Minute PR144/01 refers

CARLISLE CITY COUNCIL

Report to:-

The Chairman and Members of The Policy and

Resources Committee

Date of Meeting:-

30 August 2001

Agenda Item No:-

Public

Policy

Delegated: Yes

Accompanying Comments and Statements	Required	Included
Environmental Impact Statement:	No	No
Corporate Management Team Comments:	No	No
City Treasurers Comments:	Yes	Yes
City Solicitor & Secretary Comments:	No	No
Head of Personnel Services Comments:	No	No

Title:-

REVENUE BUDGETS 2002/03 TO 2004/05

Report of:-

The City Treasurer

Report reference:-

Financial Memo 2001/02 No. 77

(amended for revised population)

Summary:-

The City Treasurer summarises the likely cost of supporting current services levels and the potential resources available to the Council over the three year period to 2004/05 and comments on a range of issues which will impact on the provision of services and the level of Council Tax over that period.

Recommendation:-

Members are recommended:

- To receive and note the comments and projections in the report.
- To request via the City Council that the Executive consider the report and give guidance to officers in compiling budgets for 2002/03 to 2004/05 including any requirements or emphasis to redirect resources over that period.

Contact Officer:

D Thomas

Ext: 7299

Note: in compliance with section 100d of the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 the report has been prepared in part from the following papers: Carlisle City Council Budget – February 2001. Comprehensive Spending Review – DETR July 2000. LGA Circular on CSR – July 2000. Minister of Local Government Statement 20 July 2001.

CITY OF CARLISLE

To: The Chairman and Members
Policy & Resources Committee
30 August 2001

Financial Memo 2001/02 No 77

REVENUE BUDGETS 2002/03 TO 2004/05

(Based upon revised population)

Immediately following the issue of this report, revised population figures issued by OPCS placed Carlisle's mid 2001 population at 400 lower than was assumed as a basis for the original forecasts over the outlook period. The effect of this reduction is to reduce the Standard Spending Assessment and Revenue Support Grant entitlement by approximately £40,000 pa and to increase Council Tax by £1.25 over the levels previously forecast. These revisions have been reflected in this revised version of the report

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This report serves as an introduction to the preparation of the Budget for the three year period commencing 2002/03. The report addresses a number of issues as a basis of informing Members on the anticipated budget parameters and on the issues likely to emerge as the Budget is developed.
- 1.2 The report is prepared on the basis that it will be received by Policy and Resources Committee and by the City Council but referred to the new Executive to formulate and consult upon its strategic response to the budget issues set out in this report
- 1.3 For the City Council this will be a period of unprecedented change. In addition to the modernisation agenda and the introduction of the Executive framework, major services including Leisuretime, Housing and a major part of the DSO, are likely to transfer to new external service providers in the period covered by this review.
- 1.4 Against this background, the forecast of revenue budget requirements over the three year period takes on a special significance. It is however important that the figures and projections quoted in this report are seen in a broad policy context and are not used as a substitute for the detailed estimates to be presented later in the year.

BACKGROUND AND ASSUMPTIONS

- 2.1 A number of appendices are attached, detailing:-
 - Summary Budget Projections for period 2002/03 to 2004/05.
 - Illustrative Policy Options for 2002/03 to 2004/05
 - Impact of supplementary estimates to 30 August 2001.
 - Base budget savings identified in closing 2000/01 accounts.
 - 5. Asset Management Plan and Capital Strategy Summary
 - Statement by the Minister for Local Government on the Revenue Support Grant distribution for 2002/03 and the potential changes in the financing of local government services from 2003/04.
- 2.2 In preparing projections over a three year period there is an inevitable risk from failing to accurately predict the impact of inflation and the other demand pressures which add to the Council's long-term expenditure. Even at the current historic low levels of inflation, the leverage is still very considerable, with £1.35m added to the General Fund requirements in the third year of the review period.
- 2.3 The following assumptions underpin the overall projections:
 - 0.5% shortfall in pay provision 2001/02
 - 3% pay provision annually from 2002/03
 - salary turnover saving of £176,000 (1.5%).
 - Savings identified in closing 2000/01 of £115,000 together with salary savings of £225,000 (gross), £175,000 (net). Making £290,000 in total
 - A freeze on "general" corporate purchasing to reflect the improved spending power obtained through the new corporate purchasing system
 - 2.5% inflation on supplies and services
 - 3.5% increase from fees and charges in line with the approved policy (inflation +1% to yield £180,000)
 - A revised forecast of 5.25 % from interest earnings during 2001/02 and 5% in 2002/03 compared to the neutral forecast of 5.5% for 2003/04 and 2004/05. This will be refined further during the budget process
 - Incorporation of revised balances following closure of accounts 2000/01
 - Impact of supplementary estimates approved to 17 July 2001 or waiting to be approved to the date of this meeting
 - The Millennium Project will be completed within the approved budget including that for archaeology.
 - A borrowing allocation in support of the capital programme at £300,000 per annum in respect of General fund.

3. REVENUE SUPPORT GRANT

- 3.1 The minister for Local Government has announced a one year extension to 2002/03 in the methodology for distributing Revenue Support Grant (RSG) to individual authorities and in determining their Standard Spending Assessments (SSA) coupled with the retention of floors and ceilings to restrict the worst(and best) effects of the data changes which will underpin the grant settlement.
- 3.2 But there is a promise of new approaches to the main spending and grant systems of local government finance which will be announced in a white paper later this year and which are anticipated to take effect from 2003/04. This clearly introduces a note of caution when viewing a three year forecast which includes the first two years of a new grant and spending regime.
- 3.3 There is also to be a ten year cycle for the revaluation of houses for council tax banding, to take effect in 2007. Whilst this may have limited impact at a local level, it could have a greater impact in shifting grant resources from Regions which have seen above average increases in house prices since the introduction of Council Tax in 1993, to areas which have experienced below average increases.
- 3.4 The Government's Comprehensive Spending Review, undertaken in mid 2000 presently governs the spending patterns and priorities and grant regime for 2002/03. It is anticipated that there will be a further comprehensive service spending review in 2002 and which will set the priorities and spending patterns for the three year period 2003/04 to 2005/06, albeit they will also be delivered under a new funding regime.
- 3.5 For Environmental, Protective, Cultural and (Community) Services (EPCS) from which Shire Districts derive most of their spending power, the projected increase in spending controls over the next two years is 4.4% and this is assumed also to hold for 2004/05.
- 3.6 Net aggregate external finance (National Non-Domestic Rates and Revenue Support Grant) increases in line with the overall increase in Standard Spending assessments, by 5.6%, in 2002/03 and by 6.1% in 2003/04, and I have assumed 6% for 2004/05. This should ensure that providing expenditure increases at Local Authority level do not exceed the uplift in authorities' Standard Spending Assessments, the net impact on actual Council Tax levels should be contained at under 4.5%.

