund this additional expenditure as North West Development Agency have confirmed they are unwilling to make further contributions. The Council funded £223,200 in 2010/11 pending the outcome of the insurance claim. The overspend in 2011/12 is projected to be more than the £40,000 overspend to date as outstanding commitments with contractors are being agreed.
- 3.8 A number of schemes are included in the capital programme for 2011/12 that require reports to be presented to the Executive for the release of funding before the project can go ahead.

Scheme	Budget £	Note
Industrial Estates	473,000	
Old Town Hall	66,700	
Vehicles and Plant	200,000	1
Economic Development Environmental Enhancements	1,900,000	
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,639,700</b>	

Note:

- The 2011/12 budget process approved a recurring central allocation for vehicle, equipment and plant replacements to be allocated annually on a needs basis by the Executive. Approval is now sought for the release of the £200,000 in the 2011/12 programme by the Executive to be used for Local Environment vehicle purchases currently proposed to assist in achieving their savings targets for future years. It is proposed this budget is used in conjunction with the £100,000 released for Mechanical Sweepers with the balance required coming from the carry forward budgets from 2010/11 for vehicles. Any additional running costs of the new vehicles will be considered before any proposed revenue savings for Local Environment are taken/achieved.
- 3.9 The information used in this report will be used to inform the 2012/13 budget process with initial budget reports being considered elsewhere on the agenda.

#### 4. FINANCING

4.1 The 2011/12 capital programme can be financed as follows:

	£
<b>Total Programme to be financed (para 2.1)</b>	<b>6,627,700</b>
<u>Financed by:</u>	
Capital Receipts (including PRTB receipts)	3,498,100
Capital Grants	
• Disabled Facilities Grant	663,000
• General	631,000
Direct Revenue Financing	209,200
Other Contributions	623,200
Earmarked Reserves	892,800
Internal Borrowing	110,400
<b>Total Financing</b>	<b>6,627,700</b>

#### 5. CAPITAL RESOURCES

The following table shows the position as at September 2011 of the capital resources due to be received during 2011/12:

	2011/12 Budget £	2011/12 Revised Budget £	2011/12 Actual £	2011/12 Not yet received £	Note
Capital Receipts					
• General	(410,000)	(410,000)	(400,000)	10,000	1
• Asset Review	(3,920,000)	(2,697,000)	(717,500)	1,979,500	1
• Renovation Grants repaid	0	0	(8,934)	(8,934)	
• PRTB Sharing agreement	(300,000)	(150,000)	0	150,000	2
Capital Grants					
• Disabled Facilities Grant	(663,000)	(663,000)	(663,000)	0	
• Old Town Hall	(37,500)	(37,500)	0	37,500	
• Roman Frontier	(251,900)	(251,900)	0	251,900	
• Chances Park	(22,100)	(22,100)	0	22,100	
• General	(49,800)	(49,800)	(32,921)	16,879	3
Capital Contributions					
• Disabled Facilities Grants	(150,000)	(300,000)	(175,114)	124,886	4
• Section 106	(63,000)	(63,000)	0	63,000	5
• General	(74,500)	(74,500)	(25,651)	48,849	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>(5,941,800)</b>	<b>(4,718,800)</b>	<b>(2,023,120)</b>	<b>2,695,680</b>	

Notes:

1. Receipts for 2011/12 are anticipated to be received from the Lovells agreement (£10,000), and general capital receipts (£400,000). These have been revised from £160,000 and £250,000 respectively. The asset review sales anticipated to be received have been revised upwards to include the receipts from sales budgeted for in 2010/11 (£720,000) but not completed until 2011/12. It is now

proposed that £1,223,000 is moved from 2011/12 to 2012/13 to reflect the revised Asset Management Plan.

