

## **ADDENDUM TO REPORT LDS.66/03**

### **OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES CALL IN AND URGENCY**

Further to the Report which was circulated with the Council Summons on 24 October 2003 and as a result of the decisions of the Executive at its meeting on the 27 October 2003, the Report should be amended by deleting:

The items in respect of the option for Member Support Services and Elected Member Development, as the Executive have withdrawn those items from the Council Summons on the 4 November. The call in process will therefore be applied to those decisions.

The Executive at its meeting above added a further two urgent items to the Council Summons :

Museum and Art Service Management Options  
Post 14 Education Training and Higher Education

#### **MUSEUM AND ART SERVICE MANAGEMENT OPTIONS**

The Executive have agreed that; the modified in-house Management option be rejected leaving the development of Status Quo Management or a Trust as viable options, that the Museum and Art Services produce a Development Plan and it be a recommendation to the City Council on 4 November that the cost of up to £50,000 be taken from the allocated budget assigned to develop a Trust, and that the report be submitted to Overview and Scrutiny for consideration. It is the view of the Head of Culture, Leisure and Sport that should the item not be submitted to that Council Meeting the position of the Council may be prejudiced in that there will be an undue delay to the implementation timetable. As the call in period will overlap the City Council decision, the Mayor has agreed that the call in process should not be applied to the Executive Decision.

#### **POST 14 EDUCATION TRAINING AND HIGHER EDUCATION**

The Executive have agreed that the strategy be approved, and that a recommendation be submitted to the City Council on 4 November requesting funding of £100,000 as a non recurring budget over two years, that a revised job description for an officer to promote Post 14 Education, Training be agreed between the Executive Director and the relevant Portfolio Holder, and that the Job Description and implementation of the Strategy be considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. It is the view of the Executive Director that should the item not be submitted to that Council Meeting the position of the Council may be prejudiced in that the appointment process would be unduly delayed, which could affect the ability of the City Council to formulate and submit its views on the current debate on Higher Education in the City. As the call in period would overlap the City Council decision, the Mayor has agreed that the call in process should not be applied to the Executive Decision.