

CARLISLE CITY COUNCIL

Report to:- **Carlisle City Council**

Date of Meeting:- **17th July 2012**

Agenda Item No:-

Public

Title:- **BOTCHERGATE CONSERVATION AREA APPRAISAL
AND MANAGEMENT PLAN**

Report of:- **Director of Economic Development**

Report reference:- **ED.27/12**

Summary:- In November 2011 Council approved for consultation an initial draft of the Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan for Botchergate. The draft was subject to extensive public consultation between January and 5th March 2012.

Conservation Areas are defined as "Areas of special architectural or historic interest, the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance". The Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990 places a statutory duty on that authority to formulate and publish proposals for the preservation and enhancement of its conservation areas. The Appraisal proposed boundary changes to the Conservation Area and measures to enhance its physical appearance and economic sustainability.

Executive considered the attached report (ED.25/12) on 2nd July 2012 and resolved to refer the document to Council for Adoption.

Recommendation:-

That the Botchergate Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan and the amendments to the Botchergate Conservation Area contained within be adopted.


Contact Officer: Roger Higgins

Ext: 7077

Jane Meek

Assistant Director Economic Development

Note: in compliance with section 100d of the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 the report has been prepared in part from the following papers: and Executive Reports ED.38/11, ED.22/12 and ED.25.12



REPORT TO EXECUTIVE

PORTFOLIO AREA: ECONOMY AND ENTERPRISE

Date of Meeting: 2nd July 2012

Public

Key Decision: Yes

Recorded in Forward Plan:

Yes

Inside Policy Framework

Title: **BOTCHERGATE CONSERVATION AREA APPRAISAL**

Report of: **Director of Economic Development**

Report reference: **ED.25/12**

Summary:

Executive considered the attached report (ED.22/12) on 1st June 2012. It was resolved that Environment and Economy Overview and Scrutiny Panel should consider the report at their meeting 21st June 2012. As the Panel had already considered the draft document in depth a small Task Group comprising the Chair of the Panel (Cllr Layden), along with Councillors Bowditch and Nedved, held a meeting 13/06/12 to consider the responses to the consultation. These Members then presented a short report to the Panel for approval and this informs this response to the Executive.

Recommendations:

That Executive consider referral of the revised Botchergate Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan to Council for adoption on the 17th July 2012

Jane Meek

Director of Economic Development

Contact Officer: Roger Higgins

Ext: 7077

Note: in compliance with section 100d of the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 the report has been prepared in part from the following papers: ED.38/11 and Report to Executive ED.22/12

1. BACKGROUND INFORMATION AND OPTIONS

- 1.1 Executive considered Report ED.22/12 which set out the detailed consultation replies and proposed response alongside changes to the Botchergate Conservation Area boundary. Members resolved :
- (1) That Report ED.22/12 concerning the Botchergate Conservation Area Appraisal be made available for consideration by the Environment and Economy Overview and Scrutiny Panel on 21 June 2012.
- (2) That a further report be brought to the Executive on 2 July 2012 to consider referring the Botchergate Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan to Council on 17 July 2012 for adoption.
- 1.2 Conservation Areas are defined as “Areas of special architectural or historic interest, the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance”. The Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990 places a statutory duty on that authority to formulate and publish proposals for the preservation and enhancement of its conservation areas.
- 1.3 Botchergate Conservation Area was designated in 1994 following work by the Carlisle and District Civic Trust and City Council. The designation sought to protect the special character of Botchergate and to resist threats to it arising from unsympathetic development or neglect. While subsequent years have seen significant investments in parts of the conservation area, much of Botchergate has suffered from continued economic malaise and a decline in the quality of the built environment and the public realm. Even within more recently developed areas, some decline is evident arising from the economic downturn.
- 1.4 An adopted Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan for Botchergate would enable the identification of those elements of significance within the area, and provide a sound basis for development control decisions and for developing initiatives to improve the area. It would give greater confidence to potential investors and help to determine a direction for the area. There is no up-to-date guide character appraisal of the conservation area and work carried out in the early 1990s is in need of updating as a result of the many changes in the area.
- 1.5 Following consultation it is proposed that the boundary of the conservation area is modified in the following circumstances:
- To rationalise the boundary in the area of English Gate Plaza so as to reflect the current building footprints, and not the historic footprints of the properties cleared prior to the 2001 re-development. As the area immediately abuts the Portland Square/Chatsworth Square Conservation Area, the modification does not remove any property from conservation area designation, it simply rationalises

plot boundaries to a single conservation area whereas at present several straddle both. In due course, it is proposed to modify the Portland Square/Chatsworth Square Conservation Area to reflect the recommended changes to the Botchergate CA.

- To amend the boundary at Christchurch gardens to include an additional strip of land fronting the public open space. The purpose of this is to protect the conservation from future redevelopment of these abutting sites and to encourage development which relates positively to the conservation area; and,
- To include within the boundary the properties at 12-16 St Nicholas Street. This 1960's block interfaces with both the existing conservation area and the terrace of Grade II listed buildings at St Nicholas Street. Inclusion within the CA boundary would help to promote a higher standard of development should this site ever be brought forward for redevelopment.

- 1.6 Economy and Environment Overview and Scrutiny Panel resolution is provided under separate cover for Members however the Task Group considered no additional changes to the document other than those proposed in the Executive Report. The changes to the draft document arising from the period of consultation are therefore set out in Appendix 1. Though none of the changes are very significant, they improve the clarity of the document and enhance its effectiveness.

2 CONSULTATION

- 2.1 Following approval of the draft by Council on the 8th November 2011 a period of public consultation ran from 3rd January until 5th March 2012. Consultation comprised an exhibition at Carlisle Library (30th January – 12th February - periodically staffed); and an unstaffed exhibition at both the Civic Centre (13th February – 5th March) and in the foyer of the Vue Cinema, Botchergate (6th February - 5th March). The draft was also on the City Council website along with a comments form to be downloaded.
- 2.2 A 'design workshop' event, facilitated by Placesmatter! (The design advisory service for the North West) was held at Greystone Community Centre, Botchergate, on the 20th January 2012. Attendees were by invitation and included local retailers, business representatives, community representatives and both officers and members from City Council and County Councils. 30 persons attended this event.

- 2.3 Some 43 individual responses were received and reported to Members in Executive Report ED.22/12. No objections were raised to the proposed modifications to the conservation area boundary.
- 2.4 No further consultation is proposed.

3.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 That Executive considers referral of the revised Botchergate Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan to Council for adoption on the 17th July 2012.

4.0 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 4.1 To ensure that the conservation area boundary and appraisal is up-to-date for use by those interested in future proposals for Botchergate.

5.0 IMPLICATIONS

- Staffing/Resources – No additional staffing or resources are required.
- Financial – The appraisal has been executed within existing budgets.
- Legal – There is a statutory duty to carry out this work under S.71 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990.
- Corporate – This may have significance with regard to development in adjacent areas such as Lancaster Street, which impact in the setting of the conservation area, particularly by improving the quality of development and enhancing the areas economic appeal.
- Risk Management – That the City Council will not be fulfilling its statutory obligations to review the Conservation area.
- Environmental – The report raises the profile of the conservation area and may bring additional pressure to bear to raise environmental quality or secure physical improvements.
- Crime and Disorder – An enhanced and improved conservation area has the potential to improve environmental quality and physical safety, impacting positively on crime and disorder issues.