2. PRTB income for the year is received on 28 April following the year-end but is accrued into the relevant year. Current budget projections are being revised to £150,000 based upon information received from Riverside Group who are forecasting a maximum of 4 sales during the year. This position is being monitored regularly and revised projections will be included within future reports to Members. As at 30 September there have been 3 sales to date.
3. Grants are expected towards the cost of Play Area Developments (£16,900) and City Play Trail (£32,900).
4. Contribution from Riverside Group to help support Disabled Facilities Grants.
5. Contributions from Section 106 agreements to Play Areas at Keenan Park (£45,000) and Acredale Road (£18,000). Although the monies have been received this will be a year end accounting adjustment.
6. Contributions from other organisations are expected towards the cost of Trinity Church MUGA (£54,800), Play Area Developments (£19,700).

## **6. BALANCE SHEET MANAGEMENT**

- 6.1 In line with CIPFA guidance and best practice, information relating to significant capital items on the Council's balance sheet is provided in this section. The information concentrates on those items that may have a material impact on the Council if not reviewed on a regular basis and will ensure that the Council is using its resources effectively and that appropriate governance arrangements are in place around the use of Council assets and liabilities.
- 6.2 Fixed assets are revalued on a five-year rolling programme to ensure that an up to date value is held in the balance sheet. The revaluation programme is the responsibility of the Property section. It should be noted that some expenditure will be incurred during the course of the year which can be correctly classified as capital expenditure, but which will not increase the value of any of the Council's assets. This expenditure is written off to the revaluation reserve or through the Income and Expenditure Account as appropriate.



- 6.3 The value of fixed assets is a significant part of the balance sheet. In the 2010/11 accounts, fixed assets totalled £159million (2009/10 £154million). This represents 92% of the net current assets of the City Council.
- 6.4 Debtors  
This relates to the amount of income due to the Council that has not yet been received. For capital items, this mainly relates to grants and contributions that the Council is able to claim towards funding capital expenditure, and receipts for the Council's share of the PRTB (Preserved Right to Buy) agreement. Generally capital debtors arise due to timing differences where a cut off point occurs (e.g. the financial year-end) and/or expenditure has been incurred in advance of making the grant claim. As at 30 September 2011 debtors of £58,593 (£1.46million at 31 March 2011) were outstanding for capital grants, contributions and receipts. PRTB receipts for 2010/11 were received in May in accordance with the agreement..
- 6.5 Creditors  
This is the amount of money due to be paid by the Council for goods and services received from its external customers and contractors. For capital schemes this also includes retentions i.e. the amount due to the contractor after a specified period (normally one year) following the completion of a project; this time is used to assess and correct any defects outstanding on the scheme. Amounts earmarked for retention as at September 2011 totalled £64,273 (£946,343 at 31 March 2011).

## **7. PERFORMANCE**

- 7.1 The 2011/12 programme has been kept to a level that takes account of the Council's ability to deliver schemes with regard to capacity and available resources. Work is ongoing to continue to monitor the profiling of budgets, and these are adjusted to reflect progress in current capital schemes. The review of the 2011/12 capital programme is included in the narrative within this report, and will be considered as part of the 2012/13 budget process. The review will reduce the level of carry forwards at the year end, but it is likely that there will still be a requirement for some carry forwards at the year end due to further slippage and delays on projects in the remainder of the year.
- 7.2 Senior Management Team will provide strategic overview and monitor the effectiveness of the overall programme of work in delivering the Council's priorities and objectives. Technical project support and quality assurance of business cases and associated project management activities will be managed by a Project Assurance Group chaired by the Chief Executive. Decisions to proceed or otherwise

with proposed projects will be made in the usual way in accordance with the Council decision making framework.

- 7.3 A review of all capital expenditure incurred is ongoing to ensure that the expenditure has been correctly allocated between revenue and capital schemes. This will facilitate the year end classification of assets.

## **8. CONSULTATION**

### **8.1 Consultation to Date**

SMT & JMT have considered the issues raised in this report.

### **8.2 Consultation Proposed**

Resources Overview & Scrutiny Panel will consider the report on 6 December 2011.

