| Decision Ref No:   | EX.268/04   |   |   |                    |
|--|---|---|---|--------------------|
| Subject Matter:  |   |   |   |                    |
| INTEGRATED SERV  | ICE DELIVERY ON A   | N AREA BASIS  |   |                    |
| setting out proposals of street scene opera It was intended to est aspects of highway in this stage, the more sto economies of scale adapted where approached where approached between cyclemerge. Existing state level of satisfaction a considered that there priorities within local The intention was to geographical/location greater community line. | to establish area team ations. tablish multifunctional naintenance (on 'claim specialised and projecte. However, the balar opriate. vice provided would in clical/planned works are andards are considered any changes must eshould be some flexible communities. move away from focus in basis initially split into the sand ownership/res | teams to deliver an interest teams to deliver straight and highways') and the based operations ince/range of service itially be based on and responsive worked to be high and pure sustain these level polity to deal with specific area teams. ponsibility by the wested services to operate and the services to operate area teams. | to deal with problems that ublic surveys confirm a high ls. However, it is pecific problems and perate teams on a This should develop | e<br>it<br>e<br>id |
| which could be put in  | to place for delivery of  | f services from 1 Ap  | pril 2005.  |                    |
| It was also intended t   | to hold regular meeting   | gs between Area M   | lanagers/Supervisors and  |                    |

community and Ward Members were submitted. With regard to budgets, the operational costs would be met from a reconfiguration of existing base budgets. There were, however, a number of one-off set up costs, primarily related to training, which were the subject of a bid for £25,000 through the 2005/06 budget process.

Ward Members to provide feedback on local problems and to identify priority areas of improvement and provide feedback/agree action plans. Other proposals to engage the

Whilst the initial proposals were based on the integration of front line street scene services, there were a number of additional areas that the Council may wish to consider incorporating into this area based culture in the future.

## Decision:

- 1. That the proposed integration of street scene services and changed delivery systems be approved in principle and further detailed issues be developed in conjunction with the Environment, Infrastructure and Transport Portfolio Holder.
- 2. That the bid for one-off funding of £25,000 in 2005/06 be considered as part of the budget process.
- 3. That the report be referred to the Infrastructure Overview and Scrutiny Committee for consideration.

| Key or Non-Key<br>Decision: | Key                                       | Key Decision Ref: | KD.42/04 |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|----------|
| Portfolio:                  | Environment, Infrastructure and Transport |                   |          |
| Who made decision:          | Executive                                 |                   |          |
| Date:                       | 20-Dec-04                                 |                   |          |

Reports and Background Papers considered:

Report CTS.24/04 of the Head of Commercial and Technical Services

## **Reasons for Decision:**

The proposals provide an exciting opportunity to engage elected Members and the community in developing streetscene services to improve responsiveness and target local priorities. The changed operational arrangements are compatible with improving efficiency, as set out by Sir John Gershon and should enable more works to be undertaken. The evolutionary approach provides capacity for learning and further development of the emerging culture.

| Summary of Options reject                            | eted: None               |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Interests declared                                   | None                     |  |  |  |
| Date published                                       | 22-Dec-04                |  |  |  |
| Urgent decision not subje                            | ct to call in No         |  |  |  |
| Consent of Chairman/ Dep<br>Council to Urgency:      | Not applicable           |  |  |  |
| Deadline for call-in:                                | 31 December 2004 at 1700 |  |  |  |
| Implementation date if not called-in: 4 January 2005 |                          |  |  |  |
| Relevant Overview and Scrutiny Committee:            | Infrastructure           |  |  |  |
| Call-in notified to and date notified:               |                          |  |  |  |
| Approved for implementa                              | tion on:                 |  |  |  |
|  |                          |  |  |  |