

INFRASTRUCTURE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Committee Report

Public/Private*

Date of Meeting:	26th October 2006
Title:	CORPORATE PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT, 2ND REPORT TO SEPTEMBER 2006
Report of:	Head of Policy & Performance Services
Report reference:	PPP48/06

Summary:

The report is the second of the financial year and presents the City Council's performance to September 2006 for the areas covered by Infrastructure Overview and Scrutiny Committee. Most of the information is on an exception basis, however some areas of good performance are also highlighted.

Recommendations:

- 1. Consider and comment on the information contained in the report with a view to seeking continuous improvement in how the Council manages performance.
- 2. Consider how current levels of performance compare with other authorities, where this information is available.
- 3. Consider where relevant, how financial and human resources may be redirected, as part of the budget process and while developing the corporate plan, to improve performance in order to deliver the Council's key priorities.

Contact Officer:Carolyn CurrExt:7017

Note: in compliance with section 100d of the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 the report has been prepared in part from the following papers: None

1. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

To ensure a robust performance management framework that helps the Council to achieve improvement in services that matter to local people and communities.

2. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Development work was undertaken during 2005, in collaboration with members, to improve the ways in which performance information is presented and therefore monitored and managed. From the information contained within the performance report, the Council should be able to determine:

- Standards of performance and whether they are appropriate in helping us to deliver our key priorities
- How the council's performance compares with other, similar authorities, including costs where this information is available, in order that we can make a value for money judgement. This aspect of the performance management framework is being developed during 2006.
- Whether it is appropriate for resources to be re-directed into other areas of activity in order to achieve our key priorities

3. IMPLICATIONS

- Staffing/Resources developing the organisation's performance management framework is a key area of focus for the Policy and Performance team and has been prioritised within its existing resources.
- Financial the financial aspect of performance, including value for money, needs to be better integrated into the quarterly reporting process so the financial implications of performance become more apparent. Improvements in this area are a priority for this year.
- Legal none
- Corporate a robust performance management framework will drive improvements in service delivery across the Council and help us to deliver our key priorities.

- Risk Management the risk of the Council failing to deliver its key priorities, achieve continuous improvement and value for money, will be mitigated when a robust, performance management framework is in place.
- Equality Issues a number of indicators measure the Council's performance in some areas of equality and these are closely monitored. Performance information is available and accessible in a variety of media and in different formats upon request.
- Environmental a number of Best Value indicators measure performance in this area.
 Local measures are being developed to support Greener Carlisle as part of the current environmental audit of the Council.
- Crime and Disorder more relevant, local performance measures will be developed in this area as part of the work currently underway.
- Impact on Customers will help to drive continuous improvement in front line services for the benefit of our local communities.



Carlisle City Council

The report presents the performance information to September 2006 for all areas, classified according to the Councils' priorities:

- Cleaner, greener, safer Carlisle and
- Learning City
- A number of measures that indicate the Corporate Health of the organisation are also included

Key:

Performance on target

Uncertainty whether year end target will be met

Current performance not on target / downward trend in performance

Notes:

- End of Year predictions have been made; in most cases calculated by extrapolating 6 months performance to give an expected end of year figure. Percentages have been assumed to be constant for the year. The use of seasonal variations is being developed in some areas.
- Quartile figures are based on 2004/2005 quartile information as 2005/2006 information is not yet available from the Audit Commission. This information is always at least 1 year in arrears.
- Exeter Benchmarking (previously Historic Cities) data is taken from 2005/6.





Cleaner, Greener, Safer - Highlights

Pl No	Brief Description of Indicator	Portfolio Holder	Directorate	Direction of Travel required	04/05 Actual	05/06 Actual	06/07 Target	Apr-Sep 06/07 Figure	Predicted Year 06/07 Figure	auartila)	Group	On/Off Target	Trend
BV 82bi	the authority	ann		More is Better	13.49%	16.24%	17.00%	23.16%	17.00%		***	₩	Improving
BV 84a	collected per	Environment and Infrastructure	Community Services	Less is Better	496.00	472.63	420.00	190.19	380.37	***	**	₩	Improving
BV 109a		Environment and Infrastructure	Development Services	More is Better	40.00%	53.48%	60.00%	70%	70%	***	**	₩	Improving
BV 109b	applications	Environment and Infrastructure	Development Services	More is Better	64.25%	74.76%	65.00%	73.04%	73.04%	**	**	₩	Improving

BV 82bi

The year to date performance of this indicator is very encouraging, but this figure is likely to reduce as less garden waste will be composted in the winter months.



BV109 a and **b** – the targets for this PI are set nationally



Cleaner Greener Safer - Exceptions

PI No	Brief Description of Indicator	Portfolio Holder	Directorate	Direction of Travel required	04/05 Actual	05/06 Actual	06/07 Target	Apr-Sep 06/07 Figure	Predicted Year 0607 Figure	National Quartile (***=top quartile)	marking Group	On/Off Target	Trend
BV 82ai	inousenoid	Environment and Infrastructure	,	More is Better	11.64%	12.65%	15.00%	14.23%	14.23%	N/AV	**		Improving
BV 82aii	Total tonnage household waste recycled	Environment and Infrastructure	,	More is Better	5847.67	6241.33	6700	2848.98	5697.96	N/AV	*		Deteriorating
BV 109c	labblications	Environment and Infrastructure	Development Services	More is Better	79.63%	85.79%	80.00%	83.52%	83.52%	**	*	₩	Deteriorating

BV109 c

The target for this PI is set nationally FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The annual budget for Development Services is £263,900 which covers the indicators 109a, 109b and 109c The September 2006 position shows a £39,203 under spend. The majority of the variance to date is due to vacancies yet to be filled and additional income against profiled budget.



Corporate Health – Exceptions

F	PI No	Brief Description of Indicator	Portfolio Holder	Directorate	Direction of Travel required	04/05 Actual	05/06 Actual	06/07 Target	Apr-Sep 06/07 Figure	Predicted Year 0607 Figure	National Quartile (***=top quartile)	marking	Target	Trend
C∨	27	Conference Group (CCG)		Development Services	More is Better	£137144	£127760	N/AP	£53234	£106468	N/AP	N/AV		Deteriorating



Learning City – Exceptions

F	PI No	Brief Description of Indicator	Portfolio Holder	Directorate	Direction of Travel required	04/05 Actual	05/06 Actual	06/07 Target	Apr-Sep 06/07 Figure	0607	National Quartile (***=top quartile)	marking	On/Off Target	Trend
LP	81	numbers at Tourist Information		Development Services	More is Better	187,401	170,215	N/AP	99358	152,875*	N/AP	N/AV	N/AP	Deteriorating

LP 81

This indicator is showing a downward trend from **187,401** in 2004/5 to **170,215** in 2005/6 and a predicted figure of **152,872** in 2006/7. This is a consistent reduction of over 17,000 visitors per year over the last two years.

In contrast, Eden District Council has had an increase of 51,737 visitors to tourist information centres between April and September this year. Eden had 69,427 visitors to its three tourist information centres in Alston, Kirkby Stephen, and Penrith between April and September 2005. For the same period this year there have been 121,548 visitors to the same tourist information centres.

*The predicted end of year figure for LP 81 is calculated using previous seasonal trends that show 65% of tourist information centre visitors visit between April and September and the remaining 35% between October and March.