


Audit Progress Report and Sector Update

Carlisle City Council Year ending 31 March 2018

March 2018



Contents

Section	Page
ntroduction	03
Progress at March 2018	04
Audit Deliverables	05
Results of Interim Audit Work	06
Sector Update	09
Links	16

Introduction



Gareth Kelly Engagement Lead

T 0141 223 0891 E gareth.kelly@uk.gt.com



Angela Pieri Engagement Manager

T 0141 223 0887 E angela.l.pieri@uk.gt.com

This paper provides the Audit Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors.

The paper also includes a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you as a local authority.

Members of the Audit Committee can find further useful material on our website, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector. Here you can download copies of our publications. Click on the Grant Thornton logo to be directed to the website www.grant-thornton.co.uk.

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

Progress at March 2018

Financial Statements Audit

We have completed our planning for the 2017/18 financial statements audit, and our detailed Audit Plan is an agenda item at the 19 March 2018 Audit Committee. The plan sets out our proposed approach to the audit of the Council's 2017/18 financial statements.

We commenced our interim audit in January and February 2018. Our interim fieldwork visit includes:

- updated review of the Council's control environment;
- updated understanding of financial systems;
- review of Internal Audit reports on core financial systems;
- · early work on emerging accounting issues; and
- early substantive testing.

The findings from our interim audit are summarised at pages 6 to 8.

The statutory deadline for the issue of the 2017/18 opinion is brought forward by two months to 31 July 2018. We are discussing our plan and timetable with officers.

The final accounts audit is due to begin on the 01 June 2018 with findings reported to you in the Audit Findings Report by the earlier deadline of 31 July 2018.

Value for Money

The scope of our work is set out in the guidance issued by the National Audit Office. The Code requires auditors to satisfy themselves that; "the Council has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources".

The guidance confirmed the overall criterion as: "in all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people".

The three sub criteria for assessment to be able to give a conclusion overall are:

- Informed decision making
- · Sustainable resource deployment
- Working with partners and other third parties

We have made our initial risk assessment to determine our approach in January 2018, and this is reported to you in our Audit Plan.

We will report our work in the Audit Findings Report and give our Value For Money Conclusion by the deadline of 31 July 2018.

Other areas

Certification of claims and returns

We are required to certify the Council's annual Housing Benefit Subsidy claim in accordance with procedures agreed with the Department for Work and Pensions. This certification work for the 2017/18 claim will be concluded by 30 November 2018.

The results of the certification work are reported to you in our certification letter.

Meetings

As part of the planning processes we have met with the Chief Executive, Deputy Chief Executive, Corporate Director of Governance and Regulatory Services, finance staff and Internal Audit. The meetings are part of our regular liaison to understand emerging developments, and to ensure the audit process is smooth, effective and to add value to your wider priorities.

Audit Deliverables

2017/18 Deliverables	Planned Date	Status
Accounts Audit Plan	March 2018	Complete
We are required to issue a detailed accounts audit plan to the Audit Committee setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council's 2017/18 financial statements.		
Interim Audit Findings	March 2018	Complete
We will report to you the findings from our interim audit and our initial value for money risk assessment within our Progress Report.		
Audit Findings Report	July 2018	Not yet due
The Audit Findings Report will be reported to the July Audit Committee.		
Auditors Report	July 2018	Not yet due
This is the opinion on your financial statement, annual governance statement and value for money conclusion.		
Annual Audit Letter	August 2018	Not yet due
This letter communicates the key issues arising from our work.		
Annual Certification Letter	December 2018	Not yet due
This letter reports any matters arising from our certification work carried out under the PSAA contract.		

Results of Interim Audit Work

The findings of our interim audit work, and the impact of our findings on the accounts audit approach, are summarised in the table below:

	Work performed	Conclusions and recommendations
Internal audit	We have completed a high level review of internal audit's overall arrangements. Our work has not identified any issues which we wish to bring to your attention. We have also reviewed internal audit's work on the Council's key financial systems to date. We have not identified any significant weaknesses impacting on our responsibilities.	Overall, we have concluded that the internal audit service provides an independent and satisfactory service to the Council and that internal audit work contributes to an effective internal control environment. Our review of internal audit work has not identified any weaknesses which impact on our audit approach.
Entity level controls	We have obtained an understanding of the overall control environment relevant to the preparation of the financial statements including: communication and enforcement of integrity and ethical values; commitment to competence; participation by those charged with governance; management's philosophy and operating style; organisational structure; assignment of authority and responsibility; and human resource policies and practices.	Our work has identified no material weaknesses which are likely to adversely impact on the Council's financial statements.