## **9. RECOMMENDATIONS**

- (i) Note and comment on the budgetary position and performance aspects of the capital programme for the period April to September 2011;
- (ii) Use the information in this report to inform the budget considerations for 2012/13 onwards;
- (iii) Note the recommendations to Council to approve the slippage (£4,257,000) as identified in RD66/11 elsewhere on the agenda;
- (i) Approve the release of the £200,000 vehicle replacement budget in 2011/12 to be put towards the purchase of vehicles within Local Environment.

## **10. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS**

As stated above.

## **11. IMPLICATIONS**

- Staffing/Resources – Not applicable
- Financial – Contained within the main body of the report
- Legal – Not applicable
- Corporate – SMT have been involved in the preparation of this report.
- Risk Management – Not applicable
- Equality Issues – Not applicable
- Environmental – Not applicable
- Crime and Disorder – Not applicable

## 12. IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

**Does the change have an impact on the following?**

Assessment	Impact Yes/No?	Is the impact positive or negative?
<b>Equality Impact Screening</b> Does the policy/service impact on the following?		
Age	No	
Disability	Yes	Positive
Race	Yes	Positive
Gender/ Transgender	No	
Sexual Orientation	No	
Religion or belief	No	
Human Rights	No	
Health inequalities	Yes	Positive
Rurality	Yes	Positive

**If you consider there is either no impact or no negative impact, please give reasons:**

Capital Programme includes a range of positive projects that will directly benefit protected characteristics:

Disabled Facilities Grant budget, The Resource Centre, Play Area Development, Families Accommodation Replacement, Trinity MUGA, Play Trail.

**If an equality Impact is necessary, please contact the P&P team.**

PETER MASON  
Assistant Director (Resources)

Contact Officer: Emma Gillespie

Ext: 7289

## 2011/12 CAPITAL PROGRAMME

## APPENDIX A

Scheme	Original Capital Programme 2011/12 £	Carry Forwards from 2010/11 £	Other Adjustments £	Proposed Carry Forward £	Revised Capital Programme 2011/12 £
<b>Current non-recurring commitments</b>					
Families Accommodation Replacement	878,000	27,700		(835,700)	70,000
Old Town Hall	66,700				66,700
Resource Centre	1,493,400	69,900			1,563,300
Roman Frontier	654,600	(214,000)	(146,000)		294,600
Kingstown Industrial Estate	499,100		(26,100)		473,000
Willowholme Industrial Estate	139,000		26,100		165,100
Customer Contact Centre	90,000				90,000
Document Image Processing		45,000			45,000
	<b>3,820,800</b>	<b>(71,400)</b>	<b>(146,000)</b>	<b>(835,700)</b>	<b>2,767,700</b>
<b>Recurring commitments</b>					
Asset Review Purchases	1,842,500			(1,642,500)	200,000
Housing Strategy - Empty Homes		38,500			38,500
Housing Strategy - Decent Homes		54,700			54,700
Planned Enhancements to Council Property	530,000	(22,500)			507,500
Vehicles, Plant & Equipment	200,000	383,600			583,600
ICT Shared Service	145,800	56,200			202,000
IT Equipment	99,000				99,000
RBS Shared Service		32,900			32,900
	<b>2,817,300</b>	<b>543,400</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>(1,642,500)</b>	<b>1,718,200</b>
<b>New non-recurring commitments</b>					
Econ Dev Environmental Enhancements	1,900,000	(10,800)		(1,778,800)	110,400
Network Print Solutions	75,000				75,000
Mechanical Sweepers	100,000				100,000
Bousteads Grassing Demolition			166,500		166,500
	<b>2,075,000</b>	<b>(10,800)</b>	<b>166,500</b>	<b>(1,778,800)</b>	<b>451,900</b>
<b>Disabled Facilities Grants</b>					
Private Sector Grants	663,000		300,000		963,000
	<b>663,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>300,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>963,000</b>
<b>Continuing Schemes</b>					
City Play Trail		74,400			74,400
Play Area Developments		156,100	99,600		255,700
Environmental Enhancements		65,000			65,000
Trinity Church MUGA		127,800	2,800		130,600
Chances Park		22,100			22,100
Castle Street Public Realm		130,000			130,000
Waste Minimisation		12,100			12,100
Connect 2 Cycleway		15,000			15,000
Regeneration Improvements		6,700			6,700
	<b>0</b>	<b>609,200</b>	<b>102,400</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>711,600</b>
<b>Flood</b>					
ODPM Private Sector Renewal		9,300			9,300
Sheepmount Development		6,000			6,000
	<b>0</b>	<b>15,300</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15,300</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>9,376,100</b>	<b>1,085,700</b>	<b>422,900</b>	<b>(4,257,000)</b>	<b>6,627,700</b>

