

CARLISLE CITY COUNCIL

Report to:- Carlisle City Council

Date of Meeting:- 13 September 2011

Agenda Item No:-

Public

**Title:- OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES
CALL-IN AND URGENCY**

Report of:- ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (GOVERNANCE)

Report reference:- GD.49/11

Summary:-

Rule 15 (i) of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules deals with the procedure in respect of occasions where decisions taken by the Executive are urgent and where the call-in procedure should not apply. In such instances the Mayor must agree that the decision proposed is reasonable in the circumstances and should be treated as a matter of urgency.

The record of the decision and the Decision Notice needs to state that the decision is urgent and not subject to call-in. Decisions, which have been taken under the urgency provisions, must be reported to the next available meeting of the Council together with reasons for urgency.

At the meetings of the Executive on 27 June, 26 July and 30 August 2011 the Executive considered the following reports which were referred to Council.

These items are all to be considered by the Council at its meeting on 13 September 2011. If a call-in were to be received on any of the items, the call-in procedure would overlap the City Council meeting.

Note: in compliance with section 100d of the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 the report has been prepared in part from the following papers: None

All Members will have received copies of the reports and minutes with the Summons for the Council meeting and will have the opportunity to consider the items at the Council meeting on 13 September 2011.

- (i) Draft Medium Term Financial Plan (Incorporating the Corporate Charging Policy) 2012/13 – 2016/17; Draft Capital Strategy 2012/13 to 2016/17; and Draft Asset Management Plan 2011 - 2016

Copies of the above reports were submitted to and considered by the Resources Overview and Scrutiny Panel at their meeting on 25 August 2010. It was considered that any delay caused by a call-in on any of the above items would prejudice the Council's interest in progressing the budget and the Review of the Asset Management Plan.

- (ii) Core Strategy Issues and Options Paper

Copies of the above report were submitted to and considered by the Environment and Economy Overview and Scrutiny Panel at their meeting on 28 July 2011. It was considered that any delay caused by a call-in would prejudice the Council's interests by delaying approval of the Core Strategy Issues and Options Paper.

The Mayor has agreed that the above decisions are urgent and, for the reasons set out above, that the call-in process should not be applied to those decisions.

Recommendation:-

That the position be noted.

Contact Officer: Morag Durham

Ext: 7036

Mark Lambert
Assistant Director (Governance)

Impact assessments

Does the change have an impact on the following?

Equality Impact Screening	Impact Yes/No?	Is the impact positive or negative?
Does the policy/service impact on the following?		
Age	No	N/A
Disability	No	N/A
Race	No	N/A
Gender/ Transgender	No	N/A
Sexual Orientation	No	N/A
Religion or belief	No	N/A
Human Rights	No	N/A
Health inequalities	No	N/A
Rurality	No	N/A

If you consider there is either no impact or no negative impact, please give reasons:

Any impact would be dealt with within the body of the reports referred to

If an equality Impact is necessary, please contact the P&P team.