- 3.6 Appendix 1 attached indicates that if the City Council were to receive increases in SSA in line with my forecast and the tax base were to continue to increase by approximately 0.5% per annum, and actual spending were to be contained within this level of SSA increase, then the resulting Council Tax increases would be approximately 3.7% in 2002/03 followed by 2.8% in each of the next two years before addressing the impact of the Housing Stock Transfer. However the main risks to the City Council's grant and standard spending entitlement in the short term are the demographic changes, particularly changes in population and local economic indicators used as a basis of calculating grant and spending distribution, and which will be announced as part of the grant settlement.
- 3.7 I had assumed that these factors would remain neutral, but immediately after completion of this original report, notification was received that the annual estimate of population issued by the OPCS had been reduced by 400 for Carlisle. This follows a reduction of over 700 last year and will result in a further loss of approximately £40,000 revenue support grant, increasing Council Tax by £1.25 for any level of adopted expenditure, compared to the position based on last year's population. Although these mid year population estimates will be retrospectively corrected by the 2001 census data, there will be no correction to past grant entitlement.
- 3.8 Once the 2001 census data is available the City Council should consider commissioning some early research on future population trends as a basis of service and financial planning.

REVIEW OF CHARGES

- 4.1 The City Council generates over £9m in rents and charges, compared to £4.7m in Council Tax. The fees and charges over which the City Council exercises control together yield approximately £5.2m.
- 4.4 Following the adoption of the District Auditor's recommendations contained in his review of the Council's charging policies in 2000/01, the City Council undertook a comprehensive review of fees, charges and charging policies, as a basis for preparing the current year's budget.
- 4.5 For the purposes of budget planning covering the three year review period, I have assumed that the annual increase of 1% over inflation (3.5%) from fees and charges will yield £180,000 pa. This forecast will be reviewed during the budget timetable to reflect the Executive's response to the issues posed by the budget framework.

4.6 It is instructive to note that for each 1% increment of income gained or lost, the impact is to reduce or increase Council Tax requirements by approximately £1.60 per Band D property. This remains an important consideration in determining the extent to which the cost of services should be shared between users and taxpayers.

5. STRATEGIC ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES

- 5.1 In the past, the estimates of the various groups of services have been aggregated and presented to the appropriate Spending Committee according to delegated responsibility. Committee estimates have been summarised for presentation to Policy and Resources Committee and to Council as a basis of determining the annual Budget provision.
- 5.2 The greater certainty provided by the Government's adoption of a rolling three year financial planning horizon, should encourage local authorities to plan on a similar basis. This will be particularly important for the City Council over the three year period to 2004/05 because of the scale of change taking place in the delivery of the City Council's own services and the impact that this will have on the cost and the organisation of the residual services. With this in mind, greater focus should be directed to the impact of demand and resources over the three year period and the Executive and the City Council will be encouraged to adopt a forward strategic financial planning process rather than view the Budget for 2002/03 as a single year issue.
- 5.3 The impact of Best Value which has required the adoption of a new Code of Accounting Practice, together with the introduction of Portfolio Holders to replace committees in the new Executive framework will have the effect of redefining some of the present service groupings. This should provide scope in future years to relate the presentational style of the estimates to the Council's key objectives, although for statutory and Council Tax purposes there may still be a necessity to analyse budgets over predefined service groupings.

CAPITAL STRATEGIES AND ASSET MANAGEMENT PLANS

- 6.1 The Council has approved its Capital Strategy and Asset Management Plan for submission to DTLR and which will be used by the DTLR as a basis for allocating capital resources from the Single Capital Pot arrangements which will apply from April 2002.
- 6.2 A context summary of the likely scale of resources is also attached as Appendix 5. Detailed capital bids will be received by the Executive for

consideration as a basis of formulating recommendations for a capital programme to Council for approval as part of the budget. This will be prepared and assessed in accordance with the priorities already agreed by the Council in approving its Asset Management Plan and Capital Strategy.

7. REVENUE BUDGETS POLICY IMPACT

- 7.1 Appendix 1 summarises all the factors referred to earlier in this report and which collectively make up the Council's net revenue requirements for Council Tax purposes, expressed at outturn prices for each of the three years 2002/03 to 2004/05 with a further indication as to the impact in later years.
- 7.2 The Council's net General Fund Budget and likely grant income, based upon the assumption of an increase in line with the average increase for EPCS services in each year, is forecast as set in Appendix 1.
- 7.3 The forecasts detailed at Appendix 1 show that if the City Council receives an average share of the increase in the SSA control totals for EPCS and capital financing, and increases its own spending by the same amount, then:-
 - The resultant tax increases would be approximately 3.7% in 2002/03 followed by 2.8% in each of the next two years.
 - The capacity to support additional base level spending financed through Council Tax will remain very limited and further net savings of £120,000 would be required over the first two years with a modest increase of £90,000 in the third year, if Council Tax increases were to be restrained to the level implied by the increase in SSA.
 - Each 1% change in the Council Tax level, will reduce or increase the need to generate savings by between £46,000 and £49,000 per annum throughout the review period. To support a continuation budget and so avoid making further net savings of £120,000 would require an extra 2.6% tax increase to 6.3% (£8.77) in 2002/03.
 - There is unlikely to be sufficient new capacity to address the short term
 pressures brought about by the transfer of the housing stock and the
 consequential need to review the organisation and structure of the
 remaining services. In consequence the Council will remain dependent
 on the ability to generate savings, increase income, and to redirect
 resources to meet major priorities.

- 7.4 Appendix 2 summarises the impact on Council Tax and spending implications for a range illustrative policy options, but does not reflect the cost of any new policy objectives to be adopted in the period covered by the review, and which the Executive will need to identify at an early stage.
- 7.5 In addition, the impact of legislative and other initiatives on the spending requirements of the City Council will need to be examined and developed during the Budget timetable so that consideration can be given to the strategic allocation of resources at the time of setting the Budget.