**SEPTEMBER 2011 - CAPITAL BUDGET MONITORING**

**APPENDIX B**

**RESOURCES**

Scheme	Annual Budget	Proposed Carry Forwards	Revised Annual Budget	Budget to date	Expenditure to date	Variance to date	Details of major variance
IT Shared Service	301,000	0	301,000	10,001	9,777	(224)	Part of Shared Service Business Case.
Planned Enhancements to Council Property	507,500	0	507,500	209,503	210,020	517	Budget released by Executive 26/07/11 (RD26/11). Schemes progressing as intended.
Vehicles & Plant	583,600	0	583,600	0	0	0	Replacement plan was revised due to transformation. Budget to be released on a needs assessment basis by the Executive.
Bousteads Grassing Demolition	166,500	0	166,500	75,602	49,720	(25,882)	Council approved the addition of this scheme to the 2011/12 capital programme in April 2011. Full project costs may be less than originally anticipated.
Document Image Processing	45,000	0	45,000	0	0	0	Discussions currently ongoing regarding requirements with completion expected by financial year end.
Network Print Solutions	75,000	0	75,000	0	0	0	Implementation completed in September 2011 with final account being settled in October 2011.
Asset Management Plan	1,842,500	(1,642,500)	200,000	0	0	0	A five year Development and Investment Plan for the City Council's property portfolio with the aim of delivering additional income or reduced costs in the revenue account on a recurring basis is being developed. Anticipated spend of up to £200,000 in 2011/12 on sustainable energy projects.
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>3,521,100</b>	<b>(1,642,500)</b>	<b>1,878,600</b>	<b>295,106</b>	<b>269,517</b>	<b>(25,589)</b>	

**COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT**

Scheme	Annual Budget	Proposed Carry Forwards	Revised Annual Budget	Budget to date	Expenditure to date	Variance to date	Details of major variance
Customer Services	90,000	0	90,000	0	3,204	3,204	Phase 2 and 3 of the refurbishment of the Customer Contact Centre.
Disabled Facilities Grants	963,000	0	963,000	406,663	409,811	3,148	A new prioritisation system for DFGs has been implemented which balances control over the level of expenditure while minimising the risk to clients. Expenditure was lower in the initial period while the new process was embedded, but has started to increase again and level out. It is anticipated that there will be no significant variance at the year end due to the budget being increased for external contributions received. Work is ongoing with Housing and Finance to continue to monitor the position. Further additional funding is being sought from Social Care and Health as well as raising the issue with Government. Action is also being taken to get better value for money through more effective procurement. Work processes are also being reviewed using a 'lean' approach.
Disabled Facilities Grants Pilot	0	0	0	0	5,080	5,080	Expenditure is to be fully funded by grant.
Housing Strategy - Decent Homes	54,700	0	54,700	27,363	17,527	(9,836)	Expenditure in relation to Fuel Poverty and is funded from the Energy Efficiency Advice Reserve.
Housing - Investment in Empty Homes	38,500	0	38,500	19,258	13,000	(6,258)	Outstanding commitments to grants from 2010/11.
Resource Centre	1,563,300	0	1,563,300	742,902	739,438	(3,464)	Project progressing as intended.
Ghyll Bank Gypsy & Travellers Site	0	0	0	0	328	328	Project complete.
Families Accommodation Replacement	905,700	(835,700)	70,000	0	0	0	Expenditure expected for planning and design fees this financial year with completion in Spring 2013.
MUGA - Trinity Church	130,600	0	130,600	95,702	94,564	(1,138)	Contract awarded and work commenced 27th June 2011. Anticipated completion August 2011.
RBS Shared Service	32,900	0	32,900	0	0	0	Part of Shared Service Business Case.
Sheepmount Drainage	6,000	0	6,000	6,000	26,066	20,066	Project is funded from an earmark reserve and a carry forward for additional works approved.
Roman Frontier	294,600	0	294,600	270,000	268,090	(1,910)	Capital element of project completion. Retention still to be paid.
City Play Trail	74,400	0	74,400	56,224	54,072	(2,152)	External grant received to fund this project.
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>4,153,700</b>	<b>(835,700)</b>	<b>3,318,000</b>	<b>1,624,112</b>	<b>1,631,180</b>	<b>7,068</b>	