- Impact on Customers – A revised boundary will result in a small number of individuals, who own or lease property in the extensions to the conservation area, being subject to additional and more stringent planning requirements.
- Equality and Diversity – No issues raised.

Impact assessments

Does the change have an impact on the following?

Equality Impact Screening	Impact Yes/No?	Is the impact positive or negative?
Does the policy/service impact on the following?		
Age	No	
Disability	No	
Race	No	
Gender/ Transgender	No	
Sexual Orientation	No	
Religion or belief	No	
Human Rights	No	
Health inequalities	No	
Rurality	No	

If you consider there is either no impact or no negative impact, please give reasons:

The appraisal and management plan set the context for future proposals and do not by themselves adversely impact on any sector of the community.

.....

If an equality Impact is necessary, please contact the P&P team.



DRAFT **BOTCHERGATE CONSERVATION** **APPRAISAL AND MANAGEMENT PLAN** SPRING 2012



CARLISLE
CITY COUNCIL



www.carlisle.gov.uk

Contents

	Page
Introduction	01
Planning Policy Context	01
PART 1 - Character Appraisal	04
Summary of Special Interest	04
Definition of Botchergate's Special Interest	04
Assessment of Special Interest	04
Location and Setting	04
Character Areas	06
Historic Development and Archaeology	08
Economic Regeneration	11
Spatial Analysis	12
Key Views and Vistas	13
Character Analysis (by areas)	14
Area One (northern portion of conservation area)	14
Area Two (central portion of conservation area)	15
Area Three (southern portion of conservation area)	17
Public Realm	19
Green Infrastructure	20
Extent of Intrusion or Damage (negative factors)	20
Neutral Areas	21
PART 2 - Management Plan	22
Conservation Area Boundary Review	22
Public Realm	24
Protection of Historic Fabric	24
Article 4 Directives	25
Development Opportunities	26
SWOT Analysis (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats)	29
Implementation Matrix	30
Bibliography	31



Fig 01. A view across Christ Church Gardens - former site of the demolished Christ Church. To the left of the image is the 'Crown Works' warehouse and to the right, Stanley Hall.

i. Introduction

ii. Botchergate Conservation Area was designated in January 1994. The designation was preceded by work by the Carlisle and District Civic Trust, the City Council, and others who had pressed for the designation in order to protect the special architectural character of Botchergate and resist threats to it arising from unsympathetic redevelopment or neglect.

iii. One of the primary threats to the conservation area was the neglect, decay and loss of its historic fabric through the weak and declining economic position that Botchergate then occupied. While subsequent years have seen significant investments in parts of the conservation area, much of Botchergate still lacks the economic robustness that would provide a solid foundation for improvement and protection of those elements of quality within the area which remain.



Fig 02. Botchergate has a legacy of both grand and modest commercial buildings, but with many marred by poor quality alterations.

iv. This document is in two parts. The first part is a character appraisal of the Botchergate Conservation Area. The second part is a management plan which puts forward proposals that will enhance and preserve the character and appearance of the conservation area. It identifies those sites and buildings that may be the subject of development proposals and it expands on how Carlisle District Local Plan Policy LE19 should be interpreted in this conservation area.

v. Planning Policy Context

vi. The Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 imposes a duty on Local Authorities to designate as conservation areas any "areas of special architectural or historic interest, the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance". The Act emphasises that the appraisal and designation of conservation areas are not to be considered ends in them-



Fig 03. An incongruous 1960s structure, with significant potential for redevelopment.

selves. It places a duty on local authorities to draw up and publish proposals for the preservation and enhancement of conservation areas in their districts, and to consult the local community about these proposals.

vii. Regional Planning Guidance for the North West (2003) has as one of its Core Development Principles Policy DP2 'Enhancing the Quality of Life'. This includes the need to identify important elements of environmental, social and economic 'capital' so that Development Plans can introduce policies which set out clearly those elements of 'capital' where there is a presumption against any harm arising from development. Where possible these policies should enhance the quality of life.

viii. At County level the Cumbria and Lake District Joint Structure Plan (2005) Policy E34 states that "measures will be taken to identify, record, protect, conserve or enhance areas, sites, buildings and settings or archeological, historic and architectural importance. Proposals which fail to preserve or enhance the character or appearance of Conservation areas... will not be permitted unless the harm caused to their importance and intrinsic interest is clearly outweighed by the need for the development". Policy E35 supports development which "includes measures to regenerate and upgrade rural, urban and urban fringe environments, both built and natural".

ix. The Carlisle District Local Plan (September 2008) has several policies relating to Conservation Areas, the most important being LE19 -

"LE19 Conservation Areas

The City Council will continue to review existing and designate new conservation areas.

Development proposals within and adjoining conservation areas will be granted planning permission provided they preserve or enhance their character and appearance. Any new development or alterations to existing buildings should harmonise with their surroundings and be in sympathy with the setting, scale, density and physical characteristics of conservation areas, and protect important views into or out of such areas. Applications for outline planning permission will not be accepted for proposals in conservation areas. Proposals for new development and/or alterations to buildings in conservation areas will be judged against the following criteria:

1. the development should preserve or enhance all features which contribute positively to the area's character or appearance, in particular the design, massing and height of the building should closely relate to adjacent buildings and should not have an unacceptable impact on the townscape or landscape;
2. the development should not have an unacceptable impact on the historic street patterns and morphology, roofscape, skyline and setting of the conservation area, important open spaces or significant views into, out of and within the area;
3. development proposals should not result in the amalgamation or redrawing of boundaries between traditional buildings and plots, or demolition and redevelopment behind retained facades;
4. wherever practicable traditional local materials such as brick, stone and slate should be used and incongruous materials should be avoided;
5. individual features both on buildings

and contributing to their setting, should be retained e.g. doorways, windows, shopfronts, garden walls, railings, cobbled or flagged forecourts, sandstone kerbs, trees and hedges, etc. Where features have deteriorated to the extent to which they have to be replaced, the replacement should match the original;

6. proposals which would generate a significant increase in traffic movements and heavy vehicles or excessive parking demands will not be permitted since these would be prejudicial to amenity;

7. proposals which would require substantial car parking and servicing areas which can not be provided without an adverse effect on the site and its surroundings will not be permitted”.

x. The direction given by this policy forms the core of development guidance for the Botchergate Conservation Area. The Conservation Area Appraisal, the Management Plan and detailed development briefs, where appropriate, will give more specific guidance as to matters of detail.

xi. Policy LE16 Historic Structures and Local Listings is significant in an area with a high proportion of nineteenth century buildings that retain considerable architectural, historical or townscape character.

xii. Policy LE17 Development Involving the Demolition of Unlisted Buildings in Conservation Areas provides a general presumption in favour of retaining buildings, which make a positive contribution to the character or appearance of the Conservation Area.

xiii. Policy LE18 Buildings at Risk During

the Plan period the Council will actively seek to reduce the number of Buildings at Risk on the national or local registers by assisting with proposals for their re-use which are sympathetic to their historic character and setting. In addition the Council will prepare a local list of buildings which are important for their historic, architectural design or location. Proposals for the demolition of locally listed buildings will be resisted in order to maintain the contribution they make to the local environment.

xiii. POLICY LE21 Townscape Improvement Areas Within Carlisle's the City Centre, Botchergate, Brampton and Longtown Conservation Areas, the City Council will encourage the redevelopment or improvement of buildings identified as frontage improvement areas.