8. HOUSING STOCK TRANSFER

- 8.1 Subject to the approval of the tenants in a ballot to be held in June 2002, Officers are working to achieve the transfer to the new RSL on 9 December 2002. This date has been adopted in this report to calculate the revenue impact on the General fund in the three year period covered by the outlook period.
- 8.2 For budget planning purposes, and subject to the outcome of negotiations with Riverside to be led by Hacas Chapman Hendy, the newly appointed Lead Consultant, it has been assumed that:-
 - The stock transfers on 9 December 2002
 - Office Accommodation is retained in Civic Centre to December 2003
 - . IT support and Cashier functions are retained for the same period.
 - The Building Maintenance DSO transfers on 31 March 2004.
- 8.3 The major initial impact on the General Fund (GF) is two fold. Firstly the GF must meet the local 5% contribution towards the cost of housing benefits in each of the first three years before there is any reflection in the Revenue Support Grant. Even then, the first year's increase in RSG, of approximately £170,000 in 2005/06 will reflect only the four month benefit period in 2002/03 and it will not be until 2006/07 that the RSG reflects a full year contribution of approximately £500,000. The total net cost to the Council in the first four years will be approximately £1.6m and it is assumed for budget planning purposes that there will be a residual balance on the HRA of at least this sum.
- 8.4 The second impact is the costs which the Council will have to meet in the short term which relate to those support costs provided to the HRA which will not be required by the new landlord and which will not be wholly offset by the TUPE transfer arrangements. In the short term the new landlord will require some support, most likely accommodation for a period of up to twelve

months and IT and Cashiering for the same period. Some support staff will transfer under the TUPE arrangements whilst others may transfer by negotiated agreement with the new landlord and the staff concerned. But there will be some work undertaken for the HRA, particularly by specialised staff, which is too small to justify a transfer and this is to be addressed by consultants who will advise on the scope to restructure the remaining functions of the authority. There will also be costs, which simply cannot be saved. These include the pension enhancement costs of former HRA and DSO Building Maintenance pensioners, the loss of approximately half of the current level of profit contribution from the DSO, and the increase in interest costs on the Council's residual debt following the transfer of the housing stock.

8.5 This is obviously a complex matter, particularly because of the phased transfer effects. For budget planning purposes I have calculated the likely effect in each of the three years before the full effect in 2005/06, as £210,000, £660,000 and £990,000 respectively. The Lead Consultant will play an important role in confirming the potential additional costs; in negotiating on the Council's behalf with Riverside and in advising the City Council on the extent to which these costs can be mitigated by reviewing the residual functions and alternative structures for their delivery.

BALANCES AND RESERVES

- 9.1 The Council's balances and reserves remain strong. However Members should keep in mind that any extensive reorganisation of the Council's services following the proposed transfer of Housing, DSO (part), and Leisuretime will have substantial short term funding consequences which will initially impact on the Council's General Fund balances. And indeed there may be substantial one off costs in any restructuring, which will also have to be covered by balances.
- 9.2 Members must also bear in mind that all variations in budget spending impact positively or negatively on the Council's balances. Whilst balances remain strong and at prudent levels, the Council needs to remain well positioned to deal with unexpected or strategic issues as they arise, but particularly the uncertainty that must inevitably attach to any forecast based upon the scale of change on which the City Council has embarked.
- 9.3 It is recommended that the practice of approving non-recurring expenditure to be financed from balances over several years should cease and that the use of balances in any one budget year should be on an entirely strategic/financial basis.

9.4 The Council's General Fund and Capital Fund uncommitted balances projected at 31 march 2002, are as follows:-

	General	Capital
	£,000	£,000
General Fund	3,212	
Capital Projects Fund		679
Capital Receipts		900
	3,212	1,579

10. PROVISION FOR DEBT REPAYMENT

- 10.1 In approving the 2000/01 budget, Members adopted my advice to increase the provision for debt repayment by £30,000 per annum year on year until such time in 2010 when the Council would again be making full provision (4%) for the repayment of outstanding debt.
- 10.2 In addition, the Council presently receives a General Fund capital borrowing allocation of £300,000 (in 2001/02) which has, at the Council's discretion been used exclusively in support of private sector housing improvements. The year on year cost of supporting a continued level of new debt at £300,000 pa is approximately £30,000 per annum year on year (based upon 6% borrowing cost and 4% debt repayment). This item should also reflect in the Council's annual standard spending assessment increase.

11. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 11.1 Whilst Members will conclude from this report that the Council's finances are sound and that its balances are strong, nonetheless the Council's foreseeable resources remain fully committed. The impact of the Housing Stock Transfer will represent a considerable challenge, whilst the reported reduction in population as a basis of spending needs and grant support will be a point of concern and disappointment, particularly if not corrected for the future by the 2001 census data
- 11.2 Whilst the new financial regime provides more flexibility, the pressures upon the Council in responding to changes in service demands and for supporting Best Value requirements, and the modernising agenda, will continue to present major challenges over the three year period covered by the latest financial review.

- 11.3 Members are also reminded that the Government will shortly publish a white paper on Local Government Finance which are likely to lead to major changes in the grant mechanisms for funding local authorities, from April 2003 at the earliest.
- 11.4 Members are recommended:
 - To receive and note the comments and projections in the report.
 - ii) To request via the City Council that the Executive consider the report and give guidance to officers in compiling budgets for 2002/03 to 2004/05 including any requirements or emphasis to redirect resources over that period.

D THOMAS

City Treasurer

Contact Officer: D Thomas Ext: 7299

City Treasury, Carlisle 28 August 2001, CT/CH/SS/f770102

	CARLISLE CITY	COUNCIL	
Provisional Forecast	of General Fund	Expenditure	2001/02 to 2004/05