	Work performed	Conclusions and recommendations
Review of information technology controls	Our information systems specialist performed a high level review of the general IT control environment, as part of the overall review of the internal controls system in 2016/17.	Our work in this area is on-going and it is expected to be concluded in March 2018.
	The results of the work including a number of recommendations were reported to management and to the Audit Committee as part of our progress report from March 2017.	
	Internal Audit s in the process of reviewing progress made against the recommendations, and we will liaise with the Internal Audit team to provide the evidence of progress against each of the recommendations.	
Walkthrough testing	We have completed walkthrough tests of the Council's controls operating in areas where we consider that there is a risk of material misstatement to the financial statements.	Our work has not identified any weaknesses which impact on our audit approach.
	Our work has not identified any issues which we wish to bring to your attention. Internal controls have been implemented by the Council in accordance with our documented understanding.	
Journal entry controls	We have reviewed the Council's journal entry policies and procedures as part of determining our journal entry testing strategy and have not identified any material weaknesses which are likely to adversely impact on the Council's control environment or financial statements.	Review of the Council's journal entry policies and procedures have not identified any material weaknesses. As part of our final accounts audit we will undertake detailed testing on journal transactions by extracting 'unusual' entries for further review.

	Work performed	Conclusions and recommendations
Early substantive testing	We have undertaken early substantive testing during the interim visit, covering the nine month period of April 2017 to December 2017, in the following areas: operating expenditure; non-grant revenues; and employee remuneration.	There have been no issues to report to you from our testing of the transactions during this period. We will complete the testing in these areas during our final accounts visit.

Sector Update

Local government finances are at a tipping point. Councils are tackling a continuing drive to achieve greater efficiency in the delivery of public services, whilst facing the challenges to address rising demand, ongoing budget pressures and social inequality.

Our sector update provides you with an up to date summary of emerging national issues and developments to support you. We cover areas which may have an impact on your organisation, the wider NHS and the public sector as a whole. Links are provided to the detailed report/briefing to allow you to delve further and find out more.

Our public sector team at Grant Thornton also undertake research on service and technical issues. We will bring you the latest research publications in this update. We also include areas of potential interest to start conversations within the organisation and with audit committee members, as well as any accounting and regulatory updates.

- Grant Thornton Publications
- Insights from local government sector specialists
- Reports of interest
- Accounting and regulatory updates

More information can be found on our dedicated public sector and local government sections on the Grant Thornton website.

Public Sector Audit Appointments: Report on the results of auditors' work 2016/17

This is the third report on the results of auditors' work at local government bodies published by PSAA. It summarises the results of auditors' work at 497 principal bodies and 9,752 small bodies for 2016/17. The report covers the timeliness and quality of financial reporting, auditors' local value for money work, and the extent to which auditors used their statutory reporting powers.

The timeliness and quality of financial reporting for 2016/17, as reported by auditors, remained broadly consistent with the previous year for both principal and small bodies. Compared with 2015/16, the number of principal bodies that received an unqualified audit opinion by 31 July showed an encouraging increase. 83 principal bodies (17 per cent) received an unqualified opinion on their accounts by the end of July compared with 49 (10 per cent) for 2015/16. These bodies appear to be well positioned to meet the earlier statutory accounts publication timetable that will apply for 2017/18 accounts.

Less positively, the proportion of principal bodies where the auditor was unable to issue the opinion by 30 September increased compared to 2015/16. Auditors at 92 per cent of councils (331 out of 357) were able to issue the opinion on the accounts by 30 September 2017, compared to 96 per cent for the previous year. This is a disappointing development in the context of the challenging new reporting timetable from 2017/18. All police bodies, 29 out of 30 fire and rescue authorities and all other local government bodies received their audit opinions by 30 September 2017.

The number of qualified conclusions on value for money arrangements has remained relatively constant at 7 per cent (30 councils, 2 fire and rescue authorities and 1 other local government body) compared to 8 per cent for 2015/16. The most common reasons for auditors issuing non-standard conclusions on the 2016/17 accounts were:

- the impact of issues identified in the reports of statutory inspectorates;
- · corporate governance issues; and
- · financial sustainability.

The latest results of auditors' work on the financial year to 31 March 2017 show a solid position for the majority of principal local government bodies. Generally, high standards of financial reporting are being maintained despite the financial and service delivery challenges currently facing local government.



Changes to the prudential framework of capital finance

The Ministry of Housing Communities and Local Government has updated the Local Authority Investments Guidance and the Minimum Revenue following its publication of consultation responses on 2 February 2018.

A total of 213 consultation responses were received by the MHCLG by the 22 December 2017 deadline from across local government. Following consideration of the responses the Government has:

- made some technical changes to the Investments Guidance and MRP Guidance
- · amended proposals relating to useful economic lives of assets
- implemented the Investments Guidance for 2018-19, but allowed flexibility on when the additional disclosure first need to be presented to full Council
- deferred implementation of MRP Guidance to 2019-20 apart from the guidance "Changing methods for calculating MRP", which applies from 1 April 2018.