PART 1 - Character Appraisal

1.1 Summary of Special Interest Definition of Botchergate's Special Interest

1.1.2 Botchergate Conservation Area is focused on the linear strip of Botchergate but expands to encompass the triangular Woodrouffe Terrace development and also includes St Nicholas Street as it ascends towards Currock. It is bounded by Collier Lane to the northwest, and encompasses Tait Street and South View Terrace, but more generally it follows the line of the backs of plots of development fronting Botchergate (see plan opposite).

1.1.3 It is characterised by a transition from mixed residential and modest retail provision in the southern portion (Area 3), via an area of currently weak retail in its central portion (Area 2), through to a more buoyant northern portion (Area 1). The northern portion of the Botchergate Conservation Area abuts the City Centre Conservation Area and it has historically aspired to the vibrancy and status of its northern neighbour.

1.1.4 The special interest of the conservation area arises from its historic role as a key approach to the City centre. This is seen physically in its linearity, and also in the intensity of its uses - with intensity increasing the closer one progresses northwards. Its historic role was as a linear route between the gates of the walled City and the south - the most intensive development was closest to the City gates (The Citadel), with a further historic nucleus at the medieval St Nicholas hospital site to the south of the current designation.

1.1.5 The physical attributes of the


Conservation Area, which should be preserved and enhanced, range from the higher status 19th and early 20thC buildings which emulate and extend the urban character of the City centre; through the smaller retail offer of the central section (which now struggles to find its economic function); and lastly the areas of residential and mixed use, marking the transition to the more conventional suburbs and terraced streets which have gradually encompassed Botchergate.

1.2 Assessment of Special Interest

1.2.1 Location and Setting

1.2.2 Historically a suburb outside of the gates of Carlisle, Botchergate has been swallowed by the southerly expansion of the city. Until the opening of the M6 motorway in the 1970, it formed the main route between Glasgow and London and it maintains its historic function as the primary route into the city from the south. It remains a key route into the city and a gateway point at which residential suburbs can be said to give rise to the urban core of Carlisle.

1.2.3 Originally a linear development on the approach to the walled city, Botchergate became increasingly urban and commercial during the nineteenth century. Firstly narrow lanes, and later, spur roads were developed along its length serving new development, until it became fully enmeshed as the heart of the web of streets that survive today. The Conservation Area is urban in character, with few trees and only a single piece of green space within its boundaries. Its topography is largely level and it occupies a plateau in contrast to land to west, which falls away to what were once the floodplains of the River Caldew and its water meadows.



1.3 Character Areas

1.3.1 For the purposes of this appraisal Botchergate can be said to comprise three character areas (illustrated by figures 3, 6 and 7 and named areas 'One', 'Two' and 'Three').

Area 1

1.3.2 Area One comprises the part closest to the Citadel at Botchergate's northern tip. This area is the most urban in character and scale, reflecting its proximity to the railways station and the commercial heart of Carlisle. From the Victorian period it has been characterised by buildings of some scale and grandeur. Though less grand than the architecture which occupies the adjacent Crescent area (City Centre Conservation Area) it has nevertheless aspired to a similar status. Area One has hosted Botchergate's more recent commercial redevelopments, largely focused on the evening economy and entertainment, and while there has been significant clearance and reconstruction, there has also been investment in the maintenance of the building stock.

Area 2

1.3.3 Area Two runs from Tait Street to Rydal Street. It has less grandeur than Area One and the parts fronting Botchergate are commercial in character. This section has suffered significantly from a decline in local shopping and by changing retail patterns and this is evidenced by the neglected state of many of the buildings. The housing that previously abutted the western flank of this section was cleared in the 1960's and this has reduced the population necessary to support buoyant commerce. By contrast Tait Street has survived as a well-preserved residential street of polite terraced housing and is now Grade II listed.




Fig 03. The northern portion of the Botchergate Conservation Area is identified as 'Area 1'.



Fig 04. 'Area 1' - The northern extremity of Botchergate - the large white building marks the beginning of the adjacent 'City Centre Conservation Area'.




Fig 05. Tait Street, a heavily trafficked residential side-street to Botchergate within 'Area 2'.




Fig 06. Area 2




Fig 07. Area 3

Area 3

1.3.3 Area Three runs from Rydal Street southwards, and encompasses both part of London Road and also St Nicholas Street/St Nicholas Bridges. The area is fragmentary in character and while the Grade II listed workers housing at Woodrouffe Terrace and St Nicholas Street provide a ballast of quality, much of the frontage commercial units are in a poor state.

1.3.4 This is exacerbated by sites such as 12-18 St Nicholas Street whose contribution to the streetscape, vitality and attractiveness of the area is negative, but which lie outside the Conservation Area boundary.



Fig 08. The 1905 Stanley Hall - a prominent Edwardian building in 'Area 2' (prior to refurbishment)



Fig 09. The four storey rendered building marks the beginning of London Road and 'Area 3'




Fig 10. Smith's 1746 map showing Botchergate and the road 'to London' to the bottom right of the frame. Towill 1996 p26

1.4 Historic Development and Archaeology

1.4.1 Botchergate, previously 'Botchardgate', was originally a township forming the southern approach to Carlisle, and owned around the time of Henry I by one 'Botchard', a native of Flanders (1). G Smith's 1746 map of Carlisle shows Botchergate as the principal route south and framed by linear development (Figure 10). The character of existing development to the northern part of Botchergate reflects and continues a historic quirk, as hostelryes and victuallers sprang up outside the gates of the City, closed after dark by an ordinance of Elizabeth 1st and barring entry to travellers. 400 years on, this function still serves many buildings on the northern part of Botchergate.

1.4.2 Early development appears to have concentrated at its northern end, closest to the entrance to the walled city, and tailed off towards the south. An early occupant of the southern extremity of Botchergate was the medieval St Nicholas leper hospital, indicating the peripheral nature of this area. The hospital occupied the site (just south of South Street) until its sacking during the Civil War. Carlisle's gradual expansion during the 18th and nineteenth centuries saw the linear strip of Botchergate develop adjoining streets of working class housing, interspersed with the industrial enterprises these residents served. The mixed residential and commercial nature of the main street became increasingly commercial, with an eclectic range of public houses, hotels, manufacturing, entertainment and retailers present. The proximity to Carlisle Citadel Station will have stimulated this growth, and in particular that of the grander




Fig 11. Extract from 1821 Woods Map of Carlisle clearly showing the linear development that was creeping along Botchergate, with additional development occurring at 90degrees to the main street. Towill 1996 p52.

hotels which developed at the northern extremity.