Core Base Level Services	28/08/01 10:18	2000-01 Revised	2000-01 ProvisnI	2001-02 Approved Budget	2001-02 Revised	2002-03 Committed	2003-04 Projec	2004-05 tions	Later Years
1, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17,		Estimate £ 000	Out-Turn		Budget £.000	£.000	£.000	£.000	£.000
Operational deficit on Airport	1 Core Base Level Services								
Savings adopted in budget - recurring				341					
New Spending approved in budget - recurring									
Initiation (rei of increase in charges and tenis of £175k pa years 283) Interest Impact of rate changes and use of balances Interest Impact of rate changes and use of balances Interest Impact of rate changes and use of balances 478	New Spending approved in budget - recurring	182	182						
Interest - Impact of rate changes and use of balances	Claimed rights in lieu of Highways Agency			163	225				
Debt repayment on new borrowings of £300k pa Supplementary Base Estimates approved to 30-Aug-01 56 56 56 145 180 185 190 290 -295 300 35 200 295 300 35 200 295 300 35 200 295 300 35 200 295 300 35 200 295 300 32 3 20 295 300 32 3 20 295 300 32 3 20 295 300 32 20 295 300 32 3 20 295 300 32 20 295 300 32 20 295 300 32 3 20 20 295 300 32 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Inflation (net of increase in charges and rents of £175k pa years 2&3)	470	470		50				
Supplementary Base Estimates approved to 30-Aug-01 56 56	Interest - Impact of rate changes and use of balances	-4/8	-4/8		-50				25
Savings Identified in closing 2000/01 accounts including £175k net salary savings 340 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Debt repayment on new borrowings of £300k pa	56	56		145				33
Roundings 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Supplementary Base Estimates approved to 30-Aug-01				140				
Core Base Budget Expenditure 11,436 11,096 12,654 12,550 12,979 13,484 13,914 185		-3				1	200	000	
LSVT/DSO/ impact on General Fund (excluding Benefits) Housing Benefit Costs post HRA transfer 0				12,654	12,550	12,979	13,484	13,914	185
Housing Benefit Costs post HRA transfer			13-13-510-10-1						
Contingency Parish Precepts Precepts Precepts 11,664 11,324 12,887 12,783 13,599 14,909 15,694 545 15 15 15 15 15 15						170	515	530	360
3 CityParish Core Base Expenditure for year		_							
Non-recurring approvals and prior year commitments	Parish Precepts								
LSVT Transfer costs 1,206 500 500 500 New Non-Recurring Commitments 1,206 500 367	3 City/Parish Core Base Expenditure for year				NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER, THE PARTY OF		and the second section is a second section of the second	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	545
New Non-Recurring Commitments 367 367 9 9 9 9		627	-431				5	5	
Revenue Plans Slippage from previous year Supplementary estimates - (non recurring) - approved to 30-Aug-01 281 281 114 Late Supplementary estimates - (non recurring) - approved to 30-Aug-01 281 281 114 4 Total Non recurring expenditure 1,808 479 1,674 2,335 -440 14 5 Upperby Park Restoration (net of Heritage lottery grant) 81 0 28 Capital Projects Slippage from 1999/2000 192 192 Replacement of Vehicles, Plant, Computers and Office Equipment KPP Development Millennium Gateway Project (gross) 2,307 2,365 3,602 2,827 5 Gross Capital expenditure 2,696 2,557 4,436 3,805 797 955 632 0 Less contributions M.Commission & Sponsors -1,076 -642 -1,016 -711 -715 -150 -150 -150 -150 -150 -150 -150 -1							0		
Supplementary estimates - (non recurring) - approved to 281	New Non-Recurring Commitments	627	627	307		1 3	3		
Late Supplementary estimates - (non recurring) 4 Total Non recurring expenditure 1,808 479 1,674 2,335 440 14 5 Upperby Park Restoration (net of Heritage lottery grant) Capital Projects Silppage from 1999/2000 192 192 Replacement of Vehicles, Plant, Computers and Office Equipment KPP Development Millennium Gateway Project (gross) 2,307 2,365 3,602 2,827 5 Gross Capital expenditure 2,696 2,557 4,436 3,805 797 955 632 0 Less contributions M.Commission & Sponsors Capital Receipts and Grants Applied -345 -375 -150 -150 -150 6 Net Capital Expenditure funded from Revenue 1,275 1,540 3,270 2,944 797 955 632 0 7 Total Net Committed Expenditure 14,747 13,343 17,831 18,062 13,956 15,878 16,331 545 110 180 120 120 13 118 28 in 2002/03; 2003/04 and 2004/05	Supplementary estimates - (non recurring) - approved to 30-Aug-01								
A Total Non recurring expenditure				30				48	
Upperby Park Restoration (net of Heritage lottery grant) 81 0 28					2,335	-440	14	5	
Capital Projects Slippage from 1999/2000 192 192 Replacement of Vehicles, Plant, Computers and Office Equipment KPP Development 116 Millennium Gateway Project (gross) 2,307 2,365 3,602 2,827 5 Gross Capital expenditure 2,696 2,557 4,436 3,805 797 955 632 0 Less contributions 4.200 4,436 4,43	35 AV 93400 IA MOSS	1000		10.14.16.16.1					
Replacement of Vehicles, Plant, Computers and Office Equipment KPP Development Millennium Gateway Project (gross) 2,307 2,365 3,602 2,827 5 Gross Capital expenditure 2,696 2,557 4,436 3,805 797 955 632 0 Less contributions M.Commission & Sponsors Capital Receipts and Grants Applied 6 Net Capital Expenditure funded from Revenue 1,275 1,540 3,270 2,944 797 955 632 0 7 Total Net Committed Expenditure 14,747 13,343 17,831 18,062 13,956 15,878 16,331 545 8 Council Tax for Core Budget Expenditure at 2 (Excluding Parishes) 131.08 139.90 148.67 6,3% 2,5% 1,1% 9 Excess of Base Expenditure above 2001/02 Council Tax increased by uplift for SSA in 2002/03; 2003/04 and 2004/05 10 Max Exp supported by SSA tax increase + Precepts + balances 11 Council tax to Fund SSA Increase 145.12 149.16 153.38	Upperby Park Restoration (net of Heritage lottery grant)				28	1 1			
Total Net Committed Expenditure 14,747 13,343 17,831 18,062 13,956 15,878 16,331 545	Capital Projects Slippage from 1999/2000	192	192	004	004	707	0.00	000	
Millennium Gateway Project (gross) 2,307 2,365 3,602 2,827 5 Gross Capital expenditure 2,696 2,557 4,436 3,805 797 955 632 0 Less contributions M.Commission & Sponsors -1,076 -642 -1,016 -711 Capital Receipts and Grants Applied -345 -375 -150 -150 6 Net Capital Expenditure funded from Revenue 1,275 1,540 3,270 2,944 797 955 632 0 7 7 Total Net Committed Expenditure at 2 (Excluding Parishes) 131.08 139.90 148.67 6.3% 2.5% 1.1% 9 Excess of Base Expenditure above 2001/02 Council Tax increased by uplift for SSA in 2002/03; 2003/04 and 2004/05 13,633 15,100 15,313 0 15.33 15.00 153.38		116		834		797	955	632	
5 Gross Capital expenditure 2,696 2,557 4,436 3,805 797 955 632 0 Less contributions M.Commission & Sponsors Capital Receipts and Grants Applied -345 -375 -150 -150 -150 6 Net Capital Expenditure funded from Revenue 1,275 1,540 3,270 2,944 797 955 632 0 7 Total Net Committed Expenditure at 2 (Excluding Parishes) 131.08 8 Council Tax for Core Budget Expenditure at 2 (Excluding Parishes) 131.08 139.90 148.67 152.43 154.10 5.81 % increase 9 9 Excess of Base Expenditure above 2001/02 Council Tax increased by uplift for SSA in 2002/03; 2003/04 and 2004/05 13,633 15,100 15,313 0 10 Max Exp supported by SSA tax increase + Precepts + balances 13,633 15,100 15,313 0			2 365	3 602		1			
Less contributions M.Commission & Sponsors						797	955	632	0
M.Commission & Sponsors		2,000	2,001	4,400	3,003	101	555	002	
Capital Receipts and Grants Applied 6 Net Capital Expenditure funded from Revenue 1,275 1,540 3,270 2,944 797 955 632 0 7 Total Net Committed Expenditure 14,747 13,343 17,831 18,062 13,956 15,878 16,331 545 8 Council Tax for Core Budget Expenditure at 2 (Excluding Parishes) % increase % increase 9 Excess of Base Expenditure above 2001/02 Council Tax increased by uplift for SSA in 2002/03; 2003/04 and 2004/05 10 Max Exp supported by SSA tax increase 11,633 15,100 15,313 0 153,338		-1.076	-642	-1,016	-711				
6 Net Capital Expenditure funded from Revenue 1,275 1,540 3,270 2,944 797 955 632 0 7 Total Net Committed Expenditure 14,747 13,343 17,831 18,062 13,956 15,878 16,331 545 8 Council Tax for Core Budget Expenditure at 2 (Excluding Parishes) 131.08 139.90 148.67 6.3% 2.5% 1.1% 9 Excess of Base Expenditure above 2001/02 Council Tax increased by uplift for SSA in 2002/03; 2003/04 and 2004/05 13,633 15,100 15,313 0 10 Max Exp supported by SSA tax increase + Precepts + balances 13,633 15,100 153.38									
8 Council Tax for Core Budget Expenditure at 2 (Excluding Parishes) 131.08 139.90 148.67 6.3% 2.5% 1.1% 9 Excess of Base Expenditure above 2001/02 Council Tax increased by uplift for SSA in 2002/03; 2003/04 and 2004/05 113 18 28 114 Council tax to Fund SSA Increase 145.12 149.16 153.38	6 Net Capital Expenditure funded from Revenue	1,275	1,540	3,270	2,944	797	955	632	0
% increase 9 Excess of Base Expenditure above 2001/02 Council Tax increased by uplift for SSA in 2002/03; 2003/04 and 2004/05 10 Max Exp supported by SSA tax increase + Precepts + balances 13,633	7 Total Net Committed Expenditure	14,747	13,343	17,831	18,062	13,956	15,878	16,331	545
% increase 9 Excess of Base Expenditure above 2001/02 Council Tax increased by uplift for SSA in 2002/03; 2003/04 and 2004/05 10 Max Exp supported by SSA tax increase + Precepts + balances 11 Council tax to Fund SSA Increase 145.12 149.16 153.38	Council Tay for Core Budget Expenditure at 2 (Excluding Parishes)	131.08		139.90		148.67	152 43	154.10	5.81
9 Excess of Base Expenditure above 2001/02 Council Tax increased by uplift for SSA in 2002/03; 2003/04 and 2004/05 10 Max Exp supported by SSA tax increase + Precepts + balances 13,633 15,100 15,313 0 149.16 153.38		101.00		100100					MIN.I.
in 2002/03; 2003/04 and 2004/05 10 Max Exp supported by SSA tax increase + Precepts + balances 11 Council tax to Fund SSA Increase 12,633		plift for SS	A						
10 Max Exp supported by SSA tax increase + Precepts + balances									
11 Council tax to Fund SSA Increase 145.12 149.16 153.38	10 May Exp supported by SSA tax increase + Precepts + balances					13,633	15,100	15,313	0
	11 Council tax to Fund SSA Increase					The second secon			
	Spending capacity generated or lost for each 1% increase or reduction	in council	tax	44,270					