**SEPTEMBER 2011 - CAPITAL BUDGET MONITORING**

APPENDIX D

**LOCAL ENVIRONMENT**

Scheme	Annual Budget	Proposed Carry Forwards	Revised Annual Budget	Budget to date	Expenditure to date	Variance to date	Details of major variance
ODPM Private Sector Renewal	9,300	0	9,300	4,653	4,546	(107)	Budget required to complete ongoing flood works due for completion early 2011/12.
Lowry Hill	0	0	0	0	(511)	(511)	Retention came in less than originally provided for.
Multi Use Games Areas	0	0	0	0	625	625	
Play Areas	255,700	0	255,700	186,190	186,195	5	All schemes are externally funded and contained within level of carry forward. Additional contributions from Section 106 agreements received.
Chances Park	22,100	0	22,100	22,100	12,023	(10,077)	Capital element of project nearing completion.
Environmental Improvements	65,000	0	65,000	0	1,076	1,076	Budget required to complete schemes approved in 2010/11.
Kingstown Industrial Estate Roads	473,000	0	473,000	0	0	0	Anticipated completion by end March 2012.
Willowholme Industrial Estate Roads	165,100	0	165,100	145,000	149,969	4,969	The main section of works are complete. Additional works in progress and are contained within the revised budget.
Castle Street Public Realm Improvements	130,000	0	130,000	20,000	17,137	(2,863)	Project to be completed by year end. Final costs expected to be less than budget remaining.
Connect 2 Cycleway	15,000	0	15,000	7,505	154	(7,351)	
Mechanical Sweepers	100,000	0	100,000	0	0	0	Budget released by Executive 18.04.11 (LE09/11)
Waste Minimisation	12,100	0	12,100	6,052	8,687	2,635	New bins.
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1,247,300</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,247,300</b>	<b>391,500</b>	<b>379,901</b>	<b>(11,599)</b>	

**SEPTEMBER 2011 - CAPITAL BUDGET MONITORING**

**APPENDIX E**

**ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

<b>Scheme</b>	<b>Annual Budget</b>	<b>Proposed Carry Forwards</b>	<b>Revised Annual Budget</b>	<b>Budget to date</b>	<b>Expenditure to date</b>	<b>Variance to date</b>	<b>Details of major variance</b>
Regeneration Improvements	6,700	0	6,700	0	0	0	Budget relates to match funding for the Citadel Court Square project
Old Town Hall - Strategic TIC	66,700	0	66,700	0	0	0	Project due to commence this year with main expenditure to be incurred in 2012/13.
Sub Regional Employment Sites	0	0	0	0	40,000	40,000	Overspend relates to additional work relating to asbestos caused by damage to the site through vandalism. Other commitments on scheme are being finalised and an insurance claim is outstanding to potentially fund this overspend.
Economic Development Environmental Enhancements	1,889,200	(1,778,800)	110,400	72,000	70,267	(1,733)	Expenditure in relation to advice on contaminated land. Project on hold pending the outcome of a land sale decision within the Asset Management Programme and budget reprofiled to reflect this. Remaining budget in 2011/12 relates to Stage 2 of advice which may or may not be required this year depending on the land sale decision.
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1,962,600</b>	<b>(1,778,800)</b>	<b>183,800</b>	<b>72,000</b>	<b>110,267</b>	<b>38,267</b>	