1.4.3 The 1821 Wood's map shows the linear nature of Botchergate indicating frontage development with occasional backland buildings (Figure 11) . At its northern end the mews buildings of what is now Collier Lane can be seen to have been developed, with spurs of buildings perpendicular to the main street in what is now the vicinity of Tait Street and Portland Place.

1.4.4 By the 1860's good quality terraced housing had struck out along the newly formed Tait Street. Union Street (now Rydal Street), had begun to be lined with terraces but South Street, Charles Street and Close Street had just been laid out (Fig 12).

1.4.5 The 1900 map (overleaf) shows the




Fig 12. 1869 Map of Botchergate

development of Botchergate and its hinterland perhaps at the height of its urbanisation, with each side of the street densely lined with terraces interspersed with business and manufacturers. The narrow 'burgage' plots which characterised ownerships on the 1821 map have influenced the development of later stages. These patterns can be seen to have dictated the shapes of developments such as the Rickerby's agricultural works at the rear of Portland Place (now a surface car park), and also the numerous narrow lanes and courts that stretched back from the frontage, lined with dense housing and workshops of which there is now little trace.

1.4.6 As the twentieth century progressed there has been a tendency for developments which have consolidated and obliterated these traces of early land ownerships, with large swathes of backland now coalesced into areas of car parking or large footprint buildings. The frontages have proved more robust, and while a number of buildings which span numerous original plot widths (e.g. Stanley Hall and Palace Arcade) the ground floor subdivisions broadly reflect the 4-5m footprints of earlier plots. Towards the southern part of the street unconsolidated smaller frontages are very much still in evidence.

1.4.6 The area is architecturally notable thanks to the legacy of Victorian/Edwardian commercial confidence, evident in surviving buildings such as the Stanley Hall and the Palace Arcade and in some of the ornament and confidence that can be seen in neighbouring buildings. Two notable places of worship arose in this same period of civic confidence - the 1830 Christ Church (Demolished in 1952) and in Area 3, the imposing church of St John's on London Road. The Christ Church site is now occupied by the underutilised Christ Church gardens, providing the sole



Fig 13 - Botchergate at the peak of its urbanisation in 1901.




Fig 14. Botchergate remained largely intact until the mid 1970's when the terraces of King Street, Princess St and Lord St were cleared.

area of green open space within the Conservation Area.

1.4.7 The peak of development on Botchergate is illustrated by the 1901 map (fig 13). By the 1970s large areas of terraced housing were cleared under the auspices of slum clearance. It is likely that this resulted in a gradual depopulation of the area which contributed to a decline in the fortunes of Botchergate. This depopulation was coupled with the changes in shopping patterns and the decline of the traditional high street common to the latter half of the 20th Century. Notable survivors of these residential clearances include the Grade II listed Woodrouffe Terrace, St Nicholas Street and Tait Street. These are characterised by modest but well-built domestic architecture, and a rhythm and repetition of building elements such as well-proportioned window openings, architraving, chimney stacks and doorways which their Listing serves to protect (Fig 16 and 17).

1.4.8 Archeological interest may be present throughout the area. Previous archeological work provides no more than glimpses of the likely archeological potential. The area was utilised in the Roman period, including for burial. The medieval leper hospital of St Nicholas is also a likely focus of potential interest. Before the approval of any planning consent it is likely that an archeological evaluation should be undertaken (Cumbria county Council 2006).

1.5 Economic Regeneration

1.5.1 Efforts to revive the area gained momentum in the 1990's culminating with the creation in 2003 of the English Gate Plaza scheme. This involved the demolition of almost 150m of existing frontage buildings




Fig 15. Showing the loss of housing to the southwest of the Conservation Area boundary and replacement with industrial units.



Fig 16. The former public house at the corner of Woodrouffe Terrace and St Nicholas Street.



Fig 17. The Grade II listed Woodrouffe Terrace.

and their replacement with a mixed use development comprising office, retail, residential and entertainment space. Commercially largely successful, this scheme and associated investment to the northern part of the Conservation area have led to investment in the fabric of those historic buildings which remain. This built on earlier restoration works started in 1994 under the Conservation Area Partnership (CAP) Scheme and City Council grants. Between 1994 and 1997 some £900,000 was invested in the Botchergate area by English Heritage, the City Council, Housing Associations and the private sector (CAP bid 1997). This included a 'Group Repair Scheme' for the listed buildings on St Nicholas Street.

1.5.2 While the achievements made by these investments must be recognised, it is fair to say that a significant portion of the conservation area is currently in a poor state of repair and is suffering the twin perils of neglect and unsympathetic 'improvement'. The parlous state of many of the buildings within the Conservation Area, and their neglect or unsympathetic alteration, is worsened by the general decline in the retail strength of the 'traditional high street' in the face of City Centre or supermarket competition, and by the changed character of its hinterland - the dense population that once abutted western Botchergate has been cleared to make way for low density light industry which contributes little to the economic vitality of the high street. Opportunities to reinvent Lancaster Street and its environs as a residential-led mixed use area may help to provide the resident population and vibrancy that the Conservation Area needs if it is to regain some of its former vigour.



Fig 18. St John's Church on London Road is one of the more notable buildings at the southern end of the Conservation area.



Fig 19. A prominent building at 1 London Road, which has recently has render applied over its original brickwork

1.6 Spatial Analysis

1.6.1 There is an evident building hierarchy on approaching the conservation area from the south. Within Area Three, (with the exception of St John's Church - fig 18), buildings are largely two storey and domestic in scale. Few 'statement buildings' are evident within this area, perhaps with the exception of the corner

building to South Street/London Road, recently the subject of unsympathetic modifications (fig 19). Building heights and commercial stature rise a little as one enters Area Two, with notable substantial buildings being the Stanley Hall and Palace Arcade. In Area One surviving Victorian buildings have aspired to some architectural sophistication, and the general impression is of a mature urban street. The 4-6m plot dimensions that is typical of the length of Botchergate is largely respected in Area One, despite the amalgamation of plot boundaries - the echoes of earlier plot widths can be seen in the subdivisions at ground level despite coalescence of ownership. As building heights rise in Area One, this pleasant rhythm of narrow plots and vertically emphasised buildings lends a distinctive quality to the street. The rise in building heights relative to street width in Area One generates a greater sense of enclosure that is absent in Area Three and only emerging in Area Two.

1.6.2 Two areas of 'openness' are evident. These are Christ Church gardens in Area Two and the nodal 'open space' generated by the junction of St Nicholas Road and the Botchergate/London Road interface. Although traffic dominated and austere, this junction is a potentially important space within the conservation area and one whose potential is as yet untapped. Christ Church gardens occupy the former Christ Church site. This genuinely green open space is significantly undercapitalised, with no buildings presenting active frontage to it, no through routes and little animation.

1.7 Key Views and Vistas

1.7.1 The linearity of the conservation area means that while there are a series of long distance views towards landmarks including



Fig 20. Open space at Christ church Gardens.



Fig 21. The vehicle dominated junction of St Nicholas Street and London Road.