ו וסדוסוסוומו ו סוכסמסו סו ספוופומו ו מוום באףפוומונמום בסס ווטב נס בססיוסס

28/08/01 10:18		2000-01 Revised	2000-01 ProvisnI	2001-02 Approved	2001-02 Revised	2002-03 Committed	2003-04 Projec	2004-05	Later Years
Financed By		Estimate	Out-Turn	Budget	Budget	I I	Projec	tions	rears
		£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000
Government Grant-RSG/ NNDR		7,735	7,735	7,924	7,924	8,226	8,575	8,938	2,000
Assumed Council Tax Surplus		37	37	65	65	40	40	40	
Assumed Community Charge Surplus		14	14	4	4	0	0	0	
Parish Precepts - council tax		228	228	233	233	240	250	260	
Council Tax for SSA increase in 2002/03; 2003/04; 2004/0	05	4.115	4.115	4,427	4,427	4,600	4,751	4.908	185
12 Total Income at Council Tax Level		12,129	12,129	12,653	12,653	13,106	13,616	14,146	185
Contrib'n from Airport Reserve		42	52	0	4	13,100	13,010	14,140	100
Housing Revenue Account			02	· ·	4	170	515	530	360
Repairs and Renewal Fund (or Lease Fina	ance)	114	114	834	834	797	955	632	300
Large Project Fund		1,136	1,520	2,436	2,159	1 737	0	0	0
General Fund Balance re Base Spending		-507	-857	234	130	1 01	0	0	U
re non recurring policies		1,832	384	1,674	2,282	-440	14	5	5
13 Total Funding available from Council Tax and Reserves		14,746	13,342	17,831	18,062	13,633	15,100	15,313	545
		The state of the s		11,001	10,002	10,000	10,100	10,010	343
	Balances as a	1				1 1			
Estimated Balances Carried Forward at 31st March	31-Mar-00	•							
Direct Service Organisation (DSO)	550	550	538	550	550	550	550	550	
Capital Projects Fund	4,212	2,928	2,692	492	582	582	582	582	
- Earmarked for Sports Development	150	96	146	96	97	97	97	97	
Repairs and Renewal Funds (net of contributions to/from)	2,100	2,243	2,366	1,409	2,017	1,705	1,208	1,042	
Airport Reserve HRA (from 2002/03)	56	14	4	14	0	1,703	895	365	
General Fund Free Balance	5,151	3,826	5,624	1,918	3,212	3,652	3,638	3,633	
14 General Fund Reserves/Balances	12,219	9,657	11,370	4,479	6,458	7,996	6,970		
	12,210	2,001	11,570	5,513	0,430	1,990	6,970	6,269	
15 Budgeted Amount (including precepts)		12,130	12,130	12,653	12,653	13,106	42 646	44.446	400
For council Tax Purposes assuming City element of Council	Tax increases		lift	12,055	12,033	13,100	13,616	14,146	180
g cry common doubles	TOX MOIDESCO	оу оол ар							
Council Tax - for spending in line with SSA tax increase.	1999/2000								
16 Including parish Precepts	142.90	138.37	138.37	147.25		152.69	157.01	404.54	F 00
% increase over previous year	142.00	100.07	150.57	6.42%		3.69%	2.83%	161.51	5.60
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				0.4276		3.09%	2.83%	2.87%	3.5%
17 Excluding Parish Precepts		131.08	131.08	139.90		145.12	149.16	153.38	47.44
% increase over previous year		101.00	101.00	6.73%		3.73%	2.78%	2.83%	17.11
Savings or use of Balances to achieve this increase				0.7070		323	778	1,018	10.90% O
						323	110	1,010	U
18 Maximum Implied Expenditure + Parish Precepts						13,633	15,100	15,313	0
Based on 2001/02 budget plus council tax increase to fun	d increase in S	SA				10,000	10,100	15'515	ū
		1000							