Key changes are noted below.

Statutory Guidance on Local Authority Investments

Transparency and democratic accountability – the revised guidance retains the requirement for an Investment Strategy to be prepared at least annually and introduces some additional disclosures to improve transparency. However, as the changes to the CIPFA Prudential Code include a new requirement for local authorities to prepare a Capital Strategy, the revised guidance allows the matters required to be disclosed in the Investment Strategy to be included in the Capital Strategy.

Principle of contribution – the consultation sought views on the introduction of a new principle requiring local authorities to disclose the contribution that non-core investments make towards core functions. Authorities' core objectives include 'service delivery objectives and/or placemaking role.' This clarification has been made to recognise the fact that local authorities have a key role in facilitating the long term regeneration and economic growth of their local areas and that they may want to hold long term investments to facilitate this.

Introduction of a concept of proportionality – the Government is concerned that some local authorities may become overly dependent on commercial income as a source of revenue for delivering statutory services. The consultation sought views on requiring local authorities to disclose their dependence on commercial income to deliver statutory services and the amount of borrowing that has been committed to generate that income. A majority of respondents supported the introduction of a concept of proportionality, recognising the importance that local authorities make decisions based on an understanding of the overall risk that they face.

Borrowing in advance of need – by bringing non-financial investments (held primarily or partially to generate a profit) within the scope of the Investments Guidance, the consultation proposals made it clear that borrowing to fund acquisition of non-financial assets solely to generate a profit is not prudential. The Investment Guidance requires local authorities who have borrowed in advance of need solely to generate a profit to explain why they have chosen to disregard statutory guidance. It is also important to note that nothing in the Investment Guidance or the Prudential Code overrides statute, and local authorities will still need to consider whether any novel transaction is lawful by reference to legislation.

Minimum Revenue Provision Guidance – the consultation sought views on proposals to update the guidance relating to MRP to ensure local authorities are making prudent provision for the repayment of debt.

Meaning of a charge to the revenue account – the Government does not believe that crediting the revenue account is either prudent or within the spirit of the approach set out in the relevant Regulations. For this reason a charge to the account should not be a negative charge.

Impact of changing methods of calculating MRP – the Government does not expect any local authority to recalculate MRP charged in prior years due to the proposed changes in methodology.

Introduction of a maximum economic life of assets – the consultation sought views on setting a maximum useful economic life of 50 years for freehold land and 40 years for other assets. The MRP Guidance will set a maximum life of 50 years, but allow local authorities to exceed this where the related debt is PFI debt with a longer term than 50 years, or where a local authority has an opinion from an appropriately qualified person that an operational asset will deliver benefits for more than 50 years.



CIPFA publications - The Prudential Code and Treasury Management Code

CIPFA has published an updated 'Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities'. Key developments include the introduction of more contextual reporting through the requirement to produce a capital strategy along with streamlined indicators.

The framework established by the Prudential Code should support local strategic planning, local asset management planning and proper option appraisal. The objectives of the Prudential Code are to ensure, within this clear framework, that the capital investment plans of local authorities are affordable, prudent and sustainable.

Local authorities are required by regulation to have regard to the Prudential Code when carrying out their duties in England and Wales under Part 1 of the Local Government Act 2003, in Scotland under Part 7 of the Local Government in Scotland Act 2003, and in Northern Ireland under Part 1 of the Local Government Finance Act (Northern Ireland) 2011.

Since the Prudential Code was last updated in 2011, the landscape for public service delivery has changed significantly following the sustained period of reduced public spending and the developing localism agenda. It reflects the increasing diversity in the sector and new structures, whilst providing for streamlined reporting and indicators to encourage better understanding of local circumstances and improve decision making.

The introduction of a capital strategy allows individual local authorities to give greater weight to local circumstances and explain their approach to borrowing and investment. The Code is available in hard copy and online.

CIPFA Transmission of Samuelland Section Services

the prudential code

For day that Programs on Laired Buildwarfers

In the Public Services

CIPFA Transmission Services

Attreasury management

Set the Public Services

Cash of Programs and Cross Serviced Guidance Notes

1011 January

CIPFA has also published an updated Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice and Cross-Sectoral Guidance Notes. The Code provides a framework for effective treasury management in public sector organisations.

The Code defines treasury management as follows:

The management of the organisation's investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks.

It is primarily designed for the use of local authorities (including police and crime commissioners and fire authorities), providers of social housing, higher and further education institutions, and the NHS. Local authorities in England, Scotland and Wales are required to 'have regard' to the Code.

Since the last edition of the TM Code was published in 2011, the landscape for public service delivery has changed significantly following the sustained period of reduced public spending and the developing localism agenda.