Fig 22. The long vista northwards up Botchergate towards the Citadel.

the tower of Carlisle Cathedral, the Citadel and some prominent corner buildings along Botchergate, it is unlikely that development will significantly impede these views. The street itself creates a largely unbroken sense of enclosure and where streets open up off the main thoroughfare, or in the occasional break in the frontage, no critical landmarks or vistas are evident. It may be that the biggest opportunity sites to create new vistas are

Christ Church gardens and the St Nicholas St junction referred to above. At present these areas are underwhelming but an appropriate landscape / architectural intervention could create focal points and vistas of note.

1.7.2 A recent example of a missed opportunity to utilise a vista to the mutual benefit of both the streetscape and the development is the interface between the recent 'picture house apartments' and the large carriage arch set within the Palace Arcade. The new building nudges apologetically into view through the arch when it could have been designed as a complimentary element (fig 23).

1.8 Character Analysis (by areas)

1.8.1 Area One (northern portion of conservation area)

1.8.2 Area One contains the conservation area's greatest concentration of good quality commercial Victorian/Edwardian architecture. The entire frontage, except for the recent developments at English Gate, and the unfortunate (c1962) 30-34 Botchergate (fig 26), are locally listed, with Harry Redfern's Cumberland Inn Grade II listed. The 2000-2003 English Gate Plaza and Ibis hotel development attempt to replicate the scale and rhythm of their Victorian neighbours but are markedly more austere and lack visual richness. They benefit the Conservation Area however in that they have helped to inject new uses into what was a series of vacant and increasingly decaying buildings, spurring investment in their retained neighbours. Design failings within the scheme include the blank elevations that frame the new incidental open space of English Gate Plaza, and the barren car parking behind the new scheme. It is testament to the visual strength of the




Fig 23. New apartments seen through the arch of the Palace Arcade.




Fig 24. Area One

remaining architecture that such a substantial modern development can be accommodated without significant detriment to the character of the street.

1.8.3 The traditional architecture in the area is diverse and in many cases exuberant. It is evident that there was a degree of competition between Botchergate's Victorian develop-

ers to outdo their neighbours. A common thread however is a strong vertical emphasis, with windows, mullions, columns, pilasters and chimney stacks contributing to this effect. The vulnerability of these buildings is greatest at ground level, where unsympathetic retail signage and frontages can mar elevations. A further source of more fundamental damage is through the neglect of maintenance of the wider building that can bring about structural damage. Fig 25 shows rampant vegetation in the gutters of the Boustead's Building which will almost certainly result in water ingress and costly damage if left unchecked. Cosmetic changes at ground level can at least be remedied - as evidenced by the achievements of the CAP scheme - whereas structural damage arising through neglect may have more insidious impacts.

1.8.4 The building line is almost without exception on Botchergate hard-up to the back of the pavement. This reflects the intensity of the commercial uses here that dictated that each plot and building should work as hard as possible to make its presence known. The narrow plot widths and frequency of doorways onto the street helps to generate vitality.

1.8.5 Area Two (central portion of conservation area)

1.8.6 The central part of the Conservation Area is at present the most vulnerable and economically weak. This places its buildings at risk, as lower quality interventions may be considered by owners to be acceptable options. A notable negative influence on the appearance of this area arises from the unimplemented planning consents for comprehensive redevelopment applying to the buildings between South Henry Street and Rydal Street (fig 29). Notwithstanding this permission the buildings themselves are of some modest



Fig25. Neglect of basic maintenance in the former Boustead's building, latterly Mood's Nightclub.



Fig 26. An unfortunate 1960s insertion into the otherwise impressive buildings of the northern part of Botchergate.




Fig 27. Area Two

architectural interest, in particular the low two storey building at 149/151 Botchergate, (fig 26) - in part as a result of benign neglect its shop front has been retained. This block could be revived sympathetically and allowed once again to contribute to the quality of the street if the currently proposed demolition and comprehensive redevelopment were not to be enacted.

1.8.7 Adjacent to this block is the much grander Stanley Hall (119-147 Botchergate). Subject to a 1990's 'Living Over the Shop' initiative the building was originally built as retail with offices above, fronting the livestock market. The incremental loss of building elements to this grand (though unlisted) 1905 building can be seen here in the truncated chimney stack to the northern gable. A marked improvement has however been achieved by recent refurbishment work to the building, and to a unified approach to the colour and design of the ground floor.

1.8.8 Opposite this building is the Palace Arcade. The building shows a broadly successful approach to its shop frontage in the northern portion, (fig 33) where the 'Gary Etherbridge' unit spans three bays but in subtle and attractive manner. The southern 'palace cycles' signage is much more dominant and unnecessarily large. Neither occupier benefits aesthetically from the security shutters which could be located more sensitively behind the glazing. The Palace Arcade shows examples of the incremental loss of architectural detail - in this case the missing pediment from the central gable, which throws the composition of the facade out of balance. With funding this could be reinstated.

1.8.9 The northern portion of the Palace building was improved under the Botchergate Conservation Area Partnership scheme in 1994/1997 but the building is again showing



Fig 29. An unimplemented permission exists for this block between Rydal and South Henry Street.



Fig 30. Truncated chimney stack to the Stanley Hall.



Fig 31. The site of the first mainland UK post box, installed in 1853 on Botchergate.



Fig 32. Great improvements to the appearance of Stanley Hall were made by McKnight's builders in 2011.



Fig 33. The Palace Arcade building - sadly missing a pediment previously located over the central arch.

some signs of deterioration. It is unfortunate that the 'Picture House' residential development to the rear did not make more use of the arcade entrance, and indeed the adjacent Christ Church park.

1.8.10 An exception to the relative decay evident in the central portion of the Conservation Area is the residential umbilical of Tait Street (fig 34). These polite mid-Victorian dwellings are Grade II listed and retain the repeating elements of sash windows, panelled doors, and detailing which lends coherence to terraces. As a main traffic artery (in particular when Botchergate is partially closed on Friday and Saturday nights) the environmental quality of the street is somewhat compromised. The quality of the street is added to by its avenue tree planting, and where necessary these trees should be sensitively managed and replaced as specimens are lost. Any reduction, or sensitive calming, of traffic would enhance the quality of the street.

1.8.11 Area Three

1.8.12 This area stretches from Rydal Street and through to St Nicholas Bridge. It encompasses the confluence of 'Botchergate' as it becomes 'London Road', and ends at the twin listed public houses of The Cranemakers and The St Nicholas Arms. The area is varied in quality, though significantly bolstered by the listed pubs and the Grade II listed terraces at St Nicholas Street and Woodroufe Terrace. The quality of these buildings contrasts with the unprepossessing retail fronting the rest of the area. These retail elements (figs 36 and 38) are characterised by essentially solid Victorian commercial buildings marred by poor late twentieth century shopfronts, and unsympathetic alterations. For example, the previously fine brick building at 1 London Road has been unsympathetically altered, with its brickwork hidden by cement render,



Fig 34. The Grade II listed residential terraces of Tait Street, enhanced by legacy of Victorian tree planting.