Intestrative Policy Options Before Impact of LSVT)						
Net Spend for a revised continuation budget at out-turn prices 12,430 12,979 13,484 13,914 13,914 14,867 152,431 154,016 16,000	Illustrative Policy Options	(Before Impact of LSVT)				
budget at out-turn prices 12,430 12,979 13,484 13,914 13,910 148,67 152,43 154,10 10,416 71,52,43 154,10 10,41 13,910 148,67 152,43 154,10 16,77 3,76 1,67 % increase in tax at this budget level 8,77 3,76 1,67 % increase at this level 6,3% 2,5% 1,1% Annual Uplift in SSA over 2001/02 Budget New pend for a budget uplifted by increase in SSA over 2001/02 New pend for a budget uplifted by floor pend 139,90 145,12 149,16 13,886 13,386 13,886 13,886 13,886 13,886 13,886 13,886 143,12 149,16 14,22 149,16 14,22 149,16 14,22 149,16 14,22 14,24 <td>Continuation Budget</td> <td></td> <td>£,000</td> <td>£,000</td> <td>£,000</td> <td>£,000</td>	Continuation Budget		£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000
Council Tax to support this level of spend 139.90 148.67 152.43 15.10 1.67	Net Spend for a revised continua	ation				
Increase in tax at this budget level 8.77 3.76 1.67	budget at out-turn prices		12,430	12,979	13,484	13,914
Manual Uplift in SSA over 2001/02 Budget	Council Tax to support this level	of spend	139.90	148.67	152.43	154.10
Annual Uplift in SSA over 2001/02 Budget Net spend for a budget uplifted by increase in SSA over 2001/02 Budget supported by this option 12,430 12,866 13,366 13,886 Council Tax to support his level of spend 139.90 145.12 149.16 153.38 increase at this level 5,22 4,04 4,22 % increase at this level 3,7% 2.8% 2.8% Congoing increase in the year permitted by this option 0,000 113 6 Inflation Tax Increase Net budget uplifted by increase in tax of 2.50% Budget supported by this option 12,430 12,812 13,296 13,799 Council Tax to support his level of spend 139.90 1443.40 146,98 150,66 increase in tax at this budget level 3.50 3.58 3.67 % increase at this level 5,000 149,400 140,400 14	increase in tax at this budget le	vel		8.77	3.76	
Net spend for a budget uplitfied by increase in SSA over 2001/02	% increase at this level			6.3%	2.5%	1.1%
Net spend for a budget uplitfied by increase in SSA over 2001/02						
Net spend for a budget uplitfied by increase in SSA over 2001/02	A	1/00 B				
Budget supported by this option 12,430 12,866 13,866 13,865 13,						
Council Tax to support this level of spend increase in tax at this budget level			40.420	10.000	12 200	42 000
Increase in tax at this budget level 5.22 4.04 4.22 4.07 4.22 4.06 4.22 4.06 6.62 2.8% 2.8						
Section Sect			139.90			
Diagoing increase in the year permitted by this option		vei				
Inflation Tax Increase		and the state of t		3.170	2.070	
Inflation.Tax.Increase Net budget uplitted by increase in tax of 2.50% Sudget supported by this option 12,430 12,812 13,296 13,799 Council Tax to support this level of spend 139.90 143.40 146.98 150.66 Increase in tax at this budget level 2.50% 2.50% 2.50% 2.50% 0				112	6	90
Net budget uplifted by increase in tax of 2.50% 2.430 12,812 13,296 13,799 12,430 12,812 13,296 13,799 13,430 143,40 146,98 150,66 160,	Ongoing reductions required in t	ne year to deliver this option		113	0	
Net budget uplifted by increase in tax of 2.50% 2.430 12,812 13,296 13,799 12,430 12,812 13,296 13,799 13,430 143,40 146,98 150,66 160,						
Budget supported by this option 12,430 12,812 13,296 13,799 143.40 146.98 150.68 160.799 143.40 146.98 150.68 160.799			0.500/			
Council Tax to support this level of spend 139.90 143.40 146.98 150.66 Increase in tax at this budget level 3.50 3.58 3.67 72 72 72 72 73 74 74 74 74 74 74 74				10.010	40.000	40 700
Increase in tax at this budget level 2.50% 2.50% 2.50% 2.50% 0.72						
% increase at this level 2.50% 2.50% 2.50% Ongoing increase in the year permitted by this option 167 20 4.5% Tax Increase 2 4.50% 20 Net budget uplifted by increase in tax of Budget supported by this option 12,430 12,900 13,481 14,087 Council Tax to support this level of spend increase in tax at this budget level 6.30 6.58 6.87 % increase at this level 4.50% 4.50% 4.50% Ongoing increase in tex permitted by this option 79 82 170 No Change in Tax Level 2 4.50% 4.50% 4.50% A standstill Council Tax 0.00% 0.00 139.90 100 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0			139.90	5551743555		
A.5% Tax Increase Net year permitted by this option 167 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	•	vel				
A.5% Tax Increase Net budget uplifted by increase in tax of 4.50%				2.50%	2.50%	
### A.5% Tax Increase Net budget uplifted by increase in tax of ### A.50% Budget supported by this option 12,430 12,900 13,481 14,087 Council Tax to support this level of spend 139.90 146.20 152.77 159.65 increase in tax at this budget level 6.30 6.58 6.87						72
Net budget uplifted by increase in tax of 4.50% Budget supported by this option 12,430 12,900 13,481 14,087 Council Tax to support this level of spend 139.90 146.20 152.77 159.65 increase in tax at this budget level 6.30 6.58 6.87 % increase at this level 4.50% 4.	Ongoing reductions required in t	he year to deliver this option		167	20	
Net budget uplifted by increase in tax of 4.50% Budget supported by this option 12,430 12,900 13,481 14,087 Council Tax to support this level of spend 139.90 146.20 152.77 159.65 increase in tax at this budget level 6.30 6.58 6.87 % increase at this level 4.50% 4.						
Budget supported by this option			1002227			
Council Tax to support this level of spend increase in tax at this budget level		n tax of		40.000	40 404	44.007
increase in tax at this budget level 6.30 6.58 6.87 % increase at this level 4.50% 4.50% 4.50% Ongoing increase in the year permitted by this option 82 170 Ongoing reductions required in the year to deliver this option 79						
% increase at this level 4.50% 4.50% 4.50% Ongoing increase in the year permitted by this option 79 82 170 No Change in Tax Level 2 170 A standstill Council Tax 0.00% 12,701 13,071 13,455 Council Tax to support this level of spend increase in tax at this budget level 0.00 139.90 139.90 139.90 139.90 139.90 139.90 139.90 139.90 0.00			139.90			