There are significant treasury management portfolios within the public services, for example, as at 31 March 2016, UK local authorities had outstanding borrowing of £88bn and investments of £32bn

.The Code is available in hard copy and online.

Supply Chain Insights tool helps support supply chain assurance in public services

Grant Thornton UK LLP has launched a new insights and benchmarking platform to support supply chain assurance and competitor intelligence in public services.

The Supply Chain Insights service is designed for use by financial directors and procurement professionals in the public sector, and market leaders in private sector suppliers to the public sector. It provides users with a detailed picture of contract value and spend with their supply chain members across the public sector. The analysis also provides a robust and granular view on the viability, sustainability, market position and coverage of their key suppliers and competitors.

The platform is built on aggregated data from 96 million invoices and covers £0.5 trillion of spending. The data is supplemented with financial standing data and indicators to give a fully rounded view. The service is supported by a dedicated team of analysts and is available to access directly as an on-line platform.

Phillip Woolley, Partner, Grant Thornton UK LLP, said:

"The fall-out from the recent failure of Carillion has highlighted the urgent need for robust and ongoing supply chain monitoring and assurance. Supply Chain Insights provides a clear picture of your suppliers' activities across the sector, allowing you to understand risks, capacity and track-record. We think it's an indispensable resource in today's supplier market."



The tool enables you to immediately:

- access over 96 million transactions that are continually added to
- · segment invoices by:
 - organisation and category
 - --- service provider
 - date at a monthly level
- · benchmark your spend against your peers
- identify:
 - --- organisations buying similar services
 - differences in pricing
 - the leading supplier
- · see how important each buyer is to a supplier
- · benchmark public sector organisations' spend on a consistent basis
- see how much public sector organisations spend with different suppliers

Supply Chain Insights forms part of the Grant Thornton Public Sector Insight Studio portfolio of analytics platforms.

Click on Supply Chain Insights for more information.

Supply Chain Insights

Cost Assurance

Did you know....

40

Number of Public Sector engagements to date

£125m

Annual spend analysed

£3.55m

Rebate opportunities identified

£1.1m

Fee income identified

2.84%

Error rate – rebates versus spend volume

55%

Of Public Sector engagements are Local Government

Our Cost Assurance service line provides Local Authorities with an independent and retrospective audit of their legacy telecommunications and utilities costs incurred during the past 6 years (as per the Statute of Limitation).

We find that there are repeat errors contained within a Suppliers' invoice arrangements – errors that aren't necessarily picked up by the end client. This is due to the fact that they tend to be contained in suppliers' billing systems 'at source' and are much further down the supply chain which the user won't necessarily have visibility of.

We are supported by a comprehensive library of legacy supplier pricing that has been collated since 2011. Our one aim is to ensure that the client has only paid for the services used during the period by:

- ensuring that bills presented by Suppliers' are in line with their contracts and relevant pricing mechanisms
- · ensuring the client receives the Supplier refunds where errors have been identified by us
- ensuring consequential savings are identified and implemented immediately for the client

Our Cost Assurance work is based on a contingent-fee model and is supported by PSAA Ltd. Each of our Local Authority engagements include a fee cap to ensure governance and regulatory standards are maintained.

In summary, we are able to bring much needed financial benefit to the sector as well as providing insight into errors that may be prone to repeat offence by suppliers long after our work is concluded.

Links

Grant Thornton website links

https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/

http://www.grantthornton.co.uk/industries/publicsector

http://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/insights/combined-authorities-signs-of-success/

http://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/insights/a-guide-to-setting-up-a-social-enterprise/

http://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/insights/commercial-healthcheck-in-local-authorities/

http://www.cfoinsights.co.uk/

http://supplychaininsights.grantthornton.co.uk/

PSAA website links

https://www.psaa.co.uk/audit-quality/reports-on-the-results-of-auditors-work/

MHCLG website links

https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/proposed-changes-to-the-prudential-framework-of-capital-finance

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/capital-finance-quidance-on-local-government-investments-second-edition

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/capital-finance-guidance-on-minimum-revenue-provision-third-edition

CIPFA website link

http://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-quidance/publications/t/the-prudential-code-for-capital-finance-in-local-authorities-2017-edition-book

National Audit Office link

https://www.nao.org.uk/report/the-adult-social-care-workforce-in-england/



© 2018 Grant Thornton UK LLP. Confidential and information only.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL).GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions. This proposal is made by Grant Thornton UK LLP and is in all respects subject to the negotiation, agreement and signing of a specific contract/letter of engagement. The client names quoted within this proposal are disclosed on a confidential basis. All information in this proposal is released strictly for the purpose of this process and must not be disclosed to any other parties without express consent from Grant Thornton UK LLP.