Fig 35. Area Three

and delicate curved sash windows replaced by PVCu (fig 9).

1.8.13 Nonetheless, even within this area there is potential to retrieve some lost quality. Shop front improvements as achieved by the 1990's CAP scheme could significantly enhance the area. Further substantial potential to elevate the condition of this part of the conservation area comes from the possibility of redevelopment of 12-16 St Nicholas Street (Fig 37) - the unsympathetic 1970's low rise shopping parade which although it lies outside of the conservation area, exerts a strong negative influence within it.

1.8.14 As touched on above, the confluence of open space created at the junction of St Nicholas Street and Botchergate/London Road offers potential for significant improvement. Currently beset by an array of unsympathetic traffic management pedestrian cages, chicanes and general highways clutter (fig 38) the space could be simplified and made more usable by pedestrians seeking to cross the road. The apex of the St Nicholas triangle, currently occupied by WCs, an unsightly telephone kiosk and a blank gable could be put to better use. The council's Urban Design SPD suggests this as a site for artwork but a device as simple as a single specimen tree would be an equally suitable means to mark this nodal point.

1.8.15 Botchergate hosts two public houses designed by Harry Redfern under the Central Control Board/State Management Scheme in the 1920s and 1930s. The quality of such pubs is generally high and, reflecting these high standards of quality and design, seven of this group across Carlisle have listed status, including Botchergate's Grade II listed Cumberland Arms. The unlisted former 'Earl Grey' (opened in 1935) is now a martial arts centre (fig 39).



Fig 36. More sympathetic signage and reinstatement of appropriate window designs would lift this row considerably.



Fig 37. 12-16 St Nicholas Street although outside the conservation area has a negative visual impact on it.



Fig 38. 'Street clutter' at the junction of St Nicholas Street and London Road, impeding pedestrian access and eroding 'sense of place'.



Fig 39. The former 'Earl Grey', latterly 'The Jester' public house, built by Harry Redfern, exhibits a strong art-deco character and contributes positively to the streetscene.

1.9 Public Realm

1.9.1 The public realm in the conservation area is of varied quality. It has few deliberate 'open spaces' and with the exception of Christ Church gardens and consequently the 'public realm' is largely made up of highway and footpaths. In general the impression of the area is of a place dominated by vehicular movement. The northern portion of Botchergate was subject to an improvement scheme arising from the development of English Gate plaza and as such has a relatively consistent palette of paving, lighting and street furniture. Areas Two and Three are more varied and the main thoroughfare is largely paved in poor quality materials which do not contribute to the character of the conservation area. The floorscape is a mixture of clay paviments, concrete flags and tarmac.

1.9.2 There is more interest however in side streets where there are a number of survivals from the Victorian and early twentieth century. This is a result more of accidental survival rather than a deliberate policy of conservation. High quality basalt setts (fig 40) can be seen on several side streets, in particular on the western side of the Conservation Area which has suffered most from a benign neglect. Princess Street and Albion Street have retained their setted carriageways and despite the loss of their original buildings, this floorscape offers an element of quality around which a new streetscape can begin to develop. In Area One, Collier Lane and Mary Street are similarly paved, although Collier Lane requires extensive restoration due to inappropriate reinstatement following utility works. It is likely that setts may exist under the tarmac on other streets within or adjacent to the conservation area.

1.9.3 The greatest concentration of utilitarian lighting and intrusive highways items is in



Fig 40. Setts and sandstone kerbs and paving at Mary Street.




Fig 41. Surviving setted surfaces on various side streets to the area could be readily exposed revealing historic character.



Fig 42. Unsightly bollards and guardrailing close to St Nicholas Street.



Fig 43. An impressive mature plane tree to the front of the St Nicholas Arms, protected by a Tree Preservation Order.

Area Three, in particular around the St Nicholas junction. Impromptu bollards (Fig 42) and extensive guardrailing create an atmosphere of lack of care and severely weaken the integrity of the conservation area. It is likely that a great number of these items could be reduced or removed if the area were thoroughly audited and pedestrians given the primacy which the movement hierarchy affords them.

1.10 Green Infrastructure

1.10.1 With the exception of Christ Church gardens, whose potential as a focal point for new development has been identified above, there is no other public green space within the conservation area.

1.10.2 With the exception of the avenue tree planting that survives and benefits Tait Street, there are only a handful of trees in the area. Two of these are located to the front of St John's Church and the third is within the front yard of the St Nicholas Arms. Alongside the potential to enhance the St Nicholas junction with structural planting there is the opportunity to soften the rest of Botchergate through appropriate planting of columnar street trees. This is identified as a goal in the Urban Design SPD for Carlisle and potential locations for this are identified in the appendix.

1.11 Extent of Intrusion or Damage (negative factors)

1.11.1 The conservation area has suffered significant damage and erosion to its character by incremental loss of historic features and detailing. Loss of timber sash windows and replacement with PVCu, removal or damage of architectural elements such as finials, chimney stacks and removal of historic shop fronts all lower the architectural integrity of the area. Neglected maintenance is usually a



Fig 44. neglected maintenance of gutters leading to vegetation growth and likely damage to building fabric.



Fig 45. A neglected gutter spilling water onto masonry and window below.



Fig 46. Buddleia growing in coping could eventually unseat the masonry unless removed.

false economy and failure for example to fix leaking gutters or clear debris can lead to expensive structural problems. Fig 45 shows a cracked gutter whose water has spilled on to windows and masonry below, hastening decay and causing potentially costly damage. Figure 46 shows vegetation whose continued growth is likely to damage masonry and allow water ingress into the building.



Fig 47 The English Gate Plaza development could be characterised as 'neutral' in its impact on the conservation area.



Fig 48. 98-106 Botchergate. These buildings are typical of those which currently border negative/neutral but which could be enhanced through a shopfront enhancement programme.

1.11.2 The loss of character through activities such as rendering a building and the stopping up of windows may fall within planning control and building owners should check with the planning authority to verify if any permissions are required before they carry out proposed work.

1.11.3 The local authority has a number of powers to secure improvements where buildings are suffering neglect and are harming either protected structures such as listed buildings or the amenity of the area. These include Urgent Works Notices (as used in 2012 on the nearby Central Plaza Hotel) , Repairs Notices, and Amenity Notices'.

1.12 Neutral Areas

1.12.1 The tightly drawn boundary of the Botchergate Conservation Area has resulted

in little that can be considered 'neutral' being included. It could be said that the English Gate Plaza development fits into the neutral character, neither enhancing nor detracting from the appearance of the conservation area. A number of the less notable buildings within areas Two and Three could be considered as neutral, bordering on negative, yet the majority of these buildings are capable of a positive contribution to the Conservation Area if their lost historic elements (appropriate shop fronts, timber windows and detailing) were restored.

1.12.2 The CAP scheme which mainly benefited Area One shows the ability for buildings such as the previously decaying County Hotel (City Centre Conservation Area) to leap a category and become a more positive element.