Ongoing increase in the year permitted by this option 79 82 170 No Change in Tax Level A standstill Council Tax Budget supported by this option Council Tax to support this level of spend increase in tax at this budget level increase in tax at this budget level Ongoing increase in the year permitted by this option Ongoing reductions required in the year to deliver this option Ongoing reductions required in the year to deliver this option Ongoing reductions required in the year to deliver this option Ongoing reductions required in the year to deliver this option Ongoing reductions required in the year to deliver this option Ongoing reductions required in the year to deliver this option Ongoing reductions I tax increase or reduction Will increase or reduce tax by Will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 I tax increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 I tax increase or reduce tax by Will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 I tax increase or reduce tax by Will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 I tax increase or reduce tax by Will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 I tax increase or reduce tax by Will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 I tax increase or reduce tax by Will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 I tax increase or reduce tax by Will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 I tax increase or reduce tax by Will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 I tax increase or reduce tax by Will increase or reduce ta		vei				
No Change in Tax Level 2 A standstill Council Tax 0.00% Budget supported by this option 12,430 12,701 13,071 13,455 Council Tax to support this level of spend increase in tax at this budget level 0.00 0.00 0.00 % increase at this level 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Ongoing increase in the year permitted by this option 278 135 46 Projected increase in annual SSA 476 500 520 1% tax increase or reduction 31.45 1.45 1.49 1.53 will increase or reduce tax by 46 48 49 LSVT First Estimate of Impact - Excluded from Above £,000 210 660 990 6.62 20.72 30.94				4.50%		
No Change in Tax Level A standstill Council Tax 0.00% Budget supported by this option 12,430 12,701 13,071 13,455 Council Tax to support this level of spend increase in tax at this budget level 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 % increase at this level 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Ongoing increase in the year permitted by this option 278 135 46 Projected increase in annual SSA 476 500 520 1% tax increase or reduction will increase or reduce tax by will increase or reduce tax by will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 1.45 1.49 1.53 LSVT First Estimate of Impact - Excluded from Above £,000 210 660 990 6.62 20.72 30.94				70	82	170
A standstill Council Tax	Ongoing reductions required in t	he year to deliver this option		79		
A standstill Council Tax						
Budget supported by this option 12,430 12,701 13,071 13,455	No Change in Tax Level					
Council Tax to support this level of spend increase in tax at this budget level 139.90 139.90 139.90 139.90 139.90 139.90 139.90 139.90 139.90 139.90 139.90 139.90 139.90 139.90 10.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00% </td <td>A standstill Council Tax</td> <td></td> <td>0.00%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	A standstill Council Tax		0.00%			
increase in tax at this budget level 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 % increase at this level 0.00% 0.	Budget supported by this option		12,430			13,455
% increase at this level0.00%0.00%0.00%Ongoing increase in the year permitted by this option27813546Projected increase in annual SSA4765005201% tax increase or reduction will increase or reduce tax by will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,0001.451.491.53LSVT First Estimate of Impact - Excluded from Above£,0002106609906.6220.7230.94	Council Tax to support this level	of spend	139.90	139.90		
Ongoing increase in the year permitted by this option Ongoing reductions required in the year to deliver this option Projected increase in annual SSA 476 500 520 1% tax increase or reduction will increase or reduce tax by will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 LSVT First Estimate of Impact - Excluded from Above £,000 278 135 46 476 500 520 1.45 1.49 48 49 LSVT First Estimate of Impact - Excluded from Above £,000 210 660 990 6.62 20.72 30.94	increase in tax at this budget lev	vel .		0.00	0.00	0.00
Ongoing reductions required in the year to deliver this option 278 135 46 Projected increase in annual SSA 476 500 520 1% tax increase or reduction will increase or reduce tax by will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 46 48 49 LSVT First Estimate of Impact - Excluded from Above £,000 210 660 990 6.62 20.72 30.94	% increase at this level			0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Projected increase in annual SSA 476 500 520 1% tax increase or reduction Will increase or reduce tax by 1.45 1.49 1.53 will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 46 48 49 LSVT First Estimate of Impact - Excluded from Above £,000 210 660 990 6.62 20.72 30.94	Ongoing increase in the year pe	ermitted by this option				
1% tax increase or reduction 1.45 1.49 1.53 will increase or reduce tax by 1.45 1.49 1.53 will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 46 48 49 LSVT First Estimate of Impact - Excluded from Above £,000 210 660 990 6.62 20.72 30.94	Ongoing reductions required in t	he year to deliver this option		278	135	46
1% tax increase or reduction 1.45 1.49 1.53 will increase or reduce tax by 1.45 1.49 1.53 will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 46 48 49 LSVT First Estimate of Impact - Excluded from Above £,000 210 660 990 6.62 20.72 30.94						
will increase or reduce tax by will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 1.45 1.49 1.53 LSVT First Estimate of Impact - Excluded from Above £,000 210 660 990 6.62 20.72 30.94	Projected increase in annual S	SSA		476	500	520
will increase or reduce tax by will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 1.45 1.49 1.53 LSVT First Estimate of Impact - Excluded from Above £,000 210 660 990 6.62 20.72 30.94	1% tax increase or reduction					
will increase or reduce spending amount supported by £,000 46 48 49 LSVT First Estimate of Impact - Excluded from Above £,000 £,000 210 660 990 6.62 20.72 30.94	10000000	e tax by		1.45	1.49	1.53
6.62 20.72 30.94			£,000			
6.62 20.72 30.94	LCVT First Fatimets of Immedia	Evaluate At	0.000	. 040	000	000
	Lovi First Estimate of impact	- Excluded from Above	£,000	-	PAGE TO STATE OF THE PAGE TO S	
	The City Council will appoint a !	and consultant to advice an array	in which the	0.02	20.72	30.94