2 PART 2 - Management Plan

2.1 Despite its issues, Botchergate is still a dynamic place where people live, work and visit. The conservation area designation is not designed to preserve what is there at present in aspic, but is intended to guide change and to enable development which makes the best use of the area's attributes for the benefit of all. There are a number of measures which can be taken to actively preserve the qualities of the area and to promote improvement.

2.2 Conservation Area Boundary Review

2.2.1 The Conservation Area boundary was drawn in 1994. Since that time there has been substantial redevelopment on the English Gate Plaza site and the emergence of development opportunities at other points in the area. The current boundary in the vicinity of English Gate Plaza reflects former plot boundaries which are now obliterated. It is recommended that this portion of boundary should be redrawn to reflect the building footprints of the new development. This is shown in figure 52. To the immediate east of this boundary the Botchergate Conservation Area abuts the Portland and Chatsworth Square Conservation Area.

2.2.2 It could be argued that there are several sites adjacent to the Conservation Area whose development might adversely impact upon it and that the boundary should be modified to include these. Such sites include the garage complex at 27-29 London Road (Fig 50), the 1960s development at 12-18 St Nicholas Street and the area abutting Christ Church Gardens.

2.2.3 The site at 27-29 London Road, though the subject of developer interest, is



Fig 49. The impressive Crown Works building, Crown Street with Lancaster Street in the foreground.



Fig 50. Garage building at 27-29 London Road. A potential development site but not readily visible from within the conservation area.

mainly visually separated from the Conservation Area by the terraced frontage to London Road. It is likely that development on this site will not be visible from the Conservation Area. For this reason it is not recommended that the site is brought within the conservation area boundary.

2.2.4 The units at 12-16 St Nicholas Street have a marked detrimental impact on the appearance and vitality of the conservation area. It is likely that the buildings are approaching the end of their design-life, and it is possible that they will be brought forward for redevelopment. It is therefore suggested that the conservation area is redrawn to include these buildings so as to ensure that their replacements contribute positively to their context. This is especially important given the need for future redevelopment to respond sensitively to the listed residential



Fig 51. Current Conservation Area Boundary.



Fig 52. Proposed extension and rationalisation of boundary shown as broken line covering 3 modifications.

properties directly opposite on St Nicholas Street.

2.2.5 The sole significant open space in the area is Christ church gardens. While it has been improved moderately by the construction of new apartments immediately adjacent, these have failed to capitalise on their neighbour and there is no active connection between the two. The remaining boundaries to the park are currently a small surface car park and two warehouses. The redevelopment of any of these uses offers the opportunity to significantly enhance the park. The risk of development adversely affecting the park is also high, and as such it is suggested that portions of the adjacent building plots are brought within the revised conservation area boundary.




Fig 53. Proposed revised boundary rationalising line at English Gate Plaza and extending at Christ Church gardens and 12-16 St Nicholas Street.

2.3 Public Realm

2.3.1 The primary challenges to the public realm in the conservation area are the need to improve the animation of the handful of public spaces that currently exist - Christ Church Gardens and the St Nicholas/London Road intersection. The former space requires active uses to front onto it. This is expanded on in detail under 'development opportunities' below. The latter 'highways' space suffers from a high degree of 'street clutter' - opportunities should be taken to review and audit existing provision and to identify opportunities for simplification or removal.

2.3.2 Other elements of note exist in the retained historic surfaces to Collier Lane, Mary Street and other side streets which retain their setted surfaces. These high quality basalt setts are an integral part of the character of the conservation area. Wherever possible they should be retained and reinstated where they have sustained damage (e.g. in sections of Collier Lane damaged by utility trenching). The protection of these surfaces should extend along the length of those streets which adjoin the Conservation area e.g. King Street and Princess Street - in these cases they offer an element of public realm quality which can be used to enhance future built-development.

2.3.3 Historic street nameplates are present throughout the area and could be inexpensively refurbished or reinstated where lost (fig 56).

2.4 Protection of Historic Fabric

2.4.1 The bulk of residential properties within the conservation area are Grade II listed (Appendix 1). This affords them significant levels of protection against changes which could erode their group value or architectural



Fig 54. Christ Church gardens, separated from Lancaster Street by fencing and a surface car park.



Fig 55. Guardrailing at St Nicholas Street.



Fig 56. A cast iron street nameplate which could readily be restored, adding some quality to the streetscape.



Fig 57 Restoration of Setts on Collier Lane.

integrity. They are however vulnerable to the usual impacts of neglect as the unoccupied units to the eastern end of Woodruffe Terrace indicate (fig 58).

2.4.2 In non-residential areas of the Conservation Area, many of the incremental changes that have been made required planning permission. This includes the replacement of timber windows with PVCu windows and the replacement of shop frontages. Where there has been a breach of planning control consisting of the carrying out without planning permission of relevant works, no enforcement action may be taken after the end of the period of four years beginning with the date on which the operations were substantially completed (T&CP Act 1990 - as amended). Those works that required permission but where none was sought may therefore be enforced against within this time period.

2.4.3 Unfortunately, many of the losses to historic fabric in Botchergate have occurred outside of this four year enforcement opportunity, or were indeed carried out prior to the designation of the Conservation Area in 1994. Remediation of lost elements can be encouraged as building owners bring their properties forward for redevelopment, or via grant incentives such as the CAPS scheme should funding become available.

2.5 Article 4 Directives

2.5.1 Article 4 directives offer the opportunity to restrict 'permitted development rights' and retain historic elements (e.g. timber windows), which can be removed where Article 4 directives do not exist. Such directives commonly apply to dwelling houses, the main beneficiaries of permitted development rights. However, the bulk of residential properties within the Botchergate Conservation Area are



Fig 58. Unoccupied former surgery at Woodruffe Terrace, suffering casual vandalism.



Fig 59. An unlisted building on Blake Street retaining its original windows and contributing strongly to the quality of the area.



Fig 60. 107-109 Botchergate which although having lost their original shopfronts, retain original sash windows capable of refurbishment.

already Grade II listed, which offers enhanced levels of protection above and beyond that of an Article 4. This applies to Tait Street, Woodruffe Terrace and St Nicholas Street. Remaining residential areas such as South View terrace have largely already lost the historic detailing which an Article 4 would protect.

2.5.2 The remaining areas within the con-

servation area are largely retail or other uses which do not enjoy permitted development rights. Much of the incremental loss of architectural elements in these buildings will require planning permission. It is therefore not likely that an Article 4 direction would be of benefit to the Botchergate Conservation Area, unless it is to address the handful of remaining residential properties which retain historic architectural detail such as the sash windows at No 1 Blake Street (fig 59).

2.6 Development Opportunities

2.6.1 A development brief for Botchergate was adopted in 1994. Arising from this came proposals to develop the sites in Area One now occupied by English Gate Plaza and the Ibis hotel development. The former Co-Op site on the west side of the street was redeveloped as the Warner (Now Vue) cinema, with the cinema disguising its large bulk very



Fig. 61 The large vacant 'Mood' building could be subdivided to provide smaller retail units with upper floors suitable for residential or office space.

effectively behind the retained facade of the fire-damaged building.

2.6.2 Remaining opportunities include the unimplemented permission for the block between South Henry Street and Rydal Street, although as noted above these buildings could be reinvigorated without demolition.



Fig 62. Christ Church gardens - currently an undercapitalised asset. To work effectively, green spaces must have routes passing through or around them, and be well overlooked. The space currently has none of these attributes.



Fig 63. There is potential for new residential/mixed use development in the area which could be focused on the Gardens, with a new access broken through onto Lancaster Street (indicative illustration only).

Christ Church Gardens

2.6.3 The area bounding Christ Church gardens is a further key site. It offers the opportunity to frame the open space with development and active frontage. A residential or mixed use development wrapping around this open space would give new life and purpose to the gardens. While the open space is not within the actual ownership of the City Council, negotiation of frontage access from surrounding plots would significantly raise the attractiveness of the space and make it a safer and more useful space.

2.6.4 An indication of the potential of the site is illustrated below. An increase in residential uses would be a significant boost to the remaining retailers on Botchergate, but would call for the rationalisation or relocation of a number of 'bad neighbour' industrial uses in the Lancaster Street area.

12-18 St Nicholas Street

2.6.5 The 1960s block at 12-18 St Nicholas offers a further opportunity site, which if combined with surface parking to the rear and adjacent sites, offers a significant development opportunity. Development here should have regard to neighbouring residential properties, but the width and depth of the site allows for a substantial development footprint that could revive the fortunes of this part of



Fig 64. 12-18 St Nicholas Street - although outside the current conservation area is a site whose enhancement would be of great benefit to the wider area.



Fig 65. The junction of St Nicholas Street, London Road and Botchergate. Pedestrian movement and the aesthetics of the area are extremely compromised at present by the primacy given to road traffic.



Fig 66. A number of possibilities exist to improve the streetscape through expansion of the pavement, decluttering and appropriate planting (Illustrative purposes only).

the conservation area. A suitable anchor development at this point could serve to draw users southwards and invigorate the currently parlous states of areas two and three.

2.6.6 The area at the junction of St Nicholas Street and the junction of London Road/Botchergate has the potential for significant public realm improvements while retaining its role as a main traffic artery. Rationalisation of street clutter, expression of pedestrian desire lines and possible pavement expansion and tree planting offer opportunities to improve the area. A potentially inexpensive set of improvements are illustrated above.

2.6.7 Regarding the conservation areas immediate hinterland, there is further opportunity throughout the Lancaster Street area and its connecting roads. The mixed commercial designation allocated to this area has tended to result in a low intensity landuse dominated by single storey workshops and a large amount of surface parking. This low intensity is far below the critical mass of activity and footfall which a viable Botchergate requires of its hinterland.

2.6.8 Some buildings of note remain within this area including the reading room at the western end of Lord Street; the Pagoda building, which is a distinctive post-war building, and indeed some surviving remnants of terraced housing at the western ends of King Street and Princess Street



Fig 67. Opportunities exist to improve pedestrian priority and streetscape along the length of Botchergate.



Fig 68. English Gate Plaza is a potential site for a public realm scheme to return space to the pedestrian and soften the hard urban landscape through avenue tree planting.



Fig 69. Former Working Men's Reading Room, Lord Street, opened in 1851. A rare survival from 1970's clearance of the Lancaster Street area.



Fig 70. The former Pagoda building, a 1970's office for Olivetti by Ted Cullinan Architects, now Edenside Carpets, is of some interest as a distinctive 20th Century building.

2.6.9 It is recommended that an increase in residential-led mixed use development can create this. An Area Action Plan, Development Brief or similar should be drafted for this area in order to direct the changes that are needed to revive the economic strength of Botchergate, and to generate a level of land use intensity appropriate to an inner-urban site.

2.7 SWOT Analysis (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats)

Strengths

- Strong sense of legibility as one approaches the City and the scale of development increases.
- A small number of listed buildings which retain their character.
- Some imposing and confident Victorian and Edwardian buildings (mainly in area 1).

Weaknesses

- Economic decline very evident as distance from top end of Botchergate increases.
- Poor quality shop fronts and neglected building fabric.
- Loss of original features such as joinery, chimney stacks and architectural detailing.
- Poor public realm and high levels of street clutter.
- Vehicle dominated environment.
- Limited public open space and few street trees.

Opportunities

- Key development opportunity in land fronting onto former Christ church graveyard.
- Redevelopment of site at 12-16 St Nicholas Street forming edge of the Conservation Area.
- Restoration of appropriate retail frontages and reinstatement of missing original features.
- Redevelopment of adjacent area (Lancaster Street) to create economic buoyancy for the CA.
- Public realm enhancement through removal of highways clutter and implementation of public realm enhancements.

Threats

- Economic decline of area leading to deterioration and neglect of buildings.
- Further incremental loss of those original features which remain.
- Lack of leverage funding via public purse to stimulate private investment.

Implementation Matrix

Action	Description	Purpose	Agent	Timescale	Document Reference
Conservation Area Boundary Review	Amend boundary at English Gate Plaza, Christchurch Gardens and 12-16 St Nicholas Street.	Rationalisation of boundary at English Gate Plaza to reflect current building plots. Increased control over future developments at Christchurch Gardens and 12-16 St Nicolas Street.	City Council via Full Council approval of Conservation Area Appraisal	Summer 2012	Para 2.2
Public Realm Improvements (Minor)	Ongoing and incremental de-cluttering, appropriate replacement, and reinstatement of historic street masonry, street furniture and street nameplates.	To enhance the visual quality of the conservation area, protect surviving fabric in the public realm and remediate against inappropriate installations.	County Council in its role as highway authority, in conjunction with the City Council .	Ongoing	Para 2.3
Protection of Historic fabric	Guidance and pre-application advice to promote works sympathetic to the character of the conservation area. Full use of measures to ensure against breaches of planning, advertisement or listed building consent.	To maintain the character of the conservation area and to ensure that existing planning controls are adhered to and any infringements are enforced.	City Council Planning Policy and Development Management functions.	Ongoing	Para 2.4

Bibliography

Newman, Richard (County Archaeologist)
(unpublished April 2006) - 'Carlisle
Renaissance - Historical Environment
Appraisal of Proposed Regeneration Sites',
Cumbria County Council.

Summerson, H (1993). Medieval Carlisle: The
City and the borders from the late eleventh to
the mid sixteenth century Vol I, The
Cumberland and Westmorland Antiquarian
Society, Gloucester.

Towill, S. (1991) A History of Carlisle,
Phillimore, Sussex.

Towill, S. (1996) Georgian and Victorian
Carlisle - Life Society and Industry, Carnegie,
Preston.

Carlisle and District Civic Trust (1990).
Botchergate - A Study Carlisle and District
Civic Trust, Carlisle.

Web Resources - Steve Bullman
(http://www.stevebulman.f9.co.uk/cumbria/stcuthbert_f.html)

Botchergate Carlisle, Dennis Perriam
Botchergate Development Brief Consultation
Draft Carlisle City Council, Sept 1999
Botchergate Conservation Area Partnership
Scheme funding bid Carlisle City Council July
1997

Cumberland News - 'Convenient place for a
call of nature' Friday, 08 August 2008