The City Council will appoint a Lead consultant to advise on ways in which the residual functions and structure might be organised so as to mitigated the impact of LSVT.

Appendix 3 Financial Memo 2001/02 No. 77

Supplementary Estimates 2001/02

	Non	Recu	rring	
	Recurring £000	Current Year £000	Full Year £000	
Johnnie Johnston Court - Security Contribution	4			
Highways Claimed Rights		62	62	
Millennium Scheme - Irishgate Bridge (Claims)	22			
Resources to support the Executive and Overview & Scrutiny process		28	57	
Civic Suite Accommodation	74			
Pay Award		55	55	
Archaeology Redundancy Cost	14			
	114	145	174	
Impact of inflation over 2001/02			6	
Budget Impact 2002/03			180	

Base Budget Reductions 2002/03

Base Budget Reductions 2002/05	Reduction Implemented	Increase Allowed	Net Reduction
	£	£	£
Housing General Fund Hostels- Shaddongate-Metered Water Hostels- 69 London Road - Cleaning Ma Hostels - Homeshares Gas Hostels- 69 London Road - Electricity Homelessness General Expenses Private Sector Renewal	-1,000 -250 -250 -500 -200	800	
	-2,200	800	-1,400
Environment & Development			
Additional Income Licences	-2,000		
Car Parking Excess Charges	-27,640		
Street Cleaning	-11,110		
Development Control Fee Income	-39,470		
Local Plans General Underspend	-2,420		
Pest Control Income		12,760	
Refuse Collection Overspend		13,660	
Head of Planning Services		5,940	
Development Control Overspend		6,960	
Management & Support	-500	10.57.03.03.0	
Local Plans	-370		
Licensing	-3,000		
	-86,510	39,320	-47,190
Finance & General Purposes			
Ctax Transport Recharges	-290		
Ctax Stationery/Office Supplies	-6,100		
Ctax Contingency	-12,690		
Recovery Car Mileage	-2,000		
Recovery Stationery/Office Supplies	-3,510		
Recovery Legal Fees	-14,800		
Recovery Postages	-2,250		
Recovery Income Ctax Fees	-27,930		
NNDR Car Mileage		310	
NNDR General Expenses		2,000	
NNDR Printing		3,000	
NNDR Stationery/Office Expenses	-2,270		
	-71,840	5,310	-66,530
Economic Development			
Enterprise Centre- Energy	-500 -500		-500
Total net savings implemented	-161,050	45,430	-115,620

Appendix 5 Financial Memo 2001/02

No. 77

Draft Capital Programme 2001/02 to 2003/04

001/2 iginal ,000	Revised £,000		2002/3 Draft	2003/4 Draft	2004/05 Illustrative
-			Draft	Draft	Illustrativa
,000	£,000			1979 177 0770	
			£,000	£,000	£,000
3,603	2,403		1,795	338	300
4,940	6,148		3,700	0	0
968	968		960	475	400
834	834		797	955	632
0,345	10,353		7,252	1,768	1,332
150	150		841	338	200
798	1,270		350	0	
			500	0	
3,991	3,992		2,700		
153	153		150	150	150
671	671		900	0	
295	295		310	325	350
-	759				
3,271	2,810		1,501	955	632
834	529		0	0	
182	124		0	0	
0,345	10,753		7,252	1,768	1,332
	150 798 3,991 153 671 295 - 3,271 834	4,940 6,148 968 968 834 834 0,345 10,353 150 150 798 1,270 3,991 3,992 153 153 671 671 295 295 - 759 3,271 2,810 834 529 182 124	4,940 6,148 968 968 834 834 0,345 10,353 150 150 798 1,270 3,991 3,992 153 153 671 671 295 295 - 759 3,271 2,810 834 529 182 124	4,940 6,148 3,700 968 968 960 834 834 797 0,345 10,353 7,252 150 150 841 798 1,270 350 500 500 3,991 3,992 2,700 153 153 150 671 671 900 295 295 310 - 759 3,271 2,810 1,501 834 529 0 182 124 0	4,940 6,148 3,700 0 968 968 960 475 834 834 797 955 0,345 10,353 7,252 1,768 150 150 841 338 798 1,270 350 0 500 0 0 3,991 3,992 2,700 153 153 150 150 671 671 900 0 295 295 310 325 - 759 3,271 2,810 1,501 955 834 529 0 0 182 124 0 0

336 20 July 2001

MAKING LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE FAIRER - TIMETABLE ANNOUNCED

The timetable to change parts of local government finance to make it fairer, more intelligible and put money where it is needed most was announced today by Local Government Minister, Nick Raynsford.

The timetable sets out:

- when changes will be made to the way grant is distributed to local authorities;
- the timing of revaluation of domestic properties.

The Government, in consultation with local government, is developing a system of grant distribution that is fairer, simpler and more stable. This will be introduced in 2003-04, allowing the changes to come in one year rather than spread over two years. The new system will then run unchanged for a further two years.

In response to Parliamentary Questions from Adrian Bailey (MP for West Bromwich West) and Candy Atherton (MP for Falmouth and Camborne), Nick Raynsford said:

"The Government's objective is to create a local government finance system that distributes grant fairly and effectively and gives councils greater financial autonomy to help them better meet the needs of their local communities.

"We know that there remain disparities in the education funding formula which are not justified by the education needs of children. We have been working with local government and other education interests on the best way to resolve these issues but there is not yet agreement on the way forward.

"We will work up proposals in partnership with local government for a reformed grant formula which we will introduce in 2003-04.

Financial Memo 2001/02 No. 77

"Today's announcement will give local authorities some welcome financial stability and will allow them to plan ahead. Meanwhile we will enhance that

stability and predictability by developing the floors and ceilings protection

which we introduced for some authorities in 2001-02 so that all authorities get

a reasonable increase in grant and no authority gets an unduly large increase.

"We intend to extend floors and ceilings to cover police and fire authorities for

2002-03 and to discuss how best to enhance grant stability in 2002-03 for shire

district councils, who do not have education and social service

responsibilities."

In addition Mr Raynsford announced that there should be a ten-yearly fixed statutory

cycle of council tax revaluations in England. Work on the first revaluation should start

in 2005, with council tax bills based on updated property values issuing in 2007.

Revaluation would not lead to any increase or decrease in the overall revenue raised

from council tax.

The Minister said:

"Respondents to last year's Green Paper were overwhelmingly supportive of

the proposal to establish a fixed cycle for council tax revaluations. Setting out

a timetable now gives local authorities a clear framework within which to

make their plans."

NOTES FOR EDITORS

The full text of Mr Raynsford's Parliamentary written answers are attached.

In its green paper published last September, Modernising Local Government Finance: A Green Paper, the Government consulted on options for reform of the revenue and capital finance regimes and some local taxation matters. An electronic version of the green paper is available on the DTLR website at: www.local.dtlr.gov.uk/greenpap. There were over 16,000 responses to the green paper. An analysis of these is also

available at: www.local.dtlr.gov.uk/greenpap/analysis

The Government will publish a wide-ranging White Paper on local government

later in the year.

Press Enquiries: 020 7944 3042 Out of Hours: 020 7944 5925

E-mail: press@dtlr.gov.uk

Public Enquiries: 020 7944 3000 DTLR website: http://www.dtlr.gov.uk